1 The importance of water velocity on nitrate removal in vegetated

2 waterways

- 3 Giuseppe Castaldelli, Vassilis Aschonitis, Fabio Vincenzi, Elisa Anna Fano, Elisa Soana*
- 4 Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of Ferrara, Via L. Borsari 46 44121
- 5 Ferrara Italy
- 6 *Corresponding author: <u>elisa.soana@unife.it</u>

7 Abstract

The extended networks of drainage and irrigation canals in agricultural landscapes can provide 8 extremely important ecosystem services related to nutrient removal from flowing water. The 9 nutrient mitigation capacity depends on several biotic and abiotic factors, among which flow 10 velocity is poorly explored and generally omitted from the parameterization of nutrient removal 11 processes in these environments. The present work reports new insights on the role of flow velocity 12 in regulating N removal via denitrification in vegetated sediments colonized by Phragmites 13 australis (Cav. Trin. ex Steud). Undisturbed sediments with plants and bare sediments were 14 sampled from a drainage canal of a lowland sub-basin of the Po River (northern Italy) in order to 15 create outdoor experimental mesocosms. Denitrification was investigated in these mesocosms for 16 four flow velocities 0, 1.5, 3 and 6 cm s⁻¹, by simultaneous measurements of NO₃⁻ consumption and 17 N₂ production based on analyses of N₂:Ar by Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry. Vegetated 18 sediments were found more efficient in converting NO3⁻ to N2 via microbial-mediated 19 denitrification (27-233 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) than bare sediments (18-33 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹). Flow velocity 20 had a significant impact on N metabolism in sediments colonised by emergent vegetation exhibiting 21 one order of magnitude raise in NO₃⁻ removal and denitrification rates when flow velocity increased 22 from 0 to 6 cm s⁻¹. The results highlighted that the increase of flow velocity in slow-flow vegetated 23 shallow waterways, can enhance the rate of NO₃⁻ supply through the diffusive boundary layer, 24 promoting N removal by denitrification. The importance of vegetation is related to the fact that 25 provides multiple interfaces (e.g. rhizosphere and epiphytic biofilms) that promote the development 26 and activity of bacterial communities responsible for N dissipation. This study highlights the 27 importance of flow velocity as a key factor for the development of best management practices 28 29 aiming at maximizing depuration potential through denitrification in slow-flow waterways.

- 30
- 31

32 Keywords

| of itemotian, aquado tegetadon, tater teretely, canar nettorik, administration, 112 open enam | 33 | NO ₃ ⁻ removal | , aquatic vegetation, | water velocity, canal | network, denitrification, | N ₂ open-channel |
|---|----|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|---|----|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|

34 method

| 35 | |
|----|---|
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | Author contributions: GC, VA, EAF and ES conceived and designed the experiments and wrote the |

48 *paper; FV performed the experiments and the laboratory analyses; ES and VA analyzed the data.*

1. Introduction

Nitrogen (N) pollution and eutrophication are unsolved issues and their contribution on habitat 50 deterioration, biodiversity loss and climate change are more and more severe worldwide (Leip et al., 51 2015). At the same time the simplification of aquatic habitats is continuing with a further loss of 52 watershed capacity to buffer excessive N loads (Valiela and Bowen, 2002; Kareiva et al., 2007). In 53 industrialized countries, some actions to invert this trend have been encouraged by the enactment of 54 policies for water protection, as the Clear Water Act in the United States and the Water Framework 55 Directive in the European Union, but the results remain modest or, according to some researchers, 56 null (Weigelhofer et al., 2013; Garnier et al., 2014; Arheimer and Pers, 2016). Budget simulations 57 58 in lowland agricultural watersheds have highlighted that wetland contribution in removing the N surplus is at present minimal (Verhoeven et al., 2006; Bartoli et al., 2012). Aquatic environments 59 such as wetlands and rivers have been restored in the context of reclamation initiatives but at 60 inferior level of environmental quality compared to the one present before the industrial 61 development of agriculture and likely not sufficient to mitigate the current N loads. However, 62 agricultural landscapes maintain the capacity to dissipate part of this N excess, i.e. converting it 63 from reactive forms to gaseous inert N2, thanks to the buffer potential exerted by the extended 64 networks of drainage and irrigation ditches and higher order canals (Törnqvist et al., 2015; Romero 65 66 et al., 2016). This function can be ascribed to some attributes of water medium that promote N processing and removal, such as long water residence time, high hydrodynamic energy favouring 67 water column recirculation, elevated primary production, organic matter availability, hypoxic 68 conditions on the bottom, and the presence of multiple interfaces that favour differentiated 69 microniches and a rich microbial community (Revsbech et al., 2005; Veraart et al., 2016). 70 Nevertheless, their role as providers of ecosystem services, e.g. as N metabolic regulators, is 71 generally not considered in the current management practices (Dollinger et al., 2015; Biggs et al., 72 2017). 73

