



Early View

Research letter

Extrafine triple therapy in patients with asthma and persistent airflow limitation

Dave Singh, Johann Christian Virchow, Giorgio Walter Canonica, Andrea Vele, Maxim Kots, George Georges, Alberto Papi

Please cite this article as: Singh D, Virchow JC, Canonica GW, *et al.* Extrafine triple therapy in patients with asthma and persistent airflow limitation. *Eur Respir J* 2020; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00476-2020>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

Copyright ©ERS 2020

Extrafine triple therapy in patients with asthma and persistent airflow limitation

Authors

Dave Singh,¹ Johann Christian Virchow,² Giorgio Walter Canonica,³ Andrea Vele,⁴ Maxim Kots,⁴ George Georges,⁴ Alberto Papi⁵

1. Medicines Evaluation Unit, The University of Manchester, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

2. Universitätsmedizin Rostock, Zentrum für Innere Medizin, Abteilung für Pneumologie, Rostock, Germany

3. Humanitas University and Research Hospital, Center of Personalized Medicine: Asthma and Allergy, Milan, Italy

4. Global Clinical Development, Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA, Parma, Italy

5. Section of Cardiorespiratory and Internal Medicine, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Corresponding author

Prof. Dave Singh

University of Manchester, Medicines Evaluation Unit, Manchester, UK

Email: dsingh@meu.org.uk

Phone: +44 161 946 4052

Running head

Triple therapy and persistent airflow limitation

Key words

Muscarinic antagonists; Adrenergic beta-2 receptor agonists; Glucocorticoids; Cohort analysis

Take-home message

These *post-hoc* analyses suggest that extrafine triple therapy with beclometasone dipropionate, formoterol fumarate and glycopyrronium may be particularly beneficial in the phenotype of patients with asthma and persistent airflow limitation.

To the Editor:

The addition of a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) is a recognised treatment option for patients whose asthma is uncontrolled with an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) plus long-acting β_2 -agonist (LABA) combination [1]. The data supporting this recommendation were provided from studies in which the LAMA tiotropium was added to ICS/LABA combinations using separate inhalers [2, 3]. The use of separate inhalers, most often of different design, with contrasting instructions for use and dosing regimens is not only inconvenient for patients and healthcare providers who provide instruction on correct inhaler use, but can negatively impact treatment adherence and persistence – and therefore outcomes [4–7].

A single-inhaler triple therapy containing an extrafine formulation of the ICS beclometasone dipropionate (BDP), the LABA formoterol fumarate (FF) and the LAMA glycopyrronium (G) has been evaluated in two Phase 3 asthma trials – TRIMARAN and TRIGGER. These were double-blind 52-week studies that compared the efficacy and safety of BDP/FF/G with that of BDP/FF in patients with uncontrolled asthma, with TRIGGER including a third arm in which patients received open-label BDP/FF + tiotropium [8]. The design of these studies was similar, with the main difference being that TRIMARAN used a medium ICS dose (BDP/FF/G 100/6/10 μg and BDP/FF 100/6 μg , both two inhalations twice daily [BID]), whereas TRIGGER used a high ICS dose (200/6/10 μg and 200/6 μg , respectively, two inhalations BID, with patients in the third arm receiving BDP/FF 200/6 μg two inhalations BID + tiotropium 2.5 μg two inhalations once daily via Respimat). The co-primary endpoints were change from baseline in pre-dose forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV_1) at Week 26, and the rate of moderate-to-severe exacerbations over 52 weeks in each study. Key secondary endpoints were change from baseline in peak FEV_1 at Week 26 and in average morning peak expiratory flow (PEF) over the first 26 weeks of treatment in each study, and the rate of severe exacerbations using data pooled from the two studies. Severe exacerbations were defined as asthma worsening requiring treatment with systemic corticosteroids for at least three days, whereas moderate exacerbations were episodes of

asthma worsening that were self-managed, defined in accordance with an American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society joint statement [9].

