



# 3<sup>rd</sup> Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering 3CroCEE

19-22 March 2025, Split, Croatia

## Conference Proceedings

### Conference Organizers



University of Zagreb  
Faculty of Civil  
Engineering



UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT,  
FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING,  
ARCHITECTURE AND GEODESY



### Supporting Organizations



The International  
Association for  
Earthquake Engineering



The European  
Association for  
Earthquake Engineering



Croatian  
Association for  
Earthquake Engineering



Government  
of the Republic  
of Croatia

### Under Auspices of

**Title**

**3rd Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering - 3CroCEE**

March 19 to 22, 2025· Split

**Editors**

Josip Atalić

Neno Torić

Marta Šavor Novak

Nikola Grgić

Marina Frančić Smrkić

Boris Trogrlić

Mario Uroš

Nikolina Živaljić

Iva Dasović

Marija Demšić

Ivan Balić

Mirela Galić

**ISSN**

ISSN 3043-8071

**DOI**

10.5592/CO/3CroCEE.2025

**Published by**

University of Zagreb Faculty of Civil Engineering

University of Split Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy

**Cover page**

minimum d.o.o.

Matej Korlaet

**Zagreb, March 2025**

**Proceedings of the 3rd Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering**

Split, Croatia - March 19 to 22, 2025

## 3rd Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering

### CHAIRMEN

**Josip Atalić**, University of Zagreb

**Neno Torić**, University of Split

### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

**Josip Atalić**, University of Zagreb

**Mario Bačić**, University of Zagreb

**Ivan Balić**, University of Split

**Goran Baloević**, University of Split

**Maja Baniček**, University of Zagreb

**Ivan Banović**, University of Split

**Nina Čeh**, University of Rijeka

**Domagoj Damjanović**, University of Zagreb

**Iva Dasović**, University of Zagreb

**Marija Demšić**, University of Zagreb

**Marina Frančić Smrkić**, University of Zagreb

**Mirela Galić**, University of Split

**Petra Gidak**, University of Zagreb

**Davor Grandić**, University of Rijeka

**Nikola Grgić**, University of Split

**Marin Grubišić**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer

University of Osijek

**Ivica Guljaš**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer

University of Osijek

**Ivo Haladin**, University of Zagreb

**Alen Harapin**, University of Split

**Tomislav Kišiček**, University of Zagreb

**Mladen Kustura**, University of Mostar

**Senad Medić**, University of Sarajevo

**Marina Nikolić**, University of Split

**Marija Smilović Zulim**, University of Split

**Mislav Stepinac**, University of Zagreb

**Marta Šavor Novak**, University of Zagreb

**Petra Šimundić**, University of Split

**Igor Tomić**, École Polytechnique Fédérale de  
Lausanne

**Neno Torić**, University of Split

**Boris Trogrlić**, University of Split

**Mario Uroš**, University of Zagreb

**Damir Varevac**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer

University of Osijek

**Nikolina Živaljić**, University of Split

### ACADEMIC SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

**Dražen Aničić**, Croatian Academy of  
Engineering

**Josip Atalić**, University of Zagreb

**Mario Bačić**, University of Zagreb

**Ivan Balić**, University of Split

**Goran Baloević**, University of Split

**Maja Baniček**, University of Zagreb

**Ivan Banović**, University of Split

**Ana Baričević**, University of Zagreb

**Marko Bartolac**, University of Zagreb

**Paolo Bazzurro**, University School for  
Advanced Studies IUSS Pavia

**Vasco Bernardo**, University of Minho

**Katrin Beyer**, École Polytechnique Fédérale  
de Lausanne

**Jelena Bleiziffer**, University of Zagreb

**Svetlana Brzev**, University of British  
Columbia

**Serena Cattari**, University of Genoa

**Anita Cerić**, University of Zagreb

**Andrew Charleson**, Victoria University of  
Wellington

**Nicola Chieffo**, University of Minho

**Helen Crowley**, EUCENTRE

**Nina Čeh**, University of Rijeka

**Ivan Ćurković**, University of Zagreb

**Domagoj Damjanović**, University of Zagreb

**Laurentiu Danciu**, ETH Zurich

**Iva Dasović**, University of Zagreb

**Marija Demšić**, University of Zagreb

**Marco di Ludovico**, University of Naples

**Matjaž Dolšek**, University of Ljubljana

**Ivan Duvnjak**, University of Zagreb

**Amr Elnashai**, University of Houston

**Peter Fajfar**, University of Ljubljana

**Radomir Folić**, University of Novi Sad

**Marina Frančić Smrkić**, University of  
Zagreb

**Marco Francesco Funari**, University of  
Surrey

**Mirela Galić**, University of Split

**Petra Gidak**, University of Zagreb

**Agostino Goretti**, Civil Protection  
Department of Italy

**Davor Grandić**, University of Rijeka  
**Nikola Grgić**, University of Split  
**Marin Grubišić**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer  
University of Osijek  
**Ivica Guljaš**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer  
University of Osijek  
**Marijana Hadzima-Nyarko**, Josip Juraj  
Strossmayer University of Osijek  
**Iman Hajirasouliha**, University of Sheffield  
**Sanja Hak**, Basler & Hofmann, Zürich  
**Ivo Haladin**, University of Zagreb  
**Alen Harapin**, University of Split  
**Marijan Herak**, University of Zagreb  
**Sanja Jerković**, City of Zagreb  
**Antonia Jaguljnjak Lazarević**, University of  
Zagreb  
**Tanja Kalman Šipoš**, Josip Juraj Strossmayer  
University of Osijek  
**Tomislav Kišiček**, University of Zagreb  
**Meho Saša Kovačević**, University of Zagreb  
**Sergio Lagomarsino**, University of Genoa  
**Damir Lazarević**, University of Zagreb  
**Paulo B. Lourenco**, University of Minho  
**Ivan Lukačević**, University of Zagreb  
**Ana Mandić Ivanković**, University of Zagreb  
**Marko Marinković**, University of Belgrade  
**Senad Medić**, University of Sarajevo  
**Ljubomir Miščević**, University of Zagreb  
**Paolo Morandi**, University of Pavia,  
EUCENTRE  
**Marija Mustać Brčić**, University of Zagreb  
**Miroslav Nastev**, Geoscience for Public  
Safety Geological Survey of Canada  
**Marina Nikolić**, University of Split  
**Eva Ocvirk**, University of Zagreb  
**Jelena Pejović**, University of Montenegro  
**Davorin Penava**, University of J.J.  
Strossmayer in Osijek

**Ljupko Perić**, ETH Zürich  
**Kyriazis Pitilakis**, Aristotle University  
**Savvas Saloustros**, École Polytechnique  
Fédérale de Lausanne  
**Marijana Serdar**, University of Zagreb  
**Mahmoud Shaqfa**, Massachusetts Institute of  
Technology  
**Veronika Shendova**, University of SS Cyril  
and Methodius in Skopje  
**Neritan Shkodrani**, Polytechnic University of  
Tirana  
**Zvonko Sigmund**, University of Zagreb  
**Vitor Silva**, GEM, EUCENTRE, University of  
Aveiro  
**Davor Skejić**, University of Zagreb  
**Ana Skender**, University of Zagreb  
**Marija Smilović Zulim**, University of Split  
**Hrvoje Smoljanović**, University of Split  
**Davor Stanko**, University of Zagreb  
**Mislav Stepinac**, University of Zagreb  
**Marta Šavor Novak**, University of Zagreb  
**Ingrid Tomac**, University of California (San  
Diego)  
**Igor Tomić**, École Polytechnique Fédérale de  
Lausanne  
**Neno Torić**, University of Split  
**Thanasis Triantafillou**, University of Patras  
**Boris Trogrlić**, University of Split  
**Mario Uroš**, University of Zagreb  
**Dimitrios Vamvatsikos**, National Technical  
University of Athens  
**Andelko Vlašić**, University of Zagreb  
**Mihaela Zamolo**, Croatian Society for  
Earthquake Engineering  
**Mariano Angelo Zanini**, University of  
Padova  
**Nikolina Živaljić**, University of Split

## **PREFACE**

After the 2020 earthquakes, the initial response was to gather all available resources in Croatia and abroad to address the threat (risk), which is officially designated (in the national strategic documents) as unacceptable. Unity and a thirst for knowledge proved crucial in the aftermath of the earthquakes, and we believe that this remains the guiding principle in our efforts to build a resilient future.

