

Effects of 12-week soccer training on anthropometric characteristics and body image perception in pre-adolescent boys

Journal:	<i>Acta Paediatrica</i>
Manuscript ID	SPAE-2015-0863
Manuscript Type:	Short Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Nov-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Rinaldo, Natascia; University of Ferrara, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Surgical Specialties Zaccagni, Luciana; University of Ferrara, Department of Biomedical and Specialty Surgical Sciences Galdi-Russo, Emanuela; University of Ferrara, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Surgical Specialties
Keywords:	body image, BMI, body composition, sports

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Effects of 12-week soccer training on anthropometric characteristics**
4
5 **and body image perception in pre-adolescent boys**
6
7
8
9

10
11 **Natascia Rinaldo, Luciana Zaccagni, Emanuela Gualdi-Russo**
12

13
14 Department of Biomedical and Specialty Surgical Sciences,
15

16 University of Ferrara,
17

18 Corso Ercole I D'Este 32, 44121 Ferrara, Italy.
19
20

21
22 **SHORT TITLE: Soccer training effects in pre-adolescent boys**
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Corresponding Author:**
29

30 Luciana Zaccagni
31

32 Department of Biomedical and Specialty Surgical Sciences, University of Ferrara
33

34 Corso Ercole I d'Este 32, 44121, Ferrara, Italy.
35

36 Email: luciana.zaccagni@unife.it
37

38 Tel.: +39 0532 293717
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 It is recognised that physical activity (PA) improves nutritional status and reduces risk of
4 disease, enhances body image perception, and reduces body dissatisfaction (1-3). Whereas
5 the relationship of PA with body composition and body image perception have been
6 extensively investigated in adults, few or contradictory studies on effects of specific sport
7 activities in children or adolescents have so far been reported (2).

8
9 Our study aimed (i) to gain a better understanding of body composition and body image
10 perception in 60 pre-adolescent boys by carrying out an evaluation of their anthropometric
11 characteristics and body image perception before and after a 12-week soccer training
12 program, (ii) to analyse possible differences between age-groups (9 year-group: 24 boys; 10
13 year-group: 36 boys).

14
15 All children of two soccer schools, native-born in the same area of Northern Italy
16 (province of Ferrara), volunteered to participate in the study. The amount of soccer training
17 was the same for each subject (4 hours/week). Written informed consent was obtained from
18 the parents. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ferrara University.

19
20 Participants underwent assessment of their anthropometric measurements and body image
21 perception. Height was recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm with a stadiometer, while weight was
22 measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with a high-precision mechanical scale. Skinfold thicknesses
23 were measured to the nearest 1 mm at two sites (triceps and subscapular) using a Lange
24 skinfold caliper. Waist and middle upper-arm circumferences were measured to the nearest
25 0.1 cm with a non-stretchable tape. All the measurements were made according to
26 standardized procedures and when applicable on the left side of the body as indicated in the
27 International Biological Program (4). The same trained technicians team performed all the
28 examinations on the subjects in light sportswear and barefoot. BMI was calculated for each
29 participant as weight (in kg) divided by height (in m) squared. The boys were classified as
30 underweight, normal weight, overweight or obese based on international age- and sex-
31 specific cut-off points (5-6). In order to assess the body composition of each child, total body
32 fat percentage (%F), fat mass (FM, kg) and fat free mass (FFM, kg) were calculated using
33 the formulas of Slaughter et al. (7). Total upper arm area (TUA, cm²), upper arm muscle area
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (UMA, cm²), upper arm fat area (UFA, cm²) and arm fat index (AFI, %) were calculated
4
5 using the formulas of Frisancho (8).
6