Both TRIMARAN and TRIGGER recruited populations with uncontrolled asthma, who had pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ <80% predicted normal, but no limitation on post bronchodilator FEV₁ or ratio of FEV₁ to forced vital capacity (FVC). In contrast, previous asthma studies evaluating the efficacy of tiotropium added to ICS/LABA limited recruitment to patients with post-bronchodilator FEV₁ ≤80% predicted and FEV₁/FVC ratio ≤0.7 [10], a spirometric condition that the authors described as 'persistent airflow limitation' (PAL) since these were patients with airflow obstruction that failed to normalise after bronchodilation. Moreover, a lower FEV₁/FVC ratio has been shown to predict greater response to the LAMA tiotropium than to the LABA salmeterol [11]. We therefore decided to conduct *post-hoc* analyses of the data from TRIMARAN and TRIGGER to evaluate the effect of BDP/FF/G vs BDP/FF on lung function and exacerbations in the subset of patients with PAL, which we also defined as post-bronchodilator FEV₁ ≤80% predicted normal and FEV₁/FVC ≤0.7. Importantly, both TRIMARAN and TRIGGER excluded any patient with a diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or who was a current smoker or an ex-smoker with a smoking history ≥10 pack-years [8]. For these *post-hoc* analyses, the co-primary and key secondary endpoints of each study are reported, together with times to first exacerbation. Severe exacerbations were analysed only in the pooled population, given the low occurrence of these events.

The FEV₁ and PEF endpoints were analysed using a linear mixed model for repeated measures including treatment, visit, treatment by visit interaction and country as fixed effects, and baseline value and baseline by visit interaction as covariates (visit effect being replaced by inter-visit period effect for PEF). The number of asthma exacerbations over the 52-week treatment period was analysed using a negative binomial model including treatment, country and number of exacerbations in the previous year as fixed effects, and log-time on study as offset. Times to first exacerbation were analysed using a Cox

proportional hazards model including treatment, country and number of exacerbations in the previous year as factors.

Overall, 1149 patients were included in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population in TRIMARAN, 658 (57.3%) of whom met the PAL definition. In TRIGGER, 1429 patients were included in the ITT population, with 880 (61.6%) meeting the PAL definition. With the exception of lung function, baseline demographics and disease characteristics were similar in the overall population and the PAL subset for each treatment group (including age and smoking history).

For the three spirometry-based endpoints, BDP/FF/G consistently provided statistically superior efficacy to BDP/FF, with numerically greater efficacy in the PAL subset than in the overall population in both studies (Figure 1A). In TRIGGER, there were no statistically significant differences between BDP/FF/G and BDP/FF + tiotropium for the two FEV₁ endpoints, either overall or in the PAL subset; the difference for the PEF endpoint was not significant in the overall population, but there was a 7.4 L/min improvement for BDP/FF/G vs BDP/FF + tiotropium in the PAL subset ($p < 0.05$). In TRIMARAN, BDP/FF/G reduced the rate of moderate-to-severe exacerbations vs BDP/FF by 15.4% in the overall population ($p = 0.033$), and by 15.2% in the PAL subset ($p = 0.106$; Figure 1B), with the time to first exacerbation prolonged by BDP/FF/G compared with BDP/FF both overall (hazard ratio [HR] 0.84 [95% CI 0.73 to 0.98]; $p = 0.022$) and in the PAL subset (0.82 [0.68 to 1.00]; $p = 0.048$). In TRIGGER, the reductions in moderate-to-severe exacerbations were 12.0% ($p = 0.110$) overall, and 25.9% ($p = 0.002$) in the PAL subset (Figure 1B), with the time to first exacerbation again prolonged by BDP/FF/G vs BDP/FF both overall (HR 0.80 [0.69 to 0.93]; $p = 0.004$) and in the PAL subset (HR 0.65 [0.54 to 0.78]; $p < 0.001$), and no differences between BDP/FF/G and BDP/FF + tiotropium. For severe exacerbations in the pooled population, the reductions were 23.0% ($p = 0.008$) overall and 33.5% ($p < 0.001$) in the PAL subset (Figure 1C), with the time to first exacerbation prolonged in both analyses (overall: HR 0.79 [0.66 to 0.95]; $p = 0.011$; PAL subset: 0.70 [0.56 to 0.89]; $p = 0.003$).