The organization of the First Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering (1CroCEE) was symbolic, as it was held on the first anniversary of the 2020 Zagreb earthquake and just a few months after the Petrinja earthquake. This occurred during a period of community recovery, when it was crucial to care for affected citizens, amidst the reconstruction of numerous significant buildings in the nation's capital, and while the country's resources were stretched, and experts were still in the field. Nevertheless, more than 700 researchers, practicing engineers, architects, government representatives (including the Prime Minister), students, and other colleagues from 26 countries participated in the conference. 1CroCEE was a "game-changer" in Croatia, significantly raising the level of knowledge, connecting experts, and maintaining high risk awareness. It highlighted the future reconstruction process as a culmination of post-earthquake activities, where the scientific community demonstrated its value.

The Second Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering (2CroCEE), held on the third anniversary of the 2020 Zagreb earthquake, reinforced a continuous and decisive approach to addressing earthquakes in a small and vulnerable country like Croatia. The conference established a new tradition of remembering earthquakes (even if infrequent) and transferring knowledge to new generations. Over 300 participants took advantage of unique technical visits to earthquake-affected areas and reconstruction sites in Zagreb and Petrinja.

The Third Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering (3CroCEE), planned for the fifth anniversary of the 2020 Zagreb earthquake, aims to "re(shake)" knowledge sharing and "reunite" efforts to raise awareness of the greatest threat/risk to Croatia. Dalmatian cities, including Split, are prime examples: they are extremely rich in cultural heritage, infinitely beautiful, and attractive for living, yet they contain many traditional buildings with high seismic vulnerability. One of the main goals of the conference is to promote state-of-the-art research and to implement knowledge and experience into prevention activities (minimising the casualties and damage). This is a real test of the lessons learned from the 2020 earthquakes in Zagreb and Petrinja, and a test of the level of seismic risk awareness for preventive measures. Do we have to wait for an earthquake in this part of Croatia or can we try to shake Split with knowledge?

Finally, the organizers of the conference would like to thank all the companies and institutions that supported this conference. Special thanks go to the International Association for Earthquake Engineering, the European Association for Earthquake Engineering and the Croatian Association for Earthquake Engineering, for their assistance and support in organizing this conference. We would like to express our deepest gratitude to the esteemed keynote and invited speakers who, with their expertise and contributions, have elevated this conference to the highest standards of excellence in the field of earthquake engineering. Furthermore, we would like to thank all the authors for their excellent contributions to these proceedings and the members of the Organizing Committee and Academic Scientific Committee, as well as the numerous experts who participated in the review process. The gratitude is also extended to all participants for attending the conference. We believe that these 3CroCEE proceedings will be highly interesting and useful to all experts exhibiting a scientific and professional interest in earthquake engineering.

We conclude with joy and pride that we have once again brought together many leading scientists in earthquake engineering and nearly all experts from Croatia. We firmly believe that the newly acquired knowledge will significantly help to achieve a further step towards an earthquake-resilient future in Croatia. Thank you for joining us at 3CroCEE to (re)shake Croatia (Split) with knowledge and establish a new tradition of reconstructing the past for a resilient future.

Josip Atalić  
Neno Torić  
Marta Šavor Novak  
Nikola Grgić  
Marina Frančić Smrkić  
Boris Trogrlić  
Mario Uroš  
Nikolina Živaljić  
Iva Dasović  
Marija Demšić  
Ivan Balić  
Mirela Galić

Zagreb, March 2025

## CONFERENCE SUPPORT

### SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS



**THE EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION  
FOR EARTHQUAKE  
ENGINEERING**

**The European Association for Earthquake Engineering**

[www.eaei.org](http://www.eaei.org)



**The International Association for Earthquake  
Engineering**

[www.iaee.or.jp](http://www.iaee.or.jp)



**The Croatian Association for Earthquake Engineering**



**Croatian Center for Earthquake Engineering**

Branch office of the Faculty of Civil Engineering University  
of Zagreb

[www.hcpi.hr](http://www.hcpi.hr)

### UNDER AUSPICE OF



**GOVERNMENT OF  
REPUBLIC OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF  
CROATIA**

**The Government of the Republic of Croatia**

Trg svetog Marka 2  
10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
<https://vlada.gov.hr/>



**ACADEMIA  
SCIENTIARUM ET  
ARTIUM CROATICA**

**Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts**

Foundation  
Zrinski trg 11  
10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
[www.zaklada-hazu.hr](http://www.zaklada-hazu.hr)

## GENERAL SPONSOR



Hrvatska komora  
inženjera građevinarstva

### Croatian Chamber of Civil Engineers

Ulica grada Vukovara 271  
10000 Zagreb, Croatia

[www.hkig.hr](http://www.hkig.hr)

## PLATINUM SPONSORS



### Spegra

Ante Petravića 23, 21000 Split, Croatia

[www.spegra.hr](http://www.spegra.hr)

## GOLDEN SPONSORS



### Radnik d.d.

Kralja Tomislava 45, 48260 Križevci, Croatia

<https://radnik.hr/>



### FIP MEC S.r.l.

Via Scapacchiò, 41, 35030 Selvazzano Dentro  
PD, Italy

<https://www.fipmec.it>



### Mapei Croatia d.o.o.

Purgarija 14, Kerestinec, 10431 Sveta Nedelja,  
Croatia

<https://www.mapei.com/hr/>

## SILVER SPONSORS



### **Freyssinet Adria SI, d.o.o.**

Žnidarčičeva 37, 5290 Šempeter pri Gorici,  
Slovenia

[www.freyssinet-adria.si](http://www.freyssinet-adria.si)

### **REGUPOL Germany GmbH & Co. KG**

Am Hilgenacker 24, 57319 Bad Berleburg,  
Germany

[www.regupol.de](http://www.regupol.de)

### **Tromont d.o.o.**

Dračevac 11, Split, Croatia

<https://www.tromont.hr/>

### **Wienerberger d.o.o.**

Donje Pokuplje 2, 47000 Karlovac

[www.wienerberger.hr](http://www.wienerberger.hr)

### **Ground Zero d.o.o.**

Ante Starčevića 49, 21 000 Trogir, Croatia

<https://www.gz.hr/>

### **PERI oplata i skele d.o.o.**

Banjavčičeva 13, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia

<https://www.peri.com.hr/>

### **Kinematics**

222 Vista Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91107, U.S.A.

<https://kinematics.com/>

### **Lavčević d.d.**

Bihačka 2, 21000 Split, Croatia

<https://www.lavcevic.hr/>

**BRONZE SPONSOR**



**Studio ARHING d.o.o.**

Ćire Truhelke 49, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

<https://www.studio-arhing.hr/>

**U.O.I.G.**

**Tarnik**

**U.O.I.G. Tarnik.**

Višnjica 29, Zagreb, Croatia

<http://www.tarnik-grad.hr/>



INSTITUT IGH, d.d.

**INSTITUT IGH, d.d.**

Janka Rakuše 1, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

<https://www.igh.hr>

**Toding**

**TODING d.o.o.**

Havidićeva 10a, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

<https://toding.hr/>

**stabilnost**

**Stabilnost d.o.o.**

Kroz Smrdečac 41, 21000 Split, Croatia

<https://www.stabilnost.eu/>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### KEYNOTE AND INVITED LECTURES: ABSTRACTS

#### SEISMIC ISOLATION IN LOSS-BASED DESIGN

Gian Michele Calvi.....1

#### MODELLING AND ANALYSES FOR THE SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING URM BUILDINGS: RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND ENGINEERING-PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS

Serena Cattari.....2

#### WHY SEISMIC HAZARD MODELLING HAS BECOME A RISKY BUSINESS

Helen Crowley.....3

#### COMPARISON OF TWO GENERATIONS OF EUROCODES FOR EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT CONCRETE BUILDINGS THROUGH SYSTEMATIC APPLICATIONS

Michael N. Fardis.....4

#### MASONRY ENCLOSURES: DAMAGE, APPLICATIONS, LESSONS LEARNED FROM TESTING AND NEW SOLUTIONS

Paulo B. Lourenço.....5

#### TESTING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE OF HERITAGE MASONRY BUILDINGS: NONDESTRUCTIVE VERSUS DESTRUCTIVE, LABORATORY VERSUS IN-SITU TESTING

Miha Tomažević.....6

#### EXPLOITING NONLINEAR SOIL-FOUNDATION RESPONSE IN DEFENCE OF THE SUPERSTRUCTURE

Ioannis Anastasopoulos.....7

#### SEISMIC PERFORMANCE AND FRAGILITY ASSESSMENT OF GLASS CURTAIN WALLS: METHODS AND INFLUENCING PARAMETERS

Chiara Bedon.....8

#### SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT IN URBAN AREAS: INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO SUPPORT LARGE-SCALE VULNERABILITY EVALUATIONS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Tiago Miguel Ferreira.....9

#### EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION OF SEISMIC INTERFEROMETRY IN ENGINEERING SEISMOLOGY

Stefano Parolai.....10

#### SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF MASONRY STRUCTURES

Hrvoje Smoljanović.....11

#### SEISMIC DESIGN OF MASONRY STRUCTURES WITH THE SECOND GENERATION OF EUROCODE 8 - BACKGROUND AND APPLICATION

Christoph Butenweg.....12

## **ENGINEERING SEISMOLOGY**

### **A CAUSAL LENS PERSPECTIVE ON BASIN EFFECTS**

Rashid Shams, Chukwuebuka C. Nweke, Chenhao Wu and Henry Burton.....13

### **COMBINING CONVENTIONAL AND NEXT-GENERATION INTENSITY MEASURES FOR RISK ASSESSMENT OF BRIDGES**

Savvinos Aristeidou, Gerard O'Reilly.....15

### **SEISMIC GROUND MOTION AMPLIFICATION INDUCED BY TOPOGRAPHIC IRREGULARITY IN NORTHERN CROATIA**

Davor Stanko, Dragana Dogančić, Sanja Kovač, Jasmin Jug, Stjepan Strelec, Vesna Poslončec Petrić, Marijan Kovačić, Francesco Panzera, Olga-Joan Ktenidou.....27

### **PATTERNS OF EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE IN STON: A 146-YEAR COMPARISON**

Marijan Herak, Davorka Herak.....30

### **THE ROLE OF SEISMIC GEOPHYSICAL METHODS IN NEAR-SURFACE CHARACTERIZATION**

Irena Gjorgjeska, Vlatko Sheshov, Julijana Bojadjeva, Kemal Edip.....32

### **LOCAL EARTHQUAKES - GROUND MOTION MODELS FOR EPICENTRAL ZONE**

Snezana Gjorgji Stamatovska.....40

### **IMPROVEMENT AND UPDATES OF THE NATIONAL DATABASES FOR SEISMOGENIC SOURCE MODELING IN THE CONTEXT OF REVISING THE NATIONAL HAZARD MODEL FOR NORTH MACEDONIA**

Zabedin Neziri, Ljubcho Jovanov, Radmila Salic Makreska, Katerina Drogreshka, Laurentiu Danciu, Jasmina Najdovska, Daniel Tomic.....52

### **PARAMETRIZATION OF SYNTHETIC NEAR-FAULT SEISMIC WAVEFIELDS FOR THE SOUTHWEST ICELAND TRANSFORM ZONE**

Farnaz Bayat, Milad Kowsari, Benedikt Halldórsson.....64

### **INVESTIGATING TIME-DEPENDENT EARTHQUAKE CLUSTERING IN SHORT-TERM RISK ANALYSIS**

Atefe Darzi, Benedikt Halldorsson, Mojtaba Moosapoor.....66

### **DEVELOPING EARTHQUAKE GROUND MOTION MODELS FOR ICELAND USING BAYESIAN HIERARCHICAL MODELING**

Hamed Davari, Milad Kowsari, Benedikt Halldorsson, Birgir Hrafnkelsson, Sahar Rahpeyma, Atefe Darzi.....69

### **TOWARDS A HYBRID SEISMIC HAZARD MODEL FOR ICELAND**

Benedikt Halldorsson, Milad Kowsari, Farnaz Bayat, Atefe Darzi, Hamed Davari.....71

### **PHYSICS-BASED SITE-SPECIFIC PSHA FOR SEVERAL URBAN CENTERS IN SOUTHWEST ICELAND**

Milad Kowsari, Benedikt Halldórsson.....73

## **GEOTECHNICAL EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING**

### **LANDSLIDE TRIGGERING AS AN INDIRECT CONSEQUENCE OF AN EARTHQUAKE - CASE STUDY FROM NORTHERN CROATIA**

Jasmin Jug, Stjepan Strelec, Kristijan Grabar, Dragana Dogančić, Anja Bek.....75

### **EFFECTS OF GROUND MOTION INTENSITY IN ASSESSMENT OF SOIL SLOPES IN EARTHFILL DAM**

Kemal Edip, Davor Stanko, Julijana Bojadjeva, Radmila Shalic-Makreska, Vlatko Sheshov.....83

### **THERMO-MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR AND STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF GEOTHERMALLY ACTIVE PILES**

Guxim Rrudhani, Josif Josifovski.....91

### **ANALYSIS OF CANTILEVER RETAINING WALL ACCORDING TO EUROCODES IN DRAINED AND UNDRAINED CONDITIONS**

Dejan Ivanovski, Julijana Bojadjeva, Kemal Edip, Vlatko Sheshov, Toni Kitanovski, Jovana Ristova.....103

### **LABORATORY TESTING OF SMALL-STRAIN MODULI IN NATURAL SANDY DEPOSITS**

Toni Kitanovski, Vlatko Sheshov, Julijana Bojadjeva, Kemal Edip, Dejan Ivanovski.....110

### **ANALYTICAL AND NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE SITE RESPONSE PERIOD IN THE SAGUENAY REGION, EASTERN CANADA**

A S M Fahad Hossain, Ali Saeidi, Mohammad Salsabili, Miroslav Nastev, Juliana Ruiz Suescun.....118

### **EFFECT OF SEISMIC LOAD ON THE STABILITY OF A DOLOMITE QUARRY IN HUNGARY**

Saltanat Yesselbayeva, Máté Urbán, Péter Görög.....128

### **LIQUEFACTION ASSESSMENT USING A SYNTHETIC CPT DERIVED FROM CORRELATION WITH SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY**

Mario Bačić, Lovorka Librić, Meho Saša Kovačević.....135

## **EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES FOR CHARACTERIZATION OF MATERIALS AND STRUCTURES**

### **TESTING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE OF HERITAGE MASONRY BUILDINGS: NONDESTRUCTIVE VERSUS DESTRUCTIVE, LABORATORY VERSUS IN-SITU TESTING**

Miha Tomažević.....146

### **DYNAMIC EFFECTS IN TALL STEEL STRUCTURES WITH DIFFERENT PURPOSES**

Elena Stokuca, Golubka Necevska-Cvetanovska, Milos Stokuca.....163

### **ADVANCING SEISMIC DESIGN AND ASSESSMENT THROUGH EXPERIMENTAL TESTING: INSIGHTS FROM THE ERIES PROJECT**

Gerard J. O'Reilly, Volkan Ozsarac, Davit Shahnazaryan, Igor Lanese, Elisa Rizzo Parisi, Filippo Dacarro, Gian Michele Calvi.....173

**INTEGRATION OF THE OMA AND FEMU FOR ESTIMATION MODULUS OF ELASTICITY IN MASONRY STRUCTURES**

Suzana Ereiz, Ivan Duvnjak, Janko Koščak, Marko Bartolac, Domagoj Damjanović, Marina Frančić Smrkić.....181

**EXPERIMENTAL TESTING OF CIRCULAR RC BRIDGE PIER MODELS SUPPORTING REFINED 3D MICRO-MODELING**

Laurent Hakaj, Jelena Ristic, Toni Arangelovski, Danilo Ristic.....189

**PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE INFILL IN FRAME BUILDINGS USING SB-UPGRADED ISOLATION SYSTEM: SEISMIC TESTS OF MODEL**

Iilir Canaj, Jelena Ristic, Elena Dumova-Jovanoska, Danilo Ristic.....200

**TESTING OF THE SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF A TRADITIONAL JOINT IN A WOODEN ROOF STRUCTURE**

Nives Meštrović, Ivica Guljaš.....212

**PUSH-OUT TESTS ON TIMBER-STEEL HYBRID JOINT WITH SCREWS CONNECTIONS. NUMERICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS**

Laura Corti, Giovanni Muciaccia.....221

**EXPERIMENTAL IN-SITU TESTING OF AN EXISTING BRIDGE**

Antonio Shoklarovski, Aleksandra Bogdanovic, Zoran Rakicevic, Filip Manojlovski, Angela Poposka .....229

**EXPERIMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING BRIDGE STRUCTURE**

Angela Poposka Antonio Shoklarovski, Aleksandra Bogdanovic, Zoran Rakicevic, Filip Manojlovski .....237

