Title

Dual bronchodilation response by exacerbation history and eosinophilia in the FLAME study

Authors

Alberto Papi¹, Konstantinos Kostikas², Jadwiga A. Wedzicha³, Claus F. Vogelmeier⁴, Nicolas Roche⁵, Steven Shen⁶, Donald Banerji⁶, Robert Fogel⁶, Francesco Patalano², Kenneth R. Chapman⁷

Affiliations

¹Research Centre on Asthma and COPD, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy; ²Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ³National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Medicine, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University Medical Center Giessen and Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Member of the German Centre for Lung Research (DZL), Marburg, Germany; ⁵Service de Pneumologie AP-HP, University Paris Descartes, Paris, France; ⁶Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, United States; ⁷Asthma and Airway Centre, University Health Network and University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Funding

The study was supported by Novartis Pharma AG.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the design and conduct of this *post hoc* analysis. The authors take full responsibility for the scope, direction, content of, and editorial decisions relating

to the manuscript, were involved at all stages of development, and have approved the submitted letter to the editor.

Correspondence and reprints requests should be addressed to:

Alberto Papi

Research Centre on Asthma and COPD, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Tel: +39 0532 210 420; Fax: +39 0532 210 297; E-mail: ppa@unife.it

Running head: Exacerbation history and eosinophilia in COPD

To the Editor

The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2017 strategy notes that exacerbation history is the best predictor of future exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (1); in addition, blood eosinophil level has been suggested as a potential biomarker for exacerbation risk and a predictor of efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) in preventing exacerbations in COPD patients at a risk of exacerbations (1-3). A long-acting β₂agonist (LABA)/long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) combination is recommended as the preferred treatment for symptomatic COPD patients who are at an increased risk of exacerbations, determined by their exacerbation history (GOLD D) (1). GOLD 2017 recommends ICS use in patients with a history of exacerbations despite appropriate treatment with long-acting bronchodilators; of note, long-term ICS use is associated with adverse events (AEs) such as pneumonia, suggesting that their use should be limited to patients with clear benefits from this treatment (1,4). In COPD patients (particularly those with mMRC dyspnea grade ≥2 and a history of exacerbation(s) in the previous year), LABA/LAMA combinations have demonstrated greater improvements in lung function, fewer exacerbations, and a lower risk of pneumonia compared with LABA/ICS (4,5).

The FLAME study (NCT01782326) showed greater exacerbation reduction with the LABA/LAMA indacaterol/glycopyrronium (IND/GLY) than with the LABA/ICS salmeterol/fluticasone (SFC) in symptomatic patients with a history of exacerbations (5). A recently published analysis of the FLAME study revealed that exacerbation prevention with IND/GLY was superior or similar to that with SFC for all tested

ranges of blood eosinophil levels (6), which had to be <600/µl to allow inclusion. However, a recent *post hoc* analysis of the WISDOM study (2) suggested that a small proportion of patients with both history of ≥2 exacerbations and high blood eosinophil counts were at an increased risk of exacerbations during follow-up after ICS withdrawal. Here we report a *post hoc* analysis of the FLAME study that evaluated treatment effects on moderate/severe exacerbations based on absolute blood eosinophil count (tested cutoffs:150 and 300 cells/µL) or blood eosinophil percentage (tested cutoffs: 2%, 3%, and 4%) and exacerbation history (tested cutoffs: 1 exacerbation and ≥2 exacerbations). An analysis of treatment effects in patients with >2 exacerbations or 1 hospitalisation was also conducted but the results (data not shown) were not different from those observed in patients with ≥2 exacerbations.

The rate of exacerbations was analyzed using a negative binomial model. The time-to-event endpoints were analyzed using a Cox proportional hazards regression model. Blood eosinophil cutoff levels were applied to measurements at treatment initiation according to the percentage of total white blood cell count and absolute eosinophil count.