Due to differences in landscape position (e.g. proximity to N sources), functions and 74 management, ditches and canals may be extremely heterogeneous in term of hydraulic parameters 75 (e.g. flow, velocity, water level fluctuations), water quality, benthic compartment (e.g. quality and 76 quantity of organic matter, texture), and biological communities. The regulation of N retention and 77 removal in small watercourses has been explored in relation to abiotic (e.g. inorganic N 78 concentration, organic loading, redox conditions) and biotic controlling factors (e.g. communities of 79 primary producers), and their multiple interactions (Pierobon et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2015; 80 Veraart et al., 2016). The mitigation potential of vegetated canals towards N has been less 81 extensively studied compared to wetlands (Ilyas and Masih, 2017), and in the majority of cases, it is 82 83 limited to a black-box approach, such as in-out mass balance of dissolved nitrogen species, which does not allow validating the direct hypothesis of nitrate (NO₃⁻) removal by denitrification (e.g., 84 Xiong et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2016). The relative contribution of denitrification has been poorly 85 investigated, especially when assessed as direct measurement of its end-product, i.e. N2 (Kröger et 86 al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2015; Castaldelli et al., 2015; Soana et al., 2017). 87

In ditches and canals of intensively cultivated basins, NO3⁻ is generally not limited and water 88 velocity may be a regulatory factor of diffusive boundary layers and thus of NO₃⁻ availability, 89 especially in zones of rapid consumption, such as in the rhizosphere and epiphytic biofilms. 90 Nonetheless, water velocity as a key driver controlling N removal remains poorly if not at all 91 explored. Ecosystem-level metabolism is strongly affected by the solute mass transfer from the 92 water column to the bioactive surfaces through the diffusive boundary layer, which usually acts as a 93 limiting agent for nutrient and gas exchange (Silvester and Sleigh, 1985; Larned et al., 2004). 94 Increasing velocities decrease the thickness of the boundary layer adjacent to uptake surfaces 95 resulting in shorter diffusive distances, thus enhancing solute mass transfer and stimulating 96 metabolic processes (Madsen and Sand-Jensen, 1991; Eriksson, 2001; Arnon et al., 2013). NO₃⁻ 97 losses by denitrification in watercourses is governed by its supply from the water column to anoxic 98 sediments or other interfaces where denitrification occurs (i.e. epiphytic biofilms on submersed 99

vegetation). In the case of NO_3^- diffusion in sediments, the most important process of NO_3^- supply 100 to denitrifiers is usually the convective transfer, which depends on water velocity and the presence 101 of aquatic vegetation that increases turbulent flow and water column mixing (Silvester and Sleigh, 102 1985; Nikora, 2010). Increasing velocities simultaneously promote more oxic conditions in the 103 superficial sediment, thus stimulating aerobic metabolism and inhibiting denitrification (Arnon et 104 al., 2007a), or forcing denitrification zone deeper into the sediments (O'Connor and Hondzo, 2007). 105 The effects of flow velocity on biogeochemical dynamics has been investigated in benthic 106 biofilms (e.g., Madsen and Sand-Jensen, 1991; Larned et al., 2004; Arnon et al., 2013) and in 107 biofilms growing on aquatic vegetation (Eriksson and Weisner, 1999; Eriksson, 2001), focusing 108 109 mainly on photosynthesis, respiration and macronutrient assimilation. With respect to N cycling, fewer investigations have been performed on the relationship between flow conditions and NO₃⁻ 110 removal in laboratory cultivated periphyton mats (Eriksson, 2001; Arnon et al., 2007a,b; Carleton 111 and Mohamoud, 2013), but no measurements of NO3⁻ consumption and N2 production were 112 performed simultaneously. Thus, this important issue still remains poorly explored and to our 113 knowledge, no studies have previously investigated the effects of water flow velocity on N removal 114 via denitrification in intact sediments colonized by emergent vegetation with naturally developed 115 epiphytic communities. 116

This paper reports new insights on the role of flow velocity in regulating nitrogen removal via 117 denitrification in presence of a monospecific stand of *Phragmites australis* (Cav. Trin. ex Steud). 118 Experiments were performed using mesocosms representative of field conditions previously 119 described in studies of N removal in vegetated canals fed by NO₃⁻ -rich water (Pierobon et al., 2013; 120 Castaldelli et al., 2015). N removal was quantified by the simultaneous measurement of NO₃⁻ 121 consumption and N₂ production (N₂ open-channel method). Three velocities (1.5, 3, and 6 cm s⁻¹) 122 along with a stagnant control condition were set to cover the typical range of variation in drainage 123 canals and ditches in lowland agricultural basins. 124