**REMOTE TESTING AND ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF BRIDGES USING ADVANCED EVALUATION METHODS**

Igor Gjorgjiev, Borjan Petreski, Marija Vitanova, Filip Manojlovski, Vlado Micov, Ana Nanevska, Trajche Zafirov.....244

**IMPROVING THE BENDING STIFFNESS OF DLT BEAMS: EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND ANALYTICAL MODEL VERIFICATION**

Ana Skender, Marina Frančić Smrkić, Ante Barišić.....256

**SAND AND SAND-KAOLINE MIXTURES CYCLIC PROPERTIES UNDER LOW CONFINING STRESS**

Vedran Jagodnik, Davor Marušić, Neira Mušić, Ivana Bošnjak .....264

**HISTORICAL STRUCTURES**

**A STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW: SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT METHODS FOR MASONRY STRUCTURES**

Antonela Moretić, Mislav Stepinac, Karlo Ožić.....274

**POST-1979 MONTENEGRO EARTHQUAKE RETROFITTING OF RECTOR'S PALACE IN DUBROVNIK'S OLD CITY: PRESERVING ARCHITECTURAL AND SCULPTURAL HERITAGE**

Davorin Penava, Marin Valičnić, Ante Vrban, Lars Abrahamczyk, Vasilis Sarhosis.....282

<b>ASSESSMENT, EVALUATIONS AND RETROFITTING APPLICATIONS METHODS FOR MASONRY OLD STONE STRUCTURE</b>	
Naser Kabashi, Enes Krasniqi, Teuta Imeri, Ylli Murati, Alush Shala.....	294
<b>SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF DRY STONE MASONRY STRUCTURES WITHIN THE DIOCLETIAN'S PALACE IN SPLIT</b>	
Nikolina Živaljić, Ivan Balić, Hrvoje Smoljanović, Boris Trogrlić, Ante Munjiza.....	306
<b>PREFABRICATED REINFORCED CONCRETE RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS: A FOCUS ON THE JUGOMONT SYSTEM</b>	
Milica Petrović, Svetlana Nikolić - Brzev.....	314
<b>PREDICTION OF MASONRY STRENGTH AND EARTHQUAKE CHARACTERISTICS USING MACHINE-LEARNING ALGORITHMS</b>	
Karl Deix, Branko Rusnov.....	328
<b>INTEGRATED NON-DESTRUCTIVE APPROACH FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF HISTORIC MONUMENTS</b>	
Jasna Grujoska-Kuneska, Veronika Shendova.....	339
<b>ASSESSMENT OF THE PHYSICAL CONDITION OF BYZANTINE CHURCHES IN NORTH MACEDONIA</b>	
Jasna Grujoska-Kuneska, Veronika Shendova.....	349
<b>SEISMIC EVALUATION OF THE HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL BUILDING WITH STRUCTURAL WALLS FROM THE LATE 60'S</b>	
Mustafa Hrasnica, Amina Karavelić-Hadžimejlić, Senad Medić, Jelena Medić.....	358
<b>ASSESSING MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HISTORIC STONE MASONRY: CASE STUDY IN JURDANI (RIJEKA)</b>	
Paulo Šćulac, Josipa Mihaljević, Ivana Štimac Grandić, Davor Grandić.....	370
<b>TIES IN UNREINFORCED MASONRY STRUCTURES: CASE STUDY IN KRK</b>	
Paulo Šćulac, Kristina Galjanić, Davor Grandić, Josipa Mihaljević, Željko Smolčić.....	380
<b>SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF A HISTORIC AUSTRO-HUNGARIAN MASONRY BUILDING IN SARAJEVO'S BAŠČARŠIJA</b>	
Ćamil Medanović, Naida Ademović, Marijana Hadzima-Nyarko.....	390
<b>PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL CHURCHES AND MOSQUES DURING RECENT EARTHQUAKES – SOME PERSPECTIVES ON APPLICATION OF AI/ ML SYSTEMS</b>	
Satwant Rihal, Hisham Assal.....	401
<b>SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF COMPLEX HISTORICAL MASONRY AGGREGATES WITH INTERACTIONS BETWEEN STRUCTURAL UNITS</b>	
Madalena Ponte, Gabriele Guerrini, Andrea Penna, Rita Bento.....	407
<b>ASSESSMENT OF TRAM-INDUCED VIBRATIONS ON EARTHQUAKE-DAMAGED BUILDINGS</b>	
Ivo Haladin, Franka Meštrović, Zvonimir Šantek, Katarina Vranešić, Krešimir Burnać.....	417

## **SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF STRUCTURES**

<b>COMPARISON OF RESULTS OBTAINED FROM ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS OF RC BUILDING COLUMNS USING CFRP</b>	
Artur Roshi, Golubka Nechevska-Cvetanovska, Jordan Bojadjiev.....	425
<b>RAPID VISUAL SCREENING METHOD FOR RC BUILDING STRUCTURES</b>	
Burbuqe Shatri, Golubka Nechevska-Cvetanovska.....	433
<b>RESEARCH IMPERATIVES FOR ENHANCING THE ANALYSIS AND SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF JOINTS IN VERTICAL BUILDING EXTENSIONS</b>	
Alush Shala, Jelena Bleiziffer.....	445
<b>INVESTIGATING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE HONEYCOMB YIELDING DAMPER(HYD)</b>	
Zeinolabedin Mortezaali, Peyman Shadman Heidari, Mohammad Ghanooni Bagha.....	451
<b>SHAKE TABLE TESTING OF U-SHAPED MASONRY STRUCTURES: OUT-OF-PLANE RESPONSE TO VARYING GROUND MOTION SIGNALS</b>	
Babar Ilyas, Dario Vecchio, Nuno Mendes, Paulo B. Lourenco.....	467
<b>EFFECTIVE STIFFNESS OF FLANGED REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURAL WALLS: A NUMERICAL APPROACH</b>	
Komaravolu Venkata Purneshwar, Nilanjan Samanta, Kaustubh Dasgupta.....	480
<b>INFLUENCE OF KINEMATIC SOIL – STRUCTURE INTERACTION ON THE SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF REINFORCED – CONCRETE FRAME BUILDINGS</b>	
Nikola Petrov, Julijana Bojadjjeva, Jordan Bojadjiev.....	491
<b>SEISMIC RETROFIT OF “PLINIO IL GIOVANE” SCHOOL BUILDING: MAJOR APPLICATION OF BASE ISOLATION USING HIGH DAMPING RUBBER BEARINGS</b>	
Stefano Barone.....	503
<b>SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF 1960S REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAME BUILDINGS WITH INFILL MASONRY WALLS</b>	
Naser Kabashi, Enes Krasniqi, Florim Grajcevcic, Milot Muhaxheri, Ylli Murati, Valon Veseli.....	515
<b>PREDICTION OF MODAL FEATURES FOR DIFFERENT DAMAGE STAGES AND RETROFIT METHODS OF A MASONRY BUILDING</b>	
Maja Baniček, Mahmoud Shaqfa, Sara Vaing, Josip Atalić.....	525
<b>SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF PREFABRICATED WALL PANELS: AN OVERVIEW</b>	
Andela Čavčić, Ivan Banović, Nikola Grgić, Alen Harapin.....	531
<b>IMPROVED SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING RC FRAME STRUCTURES</b>	
Aleksandar Zhurovski, Borjan Petreski, Igor Gjorgjiev.....	543
<b>SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF THE DAMAGE LIMIT STATES OF EXISTING HIGHWAY BRIDGES</b>	
Radomir Folić, Miloš Čokić.....	554
<b>SEISMIC ASSESSMENT AND COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF RETROFITTING MEASURES FOR POST-WAR MULTI-RESIDENTIAL MASONRY BUILDINGS IN LJUBLJANA</b>	
Simon Petrovčič, Petra Prašnikar, Vojko Kilar.....	566