7 The children were asked to answer some questions regarding their self-perception: the
8 self-reported height and weight. For the evaluation of body image perception, they were
9 asked to choose which one of seven silhouettes (9) best represented their perceived (feel) and
10 ideal body shape. Silhouettes were arranged in ascending order from smallest to largest body
11 size in a row on a single page. The faces of silhouettes were replaced by generic circles (10).
12 The assessment took place individually under the supervision of the same trained research
13 assistant. The discrepancy between the self-feel figure and the self-ideal figure represents the
14 degree of body image dissatisfaction (FID). To assess inconsistency in the perception of their
15 weight status, the Feel weight status minus Actual weight status Inconsistency Index (FAI)
16 (11) was used. According to previous studies on FAI in children (12-13), we assigned a code
17 for feel weight status: F1 and F2 were coded 1 for underweight, F3, F4 and F5 were coded 2
18 for normal weight, and F6 and F7 were coded 3 for overweight/obesity. The FAI scores in
19 children range from -2 to +2: a negative value means weight status underestimation while a
20 positive value means weight status overestimation. A 0 score means a realistic perception of
21 one's weight status.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Differences between age groups were analysed using independent t-test (anthropometric
38 data) and U Mann-Whitney test (body image perception). The effect of the 12-week soccer
39 training on the same subjects was explored using paired-sample t-test (anthropometric data)
40 and Wilcoxon test (body image perception). Analysis of categorical variables (weight status)
41 was performed using chi-square test. Statistica software (v.11, StatSoft Italia srl, Padua,
42 Italy) was used for all analyses. The significance level for all tests was set at $p < 0.05$.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Table 1 shows the mean values of each anthropometric variable and weight status by age
50 and by survey (before and after training). After the 12-week soccer training, the 9-year group
51 showed a significant increase in height, weight, and FFM, while the 10-year group showed a
52 significant increase in height, weight, UMA and FFM and a significant decrease in triceps
53 skinfold, sum of skinfolds, UFA and AFI. Before training, the 10-year-old children had
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 higher mean values than the 9-year-olds, as expected. In particular, the significant
4 differences ($p<0.05$) were in height (+7.9 cm), weight (+7.4 kg), waist circumference (+5.1
5 cm), middle upper-arm circumference (+2.4 cm), triceps skinfold thickness (+5.1 mm),
6
7 cm), middle upper-arm circumference (+2.4 cm), triceps skinfold thickness (+5.1 mm),
8
9 subscapular skinfold thickness (+4.3 mm), Σ skinfolds (+9.4 mm), TUA (+8.2 cm²), UFA
10 (+6.1 cm²) and AFI (+7.9%). Significant differences were also found in FM (+2.1 kg) and
11 FFM (+4.3 kg).
12

13
14 Table 2 shows the mean values of body image perception by age and by survey. No
15 difference was found in the 9-year group between the values before and after the 12-week
16 training. In the 10-year group the FID index significantly decreased in the second survey.
17 Despite the 10-year-old boys were fatter than the younger ones -as shown by skinfold
18 thicknesses, %F, FM, UFA and AFI-, they chose slightly thinner feel silhouettes than the 9-
19 year group. The ideal figures were almost the same. Hence the level of dissatisfaction (FID)
20 was somewhat lower in this group than in the 9-year-olds, especially at the second survey.
21 The mean FAI index values show underestimation in both age groups (negative values) but
22 especially in the 10-year group, in agreement with previous observations. Regarding the
23 discrepancy between perceived height and weight and the actual ones, there were significant
24 differences only for weight. Both age groups thought they were thinner than they actually
25 were (9-year group: 31.7 kg perceived weight vs 32.5 kg actual weight, $p<0.05$; 10-year
26 group: 37.7 kg perceived weight vs 39 kg actual weight, $p<0.01$).
27
28

29 This study suggests changes in body image perception and anthropometric parameters of
30 9-10-year-old soccer players after the 12-week training period. The 10-year-old soccer
31 players showed greater anthropometric changes and lower body image dissatisfaction after
32 this period than the 9-year-olds. These results are probably due to the greater effort put into
33 the training by the older children. In contrast to a previous study highlighting an increase in
34 body image dissatisfaction with increasing PA in 11 to 14 years (14), our observations and
35 other studies (15) suggest a positive effects of PA in enhancing body image perception in
36 children. These differences may be due to an increase in body awareness and concern about
37 weight and shape, leading to an increase in body dissatisfaction during adolescence. Body
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 dissatisfaction may be increasing in boys, especially in those exercising at high levels, as
4 they may desire a more muscular figure. Furthermore, the age effect should also be
5 considered, as body dissatisfaction evolves from childhood through late adolescence: 9- to
6
7 10-year-old boys report less body dissatisfaction than groups of older adolescent boys (16).
8
9