Previously published results from TRIMARAN and TRIGGER have shown extrafine BDP/FF/G to be effective in improving lung function and reducing the occurrence of moderate and severe exacerbations in a population of adult patients with asthma that was uncontrolled with a medium-to-high dose of an ICS plus a LABA [8]. The current *post-hoc* analyses were conducted in a population that can be easily identified through standard spirometry, and who did not otherwise differ from the overall population, in terms of age or smoking history – and importantly patients with a diagnosis of COPD were excluded. The results suggest that this extrafine triple therapy combination may be particularly beneficial in the phenotype of patients with asthma and persistent airflow limitation who have suboptimal control despite ICS/LABA therapy.

Acknowledgements and funding

Dave Singh is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (BRC).

TRIMARAN and TRIGGER are registered at ClinicalTrials.gov as NCT02676076 and NCT02676089, respectively.

Both studies were funded by Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA, as were the analyses presented here. Writing support was provided by David Young of Young Medical Communications and Consulting Ltd. This support was funded by Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA.

Conflicts of interest

DS reports personal fees from Chiesi during the conduct of the studies. Outside the submitted work, he reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Cipla, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Glenmark, Menarini, Mundipharma, Novartis, Peptinnovate, Pfizer, Pulmatrix, Therevance, and Verona.

JCV reports personal fees from Chiesi during the conduct of the studies. In the past JCV has lectured and received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Avontec, Bayer, Bencard, Bionorica, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Chiesi, Essex/Schering-Plough, GSK, Janssen-Cilag, Leti, MEDA, Merck, MSD, Mundipharma, Novartis, Nycomed/Altana, Pfizer, Revotar, Sanofi/Regeneron, Sandoz-Hexal, Stallergens, TEVA, UCB/Schwarz-Pharma, Zydus/Cadila and possibly others, and participated in advisory boards and received honoraria from Avontec, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Chiesi, Essex/Schering-Plough, GSK, Janssen-Cilag, MEDA, MSD, Mundipharma, Novartis, Paul-Ehrlich Institut, Regeneron, Revotar, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Sanofi/Regeneron, Sandoz-Hexal, TEVA, UCB/Schwarz-Pharma and possibly others, and received funding for research from Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft, Land Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, GSK, and MSD, and has advised the Gemeinsame Bundesausschuss (GBA).

GWC reports personal fees from A. Menarini, Alk-Abello, Allergy Therapeutics, AstraZeneca-Medimmune, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi Farmaceutici, Genentech, Guidotti-Malesci, Glaxo Smith Kline, Hal Allergy, Merck Sharp & Dome, Mundipharma, Novartis, Orion, Sanofi-Aventis, Sanofi Genzyme/Regeneron, Stallergenes-Greer, Uriach Pharma, Teva, Valeas, ViforPharma, all outside the submitted work.

AV and MK are employees of Chiesi, the sponsor of the studies.

GG is an employee of Chiesi USA, Inc.

AP reports grants, personal fees, non-financial support and payment for advisory board membership, consultancy, payment for lectures, grants for research, and travel expenses reimbursement from Chiesi, Astrazeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Boehringer Ingelheim, Mundipharma and TEVA, and personal fees and non-financial support from Menarini, Novartis, Zambon and Sanofi, all outside the submitted work.