<b>THE INFLUENCE OF STRUCTURAL ECCENTRICITY ON THE SEISMIC BEHAVIOR OF TORSIONALLY SENSITIVE STRUCTURES</b>	
Riste Volchev, Nikola Postolov, Koce Todorov, Ljupcho Lazarov.....	578
<b>PERFORMANCE-BASED SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF RC FRAME STRUCTURES USING SIMPLIFIED SDOF IDA</b>	
Learat Taravari, Koce Todorov.....	589
<b>MODELLING THE SEISMIC RESPONSE OF A CONFINED MASONRY BUILDING USING EQUIVALENT STRUT MODELS</b>	
Nemanja Krtinić, Matija Gams, Marko Marinković.....	601
<b>IN-PLANE BEHAVIOUR OF RC FRAMES WITH TRADITIONAL AND DECOUPLED INFILLS: PARAMETRIC STUDY</b>	
Aleksa Milijaš, Marko Marinković, Christoph Butenweg, Sven Klinkel.....	613
<b>AUGMENTED MODAL DIMENSIONS FOR SEISMIC EVALUATION OF BUILDINGS</b>	
Jui-Liang Lin.....	626
<b>BEHAVIOUR OF DUAL STEEL FRAMES WITH INNOVATIVE DOUBLE SKIN COLD-FORMED STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE SHEAR WALLS</b>	
Ivan Lukačević, Emanuel Krupa-Jurić, Ivan Čurković, Marko Barišić.....	634
<b>NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT FOR SHEAR KEY JOINT BEHAVIOUR SIMULATION IN ARCH DAMS: PART 1 – INVESTIGATING THE INFLUENCE OF THE KEY SHAPE AND ANGLE</b>	
Ana Nanevska, Violeta Mircevska.....	646
<b>NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT FOR SHEAR KEY JOINT BEHAVIOUR SIMULATION IN ARCH DAMS: PART 2 – INVESTIGATING THE INFLUENCE OF THE ARCH THICKNESS AND APPLIED FORCE</b>	
Violeta Mircevska, Ana Nanevska.....	658
<b>COMPARATIVE NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF TIMBER ADDED FLOORS ON EXISTING RC STRUCTURES</b>	
Viktor Hristovski, Trajche Zafirov.....	670
<b>NEW PROVISIONS FOR SEISMIC DESIGN OF BRIDGES</b>	
Ana Mandić Ivanković, Mladen Srbić, Gordana Hrelja Kovačević.....	679
<b>MASONRY WALL-RC SLAB INTERACTION UNDER SEISMIC LOADING</b>	
Goran Simonović, Mustafa Hrasnica, Senad Medić.....	691
<b>THE EFFECTS OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION ON THE SEISMIC DESIGN OF SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS FOR EBFs</b>	
Sanda Koboevic, Bardia Ramin Afshar.....	698
<b>INFLUENCE OF GROUND MOTION PARAMETERS ON SEISMIC RESPONSE</b>	
Tanja Kalman Šipoš, Adriana Brandis.....	706
<b>ANALYTICAL INVESTIGATION OF MASONRY INFILL REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMES UNDER AXIAL AND LATERAL LOADING</b>	
Florim Grajčevci, Valon Veseli, Labeat Misini, Zijadin Guri, Ilir Canaj.....	717

**PUSHOVER ANALYSIS OF TIMBER BUILDINGS WITH LIGHT FRAME TIMBER PANELS FOR ENGINEERING PRACTICE: METHOD DESCRIPTION, DISCUSSION, AND HIGHLIGHTING RESEARCH GAPS**

Meta Kržan.....730

**ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF A TYPICAL PRE-CODE URM BUILDING IN ZAGREB**

Kenan Omerović, Mario Uroš, Senad Medić.....740

**APPLICATION OF THE NEW EUROCODE 8 TO THE SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF AN EXISTING BUILDING IN ZAGREB**

Andrea Brunelli, Silvia Pinasco, Serena Cattari, Sergio Lagomarsino.....752

**ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM-COLUMN JOINT IN ANSYS SOFTWARE**

Ivana Drobnjak, Marina Rakočević.....763

**IMPACT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE ON SEISMIC RESISTANCE OF CONCRETE BRIDGES**

Marija Kušter Marić, Petra Milić, Anđelko Vlašić, Mladen Srbić, Ana Mandić Ivanković, Dominik Skokandić.....775

**SEISMIC ASSESSMENT OF THE PRECODE HIGH RISE BUILDING FRAME USING THE PUSHOVER ANALYSIS**

Karlo Grgurić, Mario Uroš.....785

**INFLUENCE OF CONNECTING ELEMENTS IN HORIZONTAL LOAD-BEARING SYSTEM OF A MASS TIMBER OFFICE BUILDING**

Robert Buzjak, Mario Uroš.....796

**REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING OF STRUCTURES**

**OPTIMAL ESTIMATION OF LINEAR SHEAR-TYPE MODELS FOR BENCHMARK STEEL STRUCTURES IN DYNAMIC ANALYSIS**

Maziar Fahimi Farzam, Mohammad Salehi.....810

**NUMERICAL VALIDATION OF MASONRY WALL EXPERIMENTS USING THE APPLIED ELEMENT METHOD**

Sergey Churilov.....823

**BIM APPLICATION IN SEISMIC STRENGTHENING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDING USING FRP MATERIALS**

Goran Chapragoski, Golubka Nechevska-Cvetanovska.....835

**TESTS ON SPECIAL ANCHORS FOR MASONRY INFILLS WITH SPECIAL OPPORTUNITY FOR SEISMIC RETROFITTING**

Matthias Roik, Caroline Piesker.....844

**SEISMIC RETROFIT OF MASONRY WALLS USING REPOINTING WITH TRADITIONAL MORTAR AND POLYPROPYLENE STRIPS - TEST RESULTS**

Festim Ademi, Sergey Churilov, Enis Jakupi, Elena Dumova - Jovanoska.....855

**BASE ISOLATION OF AN EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDING**

Krešimir Todorčić, Mario Uroš.....868

**DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT OF RC BEAMS FLEXURALLY STRENGTHENED WITH CFRP STRIPS**

Tomislav Brozović, Tomislav Kišiček.....880

**STRUCTURAL RECONSTRUCTION OF THE BELFRY, MONASTERY AND CHURCH OF ST. FRANCIS ON THE KAPTOL IN ZAGREB**

Ante Mlinar, Ante Kelava, Ante Borovina, Ante Buzov.....887

**POST-EARTHQUAKE RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS**

**INCREASING STRUCTURAL RESILIENCE: A CASE STUDY OF HOSPITAL RETROFITTING IN ALBANIA**

Izet Mehmetaj, Erjon Çobani, Armela Kalliri.....901

**POST-EARTHQUAKE RECONSTRUCTION IN THE ZAGREB SETTLEMENTS MARKUŠEVAC AND ČUČERJE**

Svirčić Gotovac, Anđelina, Zlatar Gamberožić, Jelena.....911

**LESSONS LEARNT FROM EARTHQUAKE DISASTERS**

**COMPUTED BI-DIRECTIONAL COMBINATION COEFFICIENTS FOR STRUCTURES USING THE FEB. 6TH, 2023 KAHRAMANMARAS EARTHQUAKES' GROUND MOTION DATA**

Asma Yahioaui, Oguz Koz, Oguz C. Celik.....923

**ANALYSIS OF SGM DATA CHARACTERISTICS FOR BETTER EXPLANATION OF BUILDING DAMAGE DURING THE FEB.6TH, 2023 KAHRAMANMARAS, TURKEY EARTHQUAKES**

Oguz Koz, Sinem Guntepe, Oguz C. Celik.....935

**RAPID EARTHQUAKE LOSS ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK: RELAR PROJECT AND ITS APPLICATION ON THE DATA SET FROM THE KRALJEVO (SERBIA) EARTHQUAKE IN 2010**

Marko Marinković, Zoran Stojadinović, Miloš Kovačević, Mladen Nikolić, Dejan Marinković, Đorđe Nedeljković, Zoran Babović, Zoran Pucanović, Filip Đorđević, Marija Ivanović, Nevena Simić, Božidar Stojadinović.....947

**ENGINEERING EXPERTISE ASPECTS DURING DISASTER RESPONSE – A CASE STUDY OF FLOODS IN FBiH**

Ante Pilipović, Karlo Jandrić.....958

**SUZI-SAE EARTHQUAKE IMAGE DATABASE: A FRAMEWORK FOR TAGGING EARTHQUAKE-INDUCED DAMAGE**

Filip Đorđević, Marko Radišić, Svetlana Brzev, Marko Marinković.....966

## **PREPAREDNESS AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT**

### **EARTHQUAKE RISK PERCEPTION IN BANJA LUKA: IMPLICATION FOR SEISMIC MANAGEMENT**

Vesna Šipka, Mirjana Landika, Ljiljana Popović.....975

### **DEPLOYING OF SEISMIC ALERT WARNING SYSTEMS IN INDUSTRIAL FACILITY**

Igor Gjorgjiev, Marta Stojmanovska.....987

### **BUILDING RESILIENT COMMUNITIES: ADVANCING STEM EDUCATION AND EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH**