10
11 A few of the significant changes in the anthropometric traits in this study can be directly
12 related to normal growth processes while others, although influenced by growth, were
13 certainly affected by the soccer training. In particular there was an increase in FFM in both
14 age groups. UMA increased, but UFA and AFI simultaneously decreased in the older boys.
15 Differently from the 9-year-old boys, the 10-year-olds showed a significant decrease in FID
16 after the 12 weeks of training. In their self-perception of body size, the boys of both groups
17 showed a fairly objective judgement for height and a significant underestimation of weight,
18 in accordance with the results obtained on Italian children not selected for sport (13).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 The findings suggest a general improvement in body composition and body image
28 satisfaction after 12 weeks of soccer training in pre-adolescence. However further studies
29 with different training periods and a non-active control group are needed to better understand
30 the role of soccer in nutritional and psychological health.
31
32
33
34

35 Our study is a contribution to the literature on children's body image perception since it
36 involves the effects of soccer training in combination with those of growth during the
37 intervention period. However the study has some limitations. The first is the restricted
38 number of children under investigation and the lack of a control group so as to clearly
39 differentiate the growth effects from those of the soccer training. The second is that the
40 amount of PA was self-reported and not objectively measured.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 The finding that boys who regularly play soccer have good perception of their body and a
49 suitable body composition is encouraging and speaks in favour of an active lifestyle starting
50 in the pre-adolescence period. Our results provide interesting, also if preliminary,
51 observation showing effects of a soccer training program in improving children's physical
52 and psychological health. Future studies should focus on the benefits of exercise, according
53 to the amount and types, at various ages and in both sexes. Finally, given the protective
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 effects of body satisfaction, its development during adolescence and the influence exerted on
4
5 it by PA must be carefully assessed so as to plan effective interventions.
6
7

8 9 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

10
11 We would like to thank Drs. Giatti M. and Tosini L. for the real help in the data collection.
12
13

14 15 **FUNDING**

16
17 No specific funding was received for this research. However, it was supported by University
18
19 of Ferrara.
20
21

22 23 **References**

- 24
25 1. Grogan S. Body image: Understanding body dissatisfaction in men, women and children.
26
27 2nd ed. London and New York: *Routledge*, 2007.
28
29 2. Duncan MJ, Al-Nakeeb Y, Nevill AM. Effects of a 6-week circuit training intervention
30
31 on body esteem and body mass index in British primary school children. *Body Image*
32
33 2009; 6: 216-20.
34
35 3. Parfitt G, Pavey T, Rowlands AV. Children's physical activity and psychological health:
36
37 the relevance of intensity. *Acta Paediatr* 2009; 98 :1037-43.
38
39 4. Weiner JS, Lourie JA. Practical human biology. London: *Academic Press*, 1981.
40
41 5. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child
42
43 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 2000; 320: 1240-3.
44
45 6. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define
46
47 thinness in children and adolescents: international survey. *BMJ* 2007; 335: 194.
48
49 7. Slaughter MH, Lohman TG, Boileau RA, Horswill CA, Stillman RJ, Van Loan MD, et
50
51 al. Skinfold equations for estimation of body fatness in children and youth. *Hum Biol*
52
53 1988; 60: 709-23.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
- 2
- 3 8. Frisancho AR. Anthropometric standards: an interactive nutritional reference of body
- 4 size and body composition for children and adults. 2nd ed. Ann Arbor: *University of*
- 5 *Michigan Press*, 2008.
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 9. Collins ME. Body figure perceptions and preferences among preadolescent children. *Int*
- 10 *J Eat Disord* 1991; 10: 199-208.
- 11
- 12
- 13 10. Thompson JK. Assessment of body image. In: Allison DB, ed. *Handbook of assessment*
- 14 *methods for eating behaviors and weight-related problems*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE
- 15 publications, 1995.
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 11. Zaccagni L, Masotti S, Donati R, Mazzoni G, Gualdi-Russo E. Body image and weight
- 20 perceptions in relation to actual measurements by means of a new index and level of
- 21 physical activity in Italian university students. *J Transl Med* 2014; 12: 42.
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 12. Gualdi-Russo E, Manzon VS, Masotti S, Toselli S, Albertini A, Celenza F, et al. Weight
- 26 status and perception of body image in children: the effect of maternal immigrant status.
- 27 *Nutr J* 2012; 15: 11-85.
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31 13. Gualdi-Russo E, Albertini A, Argnani L, Celenza F, Nicolucci M, Toselli S. Weight
- 32 status and body image perception in Italian children. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2008; 21: 39-45.
- 33
- 34
- 35 14. Duncan MJ, Al-Nakeeb Y, Nevill AM, Jones MV. Body dissatisfaction, body fat and
- 36 physical activity in British children. *Internat J Pediatr Obes* 2006; 1: 89-95.
- 37
- 38
- 39 15. Lepage ML, Crowther JH. The effects of exercise on body satisfaction and affect. *Body*
- 40 *Image* 2010; 7: 124-30.
- 41
- 42
- 43 16. Calzo JP, Sonnevile KR, Haines J, Blood EA, Field AE, Austin SB. The development of
- 44 associations among body mass index, body dissatisfaction, and weight and shape
- 45 concern in adolescent boys and girls. *J Adolesc Health* 2012; 51: 517-23.
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