In patients with <150 cells/ μ L, IND/GLY significantly reduced the rate of moderate/severe exacerbations compared with SFC in patients with 1 and \geq 2 exacerbations (P < 0.001 and P = 0.021, respectively); effects of both treatments were comparable in patients with \geq 150 cells/ μ L, independent of exacerbation history (**Figure 1**). Similarly, in patients with <300 cells/ μ L, IND/GLY significantly reduced the rate of moderate/severe exacerbations compared with SFC in patients with 1

and ≥2 exacerbations (P < 0.001 and

P = 0.038, respectively), whereas effects of both treatments were comparable in patients with \geq 300 cells/ μ L, independent of exacerbation history (**Figure 1**). In patients with

<150 cells/µL, IND/GLY significantly improved (i.e., increased) the time-to-first moderate/severe exacerbation, across all groups according to exacerbation history, whereas the two treatments were comparable in patients with ≥150 cells/mL, across all three groups according to exacerbation history (Figure 2). Similarly, in patients with <300 cells/µL, IND/GLY significantly increased the time-to-first moderate/severe exacerbation across all groups according to exacerbation history, whereas both treatments were comparable in patients with ≥300 cells/µL (Figure 2). IND/GLY provided greater or similar exacerbation prevention than SFC across all groups according to blood eosinophil percentage and exacerbation history (data not shown). Furthermore, the difference in effects between the two treatments decreased with an increase in eosinophil counts and number of past exacerbations (Figures 1 and 2). However, while the interaction was significant between treatment effect and blood eosinophil levels, it was not significant between treatment effect and exacerbation history (data not shown). Of note, the size of patient groups reduced significantly as eosinophil counts and number of past exacerbations increased and, therefore, the interaction analyses need to be interpreted with caution. Overall, at no cutoff level was SFC superior to IND/GLY in terms of reduction in moderate/severe exacerbation rate or time-to-first exacerbation. Although high eosinophil counts may identify a group that was more likely to experience exacerbation/s after ICS

withdrawal from triple therapy among patients with history of exacerbations (2), the present analysis suggests that blood eosinophil counts and exacerbation history did not identify a subpopulation of patients where LABA/ICS would be preferable over IND/GLY for exacerbation prevention (4, 5). Moreover, the fact that IND/GLY is always superior in patients with low blood eosinophils but of similar efficacy in the higher eosinophils groups may support indirectly the WISDOM study analysis (2), indicating that blood eosinophils may have a role in identifying patients who benefit from ICS in addition to LABA/LAMA.

The clinical relevance of blood eosinophil cutoff in the management of COPD remains uncertain because blood eosinophils are not stable throughout the disease course (7). A recent publication reported that the association of higher eosinophil count with exacerbations is not consistent (8). In FLAME, slight changes in blood eosinophil levels (<0.5%) were observed during the run-in and treatment phases of the study. Ongoing prospective studies such as TRIBUTE (NCT02579850), IMPACT (NCT02164513) and ETHOS (NCT02465567) may illuminate this issue.

Our results suggest that IND/GLY provided greater or comparable exacerbation prevention than SFC in all groups according to blood eosinophil levels and exacerbation history. These results further support the efficacy of IND/GLY for exacerbation prevention in patients with moderate-to-very severe COPD, particularly in those who are at a risk of exacerbations, and are in line with current GOLD recommendations regarding use of LABA/LAMA over LABA/ICS in COPD patients at risk of exacerbations (1).

Acknowledgments

The authors meet the criteria for authorship as recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. The authors received no compensation for development of the manuscript. Medical writing assistance was provided by Chiranjit Ghosh, PhD, and Rahul Lad, PhD, of Novartis Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., India.