Roberta Apostolska, Vlatko Sheshov, Marija Vitanova, Goran Jekic, Elena Delova, Ana Nanevska, Filip Manojlovski, Dejan Ivanovski.....995

### **FIELD APPLICATION FOR ASSESSING THE DAMAGE AND USABILITY OF BRIDGES AFTER AN EARTHQUAKE**

Anđelko Vlašić, Marta Šavor Novak, Ana Mandić Ivanković, Gordana Hrelja Kovačević, Mladen Srbić, Marija Kušter Marić, Dominik Skokandić, Jelena Bleiziffer.....1005

### **APPLICATION OF THE BAILEY BRIDGES IN POST-EARTHQUAKE INTERVENTIONS**

Marko Šimić, Dražen Brkić, Marija Kušter Marić, Anđela Ivanović.....1017

### **ENHANCING URBAN DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT: A TRANSNATIONAL ANALYSIS OF SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORKS IN EUROPE**

Jelena Pejovic, Nina Serdar, Maria Polese, René Kastner, Marta Faravelli, Marco Gaetani d' Aragona, Paolo Ricci, Anže Babič, Neja Fazarinc, Serena Cattari, Valerio Poggi, Marco Lazzati, Christina Rechberger, Can Baran Aktaş, Mehmet Fırat Aydın, Özkan Kale, Rıza Secer Orkun Keskin.....1026

### **A BUILDING CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT OF GOSTIVAR, NORTH MACEDONIA**

Shpresim Ibraimi, Koce Todorov, Miroslav Nastev, Stanislav Milovanovic.....1038

### **THE USE OF UAVS IN ASSESSING SEISMIC VULNERABILITY OF EXISTING URM BUILDINGS**

Mislav Stepinac, Lucijano Bajić, Milan Domazet.....1050

### **EMERGE: RAPID MULTI-RISK NEEDS EVALUATION AND PLANNING PLATFORM**

Radmila Salic Makreska, Igor Gjorgjiev, Julijana Bojadjieva, Vlatko Sesov, Roberta Apostolska, Goran Chapragoski.....1058

### **EDUCATION OF EXPERTS FOR DAMAGE ASSESSMENT OF BUILDINGS IMMEDIATELY AFTER AN EARTHQUAKE USING XR – PROJECT PROGRESS**

Zvonko Sigmund, Massimo Migliorini, Karlo Jandrić, Elios De Maio, Marina Nadalin.....1066

## **SEISMIC LOSS AND RISK ANALYSIS**

### **CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR MODULAR CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS IN SEISMIC PRONE REGIONS: A STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW**

Vasco Bernardo, Paulo Candeias, António A. Correia.....1075

<b>SEISMIC PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS IN SKOPJE</b>	
Elena Delova, Aleksandar Zlateski, Marin Grubisic, Veronika Shendova, Zivko Bozinovski, Kemal Edip.....	1087
<b>RECENT ADVANCES IN SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF CROATIAN COASTAL URBAN AREA</b>	
Željana Nikolić, Elena Benvenuti, Luka Runjić, Nenad Mladineo, Marko Mladineo.....	1096
<b>SP-BELA: A STRUCTURAL SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY BASED ON PUSHOVER CURVES</b>	
Barbara Borzi, Antonella Di Meo, Marta Faravelli.....	1104
<b>ON THE IMPACTS OF STRUCTURE-TO-STRUCTURE DAMAGE CORRELATION FOR REGIONAL SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT</b>	
Tomas Mejia, Gerard J. O’Reilly.....	1116
<b>BRIDGING THE GAP: A SOFTWARE TOOL FOR EFFICIENT GROUND MOTION RECORD SELECTION IN EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING</b>	
Davit Shahnazaryan, Volkan Ozsarac, Gerard J. O’Reilly.....	1128
<b>ENHANCING FUNCTIONAL RECOVERY ESTIMATIONS THROUGH STOREY LOSS FUNCTIONS</b>	
Davit Shahnazaryan, Gerard J. O’Reilly.....	1140
<b>INTEGRATING SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT INTO SPATIAL PLANNING: CASE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA</b>	
Elena Dumova - Jovanoska, Kristina Milkova, Sergey Churilov, Simona Bogoevska.....	1150
<b>A REVIEW OF EXISTING SEISMIC VULNERABILITY FUNCTIONS FOR BUILDINGS IN THE BALKAN REGION</b>	
Kristina Milkova, Marta Šavor Novak, Marko Marinkovic, Elena Dumova - Jovanoska.....	1157
<b>BUILDING EXPOSURE MODELLING FOR NATIONAL SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT IN MONTENEGRO</b>	
Nina Serdar, Jelena Pejović.....	1169
<b>SCENARIO-BASED SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS IN THE SKOPJE AREA, NORTH MACEDONIA</b>	
Lisa Jusufi, Roberta Apostolska.....	1179
<b>SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF PART OF HISTORIC CENTER OF SKOPJE</b>	
Aleksandar Zlateski, Elena Delova, Veronika Shendova.....	1191
<b>VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT AND SEISMIC RISK ANALYSIS OF BUILDINGS IN IRAQ: INSIGHTS FROM BUILDING SURVEYS AND DAMAGE SCENARIOS</b>	
Peshawa L. Hasan, Baban A. Bapir, Brwa S. Hama Ali, Lars Abrahamczyk, Serwan Khurshid.....	1200
<b>IMPROVEMENT OF THE EXPOSURE MODEL AND PRELIMINARY PHYSICAL RISK ANALYSIS: A PILOT CASE MUNICIPALITY</b>	
Daniel Tomic, Radmila Salic Makreska, Marija Vitanova, Zabedin Neziri, Noah Martin.....	1212
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF K QUOTIENT METHODOLOGY FOR INTER-CODE LATERAL FORCE COMPARISON</b>	
Zoran Milutinovic, Radmila Salic Makreska, Jovan Trajchevski, Daniel Tomic.....	1221

**APPLICATION OF THE PARAMETRIC PUSHOVER CURVE MODEL FOR SEISMIC LOSS ESTIMATION OF A BUILDING STOCK**

Neja Fazarinc, Anže Babič, Matjaž Dolšek.....1233

**PHD SPECIAL SESSION**

**INFLUENCE OF GROUND MOTION RECORD SELECTION ON HIGHER MODE DEMANDS IN RC WALLS: A COMPARISON OF UHS AND CS APPROACHES**

Jose Poveda, Gerard J. O'Reilly.....1244

**ANALYTICAL APPROACH TO SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR ASSESSMENT OF RC FRAME STRUCTURES WITH RUBBER JOINTED MASONRY INFILL**

Liljana B. Mijalkova, Aleksandra Bogdanović, Enrico Tubaldi, Marko Marinković, Bartolomeo Pantò.....1256

**EFFECT OF COLUMN BASE ROTATIONAL STIFFNESS ON THE SEISMIC RESPONSE OF STEEL BUILDINGS**

Menelaos Papamichelakis, George Papagiannopoulos.....1258

**RESPONSE OF THE BUILDING IN AGGREGATES ACCORDING TO THE INTERCONNECTED DIFFERENT PARAMETERS**

Maja Mrkonjić, Josip Atalić.....1270

**OVERVIEW OF THE DEVELOPMENT, APPLICATION AND CHALLENGES OF NATURAL FIBER REINFORCED CEMENTITIOUS MATRIX (NFRCM)**

Mario Žigo, Marina Nikolić, Marija Smilović Zulim, Nikola Grgić .....1272

**NEAR-DISTANCE SPECTRAL PARAMETER KAPPA CALCULATIONS FOR STATIONS INSTALLED IN N. MACEDONIA**

Marina Poposka, Davor Stanko, Radmila Salic Makreska, Dragi Dojcinovski, Marta Stojmanovska.....1285

**A COLLABORATIVE FRAMEWORK TO MODEL THE SEISMIC VULNERABILITY OF RC BUILDINGS USING SIMULATED DESIGN**

Volkan Ozsarac, Nuno Pereira, Hossameldeen Mohamed, Xavier Romão, Gerard O'Reilly, Helen Crowley.....1295

**SEISMIC RISK AND LOSS ASSESSMENT OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAME STRUCTURES STRENGTHENED BY THE ADDITION OF MASONRY INFILL WALLS**