Table 1 Comparisons of anthropometric traits and weight status by age and survey.

	9 years old (N=24)		10 years old (N=36)	
	Before Mean (SD)	After Mean (SD)	Before Mean (SD)	After Mean (SD)
<i>Traits</i>				
Height (cm)	133.3 (8.3)	134.4 (8.4)*	141.2 (5.6)	142.3 (5.8)*
Weight (kg)	32.6 (7.4)	33.1 (7.7)*	39.0 (7.4)	39.8 (7.6)*
BMI (kg/m ²)	18.1 (2.4)	18.1 (2.5)	19.4 (2.9)	19.6 (3.1)
Waist C (cm)	61.7 (6.7)	61.7 (6.6)	66.8 (6.7)	66.7 (6.5)
Upper-arm C (cm)	20.3 (2.6)	20.5 (2.5)	22.7 (2.8)	22.8 (2.7)
Triceps Sk (mm)	11.2 (4.4)	11.6 (4.7)	16.3 (6.6)	15.5 (6.5)*
Subscapular Sk (mm)	7.2 (5.0)	7.5 (4.8)	11.5 (6.4)	11.1 (5.5)
Σ Sk (mm)	18.4 (8.8)	18.9 (8.6)	27.8 (12.3)	26.6 (11.3)*
TUA (cm ²)	33.4 (9.0)	33.8 (8.4)	41.6 (10.0)	41.8 (9.8)
UMA (cm ²)	22.7 (4.8)	22.8 (4.7)	24.7 (4.4)	25.7 (4.6)*
UFA (cm ²)	10.7 (5.3)	11.0 (5.4)	16.8 (7.8)	16.1 (7.6)*
AFI (%)	30.8 (7.7)	31.6 (8.6)	38.7 (11.1)	36.8 (11.4)*
%F	18.6 (6.2)	17.7 (6.1)	21.1 (4.9)	21.0 (5.6)
FM (kg)	6.3 (3.7)	6.1 (3.7)	8.4 (3.0)	8.6 (3.4)
FFM (kg)	26.2 (4.9)	26.9 (5.1)*	30.5 (4.8)	31.2 (4.9)*
<i>Weight Status (%)</i>				
underweight	0.0	0.0	2.8	5.6
normalweight	75.0	70.8	58.3	55.6
overweight/obese	25.0	29.2	38.9	38.8

C=circumference; Sk=skinfold; TUA= Total Upper Arm Area; UMA=Upper Arm Muscle Area; UFA=Upper Arm Fat Area; AFI=Arm Fat Index; F=Fat; FM=Fat Mass; FFM=Fat Free Mass.

*p< 0.05 between pre and post intervention.

Table 2 Comparisons of body image perception by age and survey.

	9 years old (N=24)		10 years old (N=36)	
	Before	After	Before	After
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Actual Figure	4.5 (0.8)	4.3 (0.9)	4.2 (1.1)	4.1 (1.1)
Ideal Figure	3.8 (1.2)	3.8 (1.0)	3.7 (0.9)	3.9 (0.8)
FID (score)	0.6 (1.4)	0.5 (1.2)	0.5 (1.1)	0.2 (1.0)*
FAI (score)	-0.2 (0.4)	-0.2 (0.5)	-0.4 (0.5)	-0.4 (0.6)

*p<0.05 between pre and post intervention.

For Peer Review Only