References

1. Reddel HK, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, Bacharier LB, Becker A, Brusselle G, Buhl R, Cruz AA, Fleming L, Inoue H, Ko FW-S, Krishnan JA, Levy ML, Lin J, Pedersen SE, Sheikh A, Yorgancioglu A, Boulet L-P. GINA 2019: a fundamental change in asthma management: Treatment of asthma with short-acting bronchodilators alone is no longer recommended for adults and adolescents. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1901046.
2. Kew KM, Dahri K. Long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) added to combination long-acting beta 2 -agonists and inhaled corticosteroids (LABA/ICS) versus LABA/ICS for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; : CD011721.
3. Sobieraj DM, Baker WL, Nguyen E, Weeda ER, Coleman CI, White CM, Lazarus SC, Blake K V., Lang JE. Association of inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting muscarinic antagonists with asthma control in patients with uncontrolled, persistent asthma. *JAMA* 2018; 319: 1473–1484.
4. Delea TE, Hagiwara M, Stanford R, Stempel D. Effects of fluticasone propionate/salmeterol combination on asthma-related health care resource utilization and costs and adherence in children and adults with asthma. *Clin Ther* 2008; 30: 560–571.
5. Marceau C, Lemièrre C, Berbiche D, Perreault S, Blais L. Persistence, adherence, and effectiveness of combination therapy among adult patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 118: 574–581.
6. Stoloff SW, Stempel DA, Meyer J, Stanford RH, Carranza Rosenzweig JR. Improved refill persistence with fluticasone propionate and salmeterol in a single inhaler compared with other controller therapies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Elsevier*; 2004; 113: 245–251.
7. Stempel DA, Stoloff SW, Carranza Rosenzweig JR, Stanford RH, Ryskina KL, Legorreta AP. Adherence to asthma controller medication regimens. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 1263–1267.
8. Virchow JC, Kuna P, Paggiaro P, Papi A, Singh D, Corre S, Zuccaro F, Vele A, Kots M, Georges G, Petruzzelli S, Canonica GW. Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy in uncontrolled asthma (TRIMARAN and TRIGGER): two double-blind, parallel-group, randomised, controlled phase 3 trials. *Lancet Elsevier*; 2019; 394: 1737–1749.
9. Virchow JC, Backer V, de Blay F, Kuna P, Ljørring C, Prieto JL, Villesen HH. Defining moderate asthma exacerbations in clinical trials based on ATS/ERS joint statement. *Respir Med* 2015; 109: 547–556.
10. Kerstjens HAM, Engel M, Dahl R, Paggiaro P, Beck E, Vandewalker M, Sigmund R, Seibold W, Moroni-Zentgraf P, Bateman ED. Tiotropium in asthma poorly controlled with standard combination therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 1198–1207.
11. Peters SP, Bleecker ER, Kunselman SJ, Icitovic N, Moore WC, Pascual R, Ameredes BT, Boushey HA, Calhoun WJ, Castro M, Cherniack RM, Craig T, Denlinger LC, Engle LL, Dimango EA, Israel E, Kraft M, Lazarus SC, Lemanske RF, Lugogo N, Martin RJ, Meyers DA, Ramsdell J, Sorkness CA, Sutherland ER, Wasserman SI, Walter MJ, Wechsler ME, Chinchilli VM, Szeffler SJ, et al. Predictors of response to tiotropium versus salmeterol in asthmatic adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 132: 1068-1074.e1.

Figure legend

Figure 1. A) BDP/FF/G vs BDP/FF differences in change from baseline in pre-dose and peak FEV₁ at Week 26 and in average morning PEF over the first 26 weeks (intention-to-treat population). B) Annualised moderate and severe exacerbation rate (intention-to-treat population). C) Annualised severe exacerbation rate (pooled analysis, intention-to-treat population).

Footnote: Panel A: Data are adjusted mean differences with 95% confidence intervals and p-values. Panels B and C: Data are adjusted exacerbation rates per patient per year and adjusted rate ratios (95% confidence interval). ITT: intention-to-treat; PAL: persistent airflow limitation; BDP: beclomethasone dipropionate; FF: formoterol fumarate; G: glycopyrronium; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; PEF: peak expiratory flow.

A)

	TRIMARAN		TRIGGER	
	Overall (ITT)	PAL subset	Overall (ITT)	PAL subset
	(n=1149)	(n=658)	(n=1142)	(n=703)
Pre-dose FEV ₁ , mL	57 (15 to 99); p=0.008	89 (38 to 140); p<0.001	73 (26 to 120); p=0.003	130 (79 to 181); p<0.001
Peak FEV ₁ , mL	84 (40 to 129); p<0.001	119 (64 to 175); p<0.001	105 (57 to 153); p<0.001	154 (100 to 208); p<0.001
PEF, L/min	8.5 (3.6 to 13.3); p<0.001	11.3 (5.3 to 17.2); p<0.001	7.8 (3.0 to 12.6); p=0.001	14.6 (8.8 to 20.5); p<0.001