Marin Grubišić.....1307

**EARTHQUAKE RESISTANCE OF POLES ALONG ROADS**

Višnja Tkalčević Lakušić, Iva Crnjac.....1319

**ADVERTISEMENTS**.....1327

## RECENT ADVANCES IN SEISMIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF CROATIAN COASTAL URBAN AREA

Željana Nikolić <sup>(1)\*</sup>, Elena Benvenuti <sup>(2)</sup>, Luka Runjić <sup>(3)</sup>, Nenad Mladineo <sup>(4)</sup>, Marko Mladineo <sup>(5)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Full Professor, University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, [zeljana.nikolic@gradst.hr](mailto:zeljana.nikolic@gradst.hr)

<sup>(2)</sup> Full Professor, University of Ferrara, Engineering Department, [elena.benvenuti@unife.it](mailto:elena.benvenuti@unife.it)

<sup>(3)</sup> Researcher, University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, [luka.runjic@gradst.hr](mailto:luka.runjic@gradst.hr)

<sup>(4)</sup> Professor, University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, [nenad.mladineo@gradst.hr](mailto:nenad.mladineo@gradst.hr)

<sup>(5)</sup> Associate Professor, University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, [marko.mladineo@fesb.hr](mailto:marko.mladineo@fesb.hr)

### Abstract

The seismic vulnerability assessment of existing urban areas provides fundamental information about reducing seismic risk in different phases of planning and emergency management. This contribution presents recent advances in seismic vulnerability assessment in Croatian coastal areas at various scales, starting with a detailed analytical approach at the building scale to large-scale evaluation at the building level and the level of the homogeneous zones. The investigation was performed in the town of Kaštela. This typical coastal settlement has expanded over past centuries, resulting in a heterogeneous distribution of the buildings built in periods with different technical regulations. A detailed nonlinear-linear analysis of important buildings (historical, public) has been performed providing evidence of their collapse behaviour. A comprehensive hybrid approach to large-scale seismic risk assessment, combining seismic vulnerability indices with critical PGAs for different limit states, has been applied to define vulnerability curves that relate vulnerability index, damage index, and peak ground acceleration. The outcome of the present analysis is the large-scale risk representation in terms of the damage and seismic risk indexes at the building level. The level of seismic risk to the community depends on several other parameters whose activation reduces the resilience to extraordinary events. Considering the characteristics of the observed pilot site, additional criteria for the risk assessment of homogenous zones are introduced, such as communal infrastructure, road network, construction density, inhabitation density, importance factor (public building, school, etc.), and status of protected historical buildings. The proposed methodology addresses seismic risk assessment at the level of homogeneous zones and utilizes Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision Making and PROMETHEE method. The presented approach to seismic risk assessment has important operational outcomes in the seismic risk management of the investigated area.

*Keywords: seismic vulnerability assessment, hybrid approach, nonlinear-linear analysis, vulnerability index, damage, seismic risk index, PROMETHEE method.*

### 1. Introduction

An insufficient seismic resistance of buildings is a main reason for human losses and material damage during a seismic event. The assessment of seismic performance of buildings, such as seismic vulnerability, structural capacity and damage state, is a demanding task, especially in old cities that have been gradually growing and expanding over the course of centuries. It requires complex nonlinear methods that precisely model the dissipation of energy in earthquake. Analysis of whole buildings is carried out by a non-linear static (pushover) method or an incremental dynamic analysis. Both approaches allow monitoring the level of damage and determining the collapse load.

The assessment of the seismic resistance of most buildings in cities is much more demanding because it is not possible to carry out a nonlinear analysis for all of them. Therefore, to effectively manage earthquake risk, the large-scale seismic vulnerability assessment is usually performed by simplified methods. In this paper, seismic vulnerability is assessed at different scales, starting with analytical approach at the building scale to large-scale assessment at the building level and large-scale assessment at the level of the homogeneous zones.

The proposed methodology applies a comprehensive hybrid approach to large-scale seismic risk assessment at the building level [1, 2], combining seismic vulnerability indices with critical PGAs for different limit states. The aim is defining vulnerability curves to relate vulnerability index, damage index and peak ground acceleration. The developed methodology combines the advantages of the vulnerability index method, proposed by Benedetti and Petrini [3], in assessing the seismic vulnerability for large-scale datasets of buildings with a detailed analytical approach based on the nonlinear static (pushover) method, implemented in Eurocode 8 [4, 5], which allows the determination of the capacity curves and the specific limit states of the buildings.

The level of risk of the community depends on several other parameters whose activation reduces the "resistance" of the community in seismic event. The most common parameters (criteria) relevant for the influence of the earthquake to the community are grouped into area characteristics (geology, soil, slope, historical earthquake events, fault line, etc.), the characteristics of human intervention in space (land use, built communal infrastructure and roads, etc.) and social characteristics such as housing density, social purpose of buildings (Occupancy Category), social structure, etc.). The large-scale assessment of seismic risk at the level of homogeneous zones has been performed by using the multi-criteria analysis [6] that is commonly used to evaluate and compare quantitative and qualitative criteria in completely different units and the order of magnitude. In this level of processing, the previously analyzed buildings, whose seismic performance has been represented in terms of vulnerability, damage and risk indexes, are grouped into spatial units defined as "homogeneous zones". Since homogeneous zones have complex characteristics, the parameters for vulnerability and hazard, are coupled with the inclusion of additional criteria in order to assess the level of seismic risk to the community.

The methodology has been applied to a small coastal urban settlement, Kaštel Kambelovac (Fig.1), on the Croatian side of the Adriatic Sea near Split. The settlement consists of a historical core constituted by stonemasonry and the periphery outside of the historical core. The historical core was erected between the 15th and 19th centuries, while the periphery includes mostly modern buildings. In particular, five main categories of construction data of modern buildings have been recognized: before 1948, 1949–1964, 1964–1982, 1982–2005, and modern buildings erected from 2005 onwards. All these buildings exhibit different seismic performances depending on the period of construction and applied technical regulation.




Figure 1. Historical center of Kaštel Kambelovac with typical buildings.

## 2. Seismic risk assessment at the building level

A comprehensive hybrid approach to large-scale seismic risk assessment, combining seismic vulnerability indices with critical peak ground accelerations (PGA) for different limit states, has been applied to define vulnerability curves that allow to relate vulnerability index, damage index, and PGA.

The vulnerability index method is used to calculate the vulnerability index for the building based on the calculation of 11 geometrical, structural and non-structural vulnerability parameters of the building.

The parameters consider the influence of the type and quality of the structural system, the shear resistance in two horizontal directions, the position and the foundations, the properties of floors, the configuration in plan and elevation, the maximum wall spacing, the roof’s typology and weight, the existence of non-structural elements, and the state of preservation. Four possibilities for each parameter were decided: from “A”, indicating an optimal state, to “D”, indicating a poor state. Furthermore, the method numerically scores each option. The relative importance of each parameter in the overall vulnerability is computed by using weight coefficients relating to each parameter. Finally, the vulnerability index  $I_V$  is calculated in a form  $I_V = \sum_i s_{vi} w_i$ , where  $s_{vi}$  is the numerical score for each class, and  $w_i$  is the weight of each parameter. The vulnerability index is normalized in a 0–100% range; a low index indicates high seismic resistance and low vulnerability, while a high vulnerability index is characteristic of the buildings with low seismic resistance and high vulnerability. Vulnerability indexes of the buildings located in the test site are presented in Fig. 2 [2].



Figure 2. Vulnerability index map of the test site.

An important step in seismic risk assessment is representation of seismic risk in terms of the damage index and the index of seismic risk. In this paper a hybrid empirical-analytical procedure that combines seismic vulnerability indices with critical PGA have been developed. For this purpose, detail non-linear pushover analysis for 18 buildings has been performed by 3MURI software [7]. In order to calculate the damage index, the relation between the vulnerability index and the seismic capacity represented by PGA for the sample of 18 buildings has been established. Non-linear static (pushover) analysis has been applied for the calculation of PGA for different limit states of buildings (damage limitation  $PGA_{DL}$ , significant damage  $PGA_{SD}$ , and near collapse  $PGA_{NC}$ ). Vulnerability index - PGA relations (Fig. 3) enable the calculation of the damage index of the building, but also the calculation of the index of seismic risk for each limit states.




Figure 3. Vulnerability index – PGA relations ( $I_V$ – $PGA_{DL}$ ,  $I_V$ – $PGA_{SD}$ , and  $I_V$ – $PGA_{NC}$ ).