Author disclosures

AP has received grants, personal fees, non-financial support and other from Chiesi, AstraZeneca, GSK, Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, Takeda, Mundipharma and Teva; he has received personal fees and non-financial support from Menarini, Novartis, and Zambon; he has received grants from Sanofi, outside the submitted work. KK is a Novartis employee and stockholder since 2015; he has previously received honoraria for speeches and consulting services from AstraZeneca, Chiesi, ELPEN, Takeda, and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. JAW has received no honoraria from industry for lectures and/or advisory boards from January 2015; before January 2015, she received honoraria for lectures and/or advisory boards from Novartis, GSK, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda, and Johnson and Johnson; she has received research grant funding in the past 3 years from Johnson and Johnson, Takeda, GSK, and Vifor Pharma. CV has received grant funding, honoraria for lectures and/or participation in advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Chiesi, GSK, Grifols, Menarini, Mundipharma, Novartis, Teva, and Cipla. NR has received grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Pfizer; personal fees from Teva, GSK, AstraZeneca, Chiesi, Mundipharma, Cipla, Sanofi, Sandoz, 3M,

and Zambon, outside the submitted work. SS is an employee of Novartis

Pharmaceuticals Corporation. DB is an employee of Novartis Pharmaceutical

Corporation. RF is an employee of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, earns
salary, and owns stock in Novartis. FP is an employee and stockholder of Novartis.

In the past 3 years, KRC has received honoraria for lectures and/or advisory boards
from AstraZeneca, CSL Behring, Genentech, GSK, Grifols, Kamada, Merck,
Novartis, Regeneron, Roche, and Sanofi. KRC has received research funding from
Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Genentech, GSK,
Grifols, Kamada, Novartis, Regeneron, Roche, Sanofi, and Shire.

References

- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD).
 Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 2017 [accessed 2017 May 26]. Available from: http://goldcopd.org/gold-2017-global-strategy-diagnosismanagement- prevention-copd/
- Calverley PM, Tetzlaff K, Vogelmeier C, Fabbri LM, Magnussen H, Wouters EF, Mezzanotte W, Disse B, Finnigan H, Asijee G, Hallmann C, Watz H. Eosinophilia, Frequent Exacerbations, and Steroid Response in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* [online ahead of print] 17 March 2017; www.atsjournals.org/doi/10.1164/rccm.201612-2525LE
- Siddiqui SH, Guasconi A, Vestbo J, Jones P, Agusti A, Paggiaro P,
 Wedzicha JA, Singh D. Blood Eosinophils: A Biomarker of Response to Extrafine
 Beclomethasone/Formoterol in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J
 Respir Crit Care Med 2015;192:523-525.
- Rodrigo GJ, Price D, Anzueto A, Singh D, Altman P, Bader G,
 Patalano F, Fogel R, Kostikas K. LABA/LAMA combinations versus LAMA
 monotherapy or LABA/ICS in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2017;12:907–922.
- Wedzicha JA, Banerji D, Chapman KR, Vestbo J, Roche N, Ayers RT, Thach C, Fogel R, Patalano F, Vogelmeier CF. Indacaterol-Glycopyrronium versus Salmeterol-Fluticasone for COPD. N Engl J Med 2016;374(23):2222– 2234.

- 6. Roche N, Chapman KR, Vogelmeier CF, Herth FJF, Thach C, Fogel R, Olsson P, Patalano F, Banerji D, Wedzicha JA. Blood Eosinophils and Response to Maintenance Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Treatment.
 Data from the FLAME Trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;195(9):1189–1197.
- 7. Oshagbemi OA, Burden AM, Braeken DCW, Henskens Y, Wouters EFM, Driessen JHM, Maitland-van der Zee AH, de Vries F, Franssen FME. Stability of Blood Eosinophils in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and in Control Subjects, and the Impact of Sex, Age, Smoking, and Baseline Counts. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017;195:1402–1404.
- 8. Zysman M, Deslee G, Caillaud D, Chanez P, Escamilla R, Court-Fortune I, Nesme-Meyer P, Perez T, Paillasseur JL, Pinet C, Jebrak G, Roche N, Burgel PR. Relationship between blood eosinophils, clinical characteristics, and mortality in patients with COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2017;12:1819–1824.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Rate ratios (95% CI) of moderate/severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations based on blood eosinophil cutoff and exacerbation history

b.i.d., twice daily; CI, confidence interval; IND/GLY, indacaterol/glycopyrronium; o.d., once daily; RR, rate ratio; SFC, salmeterol/fluticasone