The vulnerability curves allow to correlate the vulnerability index, damage index and peak ground acceleration. The basis for defining vulnerability curves in the presented investigation are vulnerability indices  $I_V$ , yield peak ground acceleration  $PGA_y$ , and collapse peak ground acceleration  $PGA_c$ , obtained

by the pushover analysis for 18 analysed buildings. Yield acceleration  $PGA_y$  is assigned to  $PGA_{DL}$  and collapse acceleration  $PGA_C$  to  $PGA_{NC}$  limit states, respectively. As  $PGA_y$  and  $PGA_C$  depend on the vulnerability index  $I_V$ , the values of  $PGA_y$ , associated with damage  $d=0$ , and  $PGA_C$ , associated with damage  $d=1$ , can be calculated for each value of  $I_V$ . Fig. 4 shows the vulnerability curves used for the estimation of the damage index of the buildings at the investigated area [2].




Figure 4. Vulnerability curves for the test site.

The spatial distribution of the damage is represented by the damage index maps of the investigated area for the given intensity of the earthquake. Three seismic scenarios corresponding to return periods of 95, 225, and 475 years and demand  $PGA$  of 0.11 g, 0.17 g, and 0.22 g, respectively, have been chosen. The damage to the buildings for different scenarios is presented in Fig. 5.



(a)



(b)




(c)

Figure 5. Damage index distribution: (a) T=95 years; (b) T=225 years; (c) T=475 years.

The index of seismic risk is calculated as a ratio of the  $PGA_C$  associated to the structural capacity and the demand ground acceleration  $PGA_D$  in a form  $\alpha_{PGA,C} = PGA_C / PGA_D$ .  $PGA_D$  is obtained from the seismic hazard map for the selected return period as  $PGA_D = a_g S$ , where  $a_g$  represents horizontal PGA on type A soil which also includes importance of the building ( $a_g = \gamma_I a_{gR}$ ) and  $S$  is a soil parameter. Indices of seismic risk are used to validate the safety of the structure,  $\alpha_{PGA,C} > 1$  refer to safe structures, while the values  $\alpha_{PGA,C} < 1$  refer to non-safe structures. The indices of seismic risk for the NC limit state of the buildings for three return periods are presented in Fig. 6.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 6. Distribution of index of seismic risk: (a) T=95 years; (b) T=225 years; (c) T=475 years.

### 3. Seismic risk assessment at the level of homogeneous zones

The large-scale assessment of seismic risk at the level of homogeneous zones has been performed to evaluate and compare quantitative and qualitative criteria in completely different units and the order of magnitude. The previously analysed buildings, whose seismic performance has been represented in terms of vulnerability, damage and risk indexes, are grouped into spatial units defined as “homogeneous zones”. The homogenous zones are created considering specific urban characteristics, areas surrounded by the main roads and terrain height. The parameters for vulnerability represented by vulnerability index and seismic hazard in each zone are coupled with the inclusion of additional criteria in order to assess the level of seismic risk to the community. Additional criteria are communal infrastructure -electricity supply, communal infrastructure - water supply and drainage, Road network, construction density (distance between buildings), inhabitation density, importance factor of the buildings (public, school, etc.) and existence of protected historical buildings.

A methodology for seismic risk assessment of the area at the level of the homogeneous zones is based on Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision Making based on PROMETHEE method [8], coupled with the Geographic Information System [6].

The advantage of the Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision-Making system is the ability to generate criteria evaluations from GIS analysis. In this research, GIS analyses have been made to aggregate criteria evaluations for each homogenous zone for the following additional criteria: communal infrastructure, road network, construction density, inhabitation density, importance factor (public building, school, etc.), and historical buildings.

Criterion Communal infrastructure can be presented as a unified indicator or, for easier quantification, can be divided into individual content, such as: electricity supply, water supply and sewerage, gas network, telecommunications network, etc. Road network is part of the built infrastructure, but it is also treated as a special criterion due to its importance, because firefighter’s accesses are very important element of the system for assessing the vulnerability of urban units. Construction density or distance between buildings as a way of building is an important criterion from the aspect of the organization of the rescue, especially in cases of earthquakes of higher intensity. Inhabitation density is a very common criterion used to have an insight into the expected number of victims or vulnerable persons. Data for this criterion can be generated from a digitized view of the census or from communal databases. The importance factor is a criterion that selects buildings that have social importance (content) such as schools, churches, and kindergartens. Another criterion is dictated by the presence of important historic buildings in a particular homogeneous zone. GIS analysis of these criteria is presented in Fig. 8.




Figure 8. GIS analysis of additional criteria: (a) electricity supply; (b) water supply and drainage; (c) road network; (d) construction density; (e) importance factor (public, school, etc.); (f) historical buildings.

The PROMETHEE method combines all above mentioned criteria with ease, mutually compares homogeneous zones and evaluates the risk for each zone. Visual representation of the results has been made in GIS, where green represents low risk and red represents high risk (Fig. 9).




Figure 9. Seismic risk assessment for homogenous zones (green represents low risk, red represents high risk).

## 4. Conclusion

This paper presents a methodology for seismic vulnerability assessment at different scales. This methodology utilizes a comprehensive hybrid approach specific for large-scale seismic vulnerability and risk assessment of existing urban area at the building scale. Moreover, vulnerability index method and non-linear static pushover analysis are used for representation of vulnerability index, damage index and index of seismic risk for the Croatian coastal settlement Kaštel Kambelovac. Spatial Multi-Criteria Decision Making based on PROMETHEE method, coupled with the Geographic Information System are used to assess seismic risk to the community at the level of the homogeneous zones introducing additional criteria which influence to the earthquake consequences in the observed zones. Finally, the various homogenous zones are ranked in terms of proneness to seismic risk. The obtained results provide an important basis for systematic take-over and planning of priorities in rehabilitation of vulnerable urban areas. Performed analyses furnish, indeed, useful information for decision makers and public authorities to prioritizing future interventions and planning the operational capacity of civil protection to rescue and recovery after harmful events.

## Acknowledgements

This research was funded by: (1) the EUROPEAN UNION, Programme Interreg Italy-Croatia, Project “STRategies for assessing climate change and natural hazards' impact on urban ecosystems, increasing resilience to ENvironmental hazards, and promoting territorial Growth” - STRENGTH ID ITHR0200318; (2) the EUROPEAN UNION, Programme Interreg Italy-Croatia, Project “Preventing, managing and overcoming natural-hazards risks to mitigate economic and social impact” - PMO-GATE ID 10046122; (3) the CROATIAN GOVERNMENT and the EUROPEAN UNION through the European Regional Development Fund—the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Project KK.01.1.1.02.0027).

## References

- [1] Nikolić, Ž., Runjić, L., Ostojić Škomrlj, N., Benvenuti, E. (2021): Seismic vulnerability assessment of historical masonry buildings in Croatian coastal area. *Applied Sciences*, **11**(13), 5997, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11135997>
- [2] Nikolić, Ž., Benvenuti, E., Runjić, L. (2022): Seismic risk assessment of urban areas by a hybrid empirical-analytical procedure based on peak ground acceleration. *Applied Sciences*, **12**(7), 3585, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12073585>
- [3] Benedetti, D., Petrini, V. (1984): Vulnerability of masonry buildings: Proposal of a method of assessment (in Italian). *L'industria Delle Costr.*, 149, 66–74.
- [4] CEN (2004). EN 1998-1:2004: Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance - Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings, Comité Européen de Normalisation, Brussels.
- [5] CEN (2005). EN 1998-3:2005. Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake resistance - Part 3: Assessment and retrofitting of buildings, Comité Européen de Normalisation, Brussels.
- [6] Mladineo, N., Mladineo, M., Benvenuti, E., Kekez, T. (2022): Methodology for the Assessment of Multi-Hazard Risk in Urban Homogenous Zones, *Applied Sciences*, **12**(24), 12843, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412843>
- [7] 3MURI Software, Professional version, S.T.A. DATA (2019), Torino, Italy.
- [8] Brans, J.P., Vincke, P., Mareschal, B. (1986): How to select and how to rank projects: The PROMETHEE method. *European Journal of Operational Research*, **24**, 228–238.

Proceedings of the  
3<sup>rd</sup> Croatian Conference on Earthquake Engineering  
3CroCEE  
19-22 March 2025, Split, Croatia

Engineering Seismology

Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering

Experimental Techniques  
for Characterization of Materials and Structures

Historical Structures

Seismic Performance of Structures

Repair and Strengthening of Structures

Post-Earthquake Reconstruction Process

Lessons Learnt from Earthquake Disasters

Preparedness and Emergency Management

Seismic Loss and Risk Analysis

PhD Special Session

ISSN 3043-8071

<https://doi.org/10.5592/CO/3CroCEE.2025>

9 789538 168765 >