Figure 2. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and estimated time-to-first moderate/severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation by blood eosinophil cutoff and exacerbation history

b.i.d., twice daily; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IND/GLY, indacaterol/glycopyrronium; o.d., once daily; SFC, salmeterol/fluticasone

| | IND/GLY 110/50 μg o.d. | | SFC 50/500 μg b.i.d. | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Eosinophil <150 cells/μL | n | Annualized rate | n | Annualized rate | | RR (95% CI); <i>P</i> values |
| 1 exacerbation | 504 | 0.82 | 555 | 1.15 | → ¦ | 0.72 (0.60–0.86); <i>P</i> < 0.001 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 119 | 1.16 | 99 | 1.73 | | 0.67 (0.48–0.94); <i>P</i> = 0.021 |
| Eosinophil ≥150 cells/μL | | | | | ! | |
| 1 exacerbation | 821 | 0.95 | 777 | 1.04 | | 0.92 (0.80–1.06); <i>P</i> = 0.245 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 202 | 1.75 | 221 | 1.83 | | 0.95 (0.75–1.21); <i>P</i> = 0.692 |
| Eosinophil <300 cells/µL | | | | | į | |
| 1 exacerbation | 1034 | 0.88 | 1046 | 1.09 | ! | 0.81 (0.71–0.92); <i>P</i> < 0.001 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 244 | 1.46 | 239 | 1.83 | | 0.80 (0.64–0.99); <i>P</i> = 0.038 |
| Eosinophil ≥300 cells/µL | | | | | | |
| 1 exacerbation | 291 | 0.96 | 286 | 1.03 | | 0.94 (0.73–1.20); <i>P</i> = 0.612 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 77 | 1.79 | 81 | 1.78 | | 1.01 (0.66–1.54); <i>P</i> = 0.973 |
| | | | | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | | | | \leftarrow | Favors IND/GLY Favors S | |

Figure 1. Rate ratios (95% CI) of moderate/severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations based on blood eosinophil cutoff and exacerbation history

b.i.d., twice daily; CI, confidence interval; IND/GLY, indacaterol/glycopyrronium; o.d., once daily; RR, rate ratio; SFC, salmeterol/fluticasone

90x57mm (600 x 600 DPI)

| | IND/GLY 110/50 μg o.d. | SFC 50/500 μg b.i.d. | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Eosinophil <150 cells/μL | n | n | ! | HR (95% CI); <i>P</i> values |
| 1 exacerbation | 504 | 555 | — | 0.63 (0.53–0.76); <i>P</i> < 0.001 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 119 | 99 | | 0.64 (0.45–0.92); <i>P</i> = 0.015 |
| Eosinophil ≥150 cells/µL | | | | |
| 1 exacerbation | 821 | 777 | | 0.88 (0.76–1.03); <i>P</i> = 0.107 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 202 | 221 | | 0.98 (0.77–1.24); <i>P</i> = 0.876 |
| Eosinophil <300 cells/μL 1 exacerbation | 1034 | 1046 | - | 0.72 (0.63–0.82); <i>P</i> < 0.001 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 244 | 239 | | 0.78 (0.62–0.98); <i>P</i> = 0.030 |
| Eosinophil ≥300 cells/μL 1 exacerbation | 291 | 286 | | 0.99 (0.77–1.28); <i>P</i> = 0.965 |
| ≥2 exacerbations | 77 | 81 | | 1.06 (0.71–1.59); <i>P</i> = 0.782 |
| | | 0 | 1 1 Favors IND/GLY Favor | 2 |

Figure 2. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and estimated time-to-first moderate/severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation by blood eosinophil cutoff and exacerbation history b.i.d., twice daily; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IND/GLY, indacaterol/glycopyrronium; o.d., once daily; SFC, salmeterol/fluticasone

90x57mm (600 x 600 DPI)