By working in field conditions, it is extremely difficult if not impossible, to isolate the effect of 125 one parameter, such as water velocity, on denitrification, since other important parameters, e.g. 126 nitrate availability and temperature, may vary at the same time. For instance, in shallow waterways, 127 water temperature increases rapidly in summer daylight, affecting gas solubility and the exchange 128 with the atmosphere and making difficult the application of the N₂ open-channel method (Reisinger 129 et al., 2016). To overcome this limitation, mesocosm simulations allow the standardization of the 130 experimental conditions and the systematic manipulation of a single or a limited suite of variables. 131 Moreover, they represent a good compromise between the application of controlled conditions, 132 typical of the laboratory approach, and the realism of field measurements that aims at the scaling-up 133 of the results. 134

The present experiments were used to test the hypothesis that flow velocity is a fundamental physical property that regulates denitrification. The results of the study aim to highlight the importance of flow velocity, since it is usually omitted in the parameterization of the N depuration capacity of waterways.

139

140 **2. Material and Methods**

141 *2.1 Mesocosm construction*

Mesocosms were built using water and undisturbed sediment-plants samples in order to represent the conditions of vegetated drainage ditches and canals of the lower Po plain (Northern Italy). The samples were taken by a drainage canal of Ferrara Province ($44^{\circ}48'53.17"N$; $11^{\circ}43'23.14"E$) where denitrification and NO₃⁻ removal rates were previously measured by means of the N₂ open-channel and N mass balance methods (Castaldelli et al., 2015).

Mesocosms (Fig. 1a) were designed to simulate vegetated waterways or wetlands with moving water. The chambers were built as follows: an external tube with internal diameter of 29 cm and an internal tube with external diameter of 12 cm were positioned concentrically on a plexiglass circular base, to define an annulus of total surface 547 cm² (annular radius width 8.5 cm, internal height 65 cm). Mesocosm height was set to respect the original features of the sampled canal, which
was described by 25 cm of sediment including rhizosphere and 35 cm of water column.

Sediment colonised by a dense stand of *P. australis* (1300-2200 gDW m⁻²) and bare sediment of nearby positions were sampled using a steel shovel having a blade with finely sharpen edge. Parcels of sampled sediment were placed in the annular plexiglass chambers to define a continuous annulus of sediment. Three chambers with sediment and *P. australis* (18-30 plants in each mesocosm) and three chambers only with sediment were developed and they were transferred from the field to an outdoor, non-shaded area at the Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of Ferrara, and maintained under natural weather conditions.

160 The choice of the previously described annular chamber mesocosms was made for the 161 following reasons:

mesocosms are more stable environments compared to open systems and can provide more
 robust replications for testing one variable (e.g. flow velocity, which cannot be regulated in
 the field) keeping controlled other important variables such as NO₃⁻ concentration and
 temperature;

the proposed dimensions of mesocosms is compatible for determining a homogeneous flow
 of water inside the whole annular chamber without sediment resuspension;

• the proposed dimensions of mesocosms allow an operatively viable hand collection of the sediment by maintaining intact the rhizosphere without damaging the *P. australis* rhizomes.

- 170
- 171

2.2 Pre-incubation procedure

In an hour after sampling, chambers were brought to the laboratory and placed in two separate cylindrical tanks (87 cm diameter and 105 cm high polyethylene containers) (Fig.1b), one for vegetated replicates and one for replicates devoid of vegetation. Pre-incubation and incubation procedures were performed according to standard protocols (Dalsgaard et al., 2000). Water from the canal was used to fill gently the chambers and the tanks. Water mixing among the chambers of each tank end between the tanks was supported by aquarium pumps. The chambers were maintained submerged in canal water and they were allowed to equilibrate for one month before the incubations. Water level in the tanks was being controlled every day and water from the canal was added to compensate for evapotranspiration loss. Each tank was connected with a thermostat to maintain temperature constant at 26-27°C during pre-incubation and incubation procedures.

183

184 *2.3 Incubation procedure*

Each chamber was equipped with a 12 volts pump (Whale® submersible electric pump) connected to a multichannel electronic rheostat with a voltage regulation. Each pump was submerged at 4 cm below the water surface to prevent bubbling. A plastic tube connected to the pump was placed vertically in the water column and oriented in a way to create a flow within the chamber without sediment resuspension (Fig. 1a). Voltage level was regulated in each chamber to yield an average flow velocity of 0, 1.5, 3, and 6 cm s⁻¹, checked with a current meter, vertically and on the middle of the annular radius and in the middle of the water column.

Mesocosms incubations were performed both in dark (from 3:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m.) and in 192 light (from 10:30 a.m. to 01:30 p.m.) at each velocity to discriminate the effect of photosynthetic on 193 N retention. A different velocity was applied each day in the mesocosms, from 0 to 6 cm s⁻¹. 194 Incubations were repeated twice. The first experiment was performed in the middle of the summer 195 (from 25.07.2016 to 28.07.2016) during four consecutive days of stable meteorological conditions, 196 in order to minimize any unpredictable source of climatic variation. Average air temperature was 197 22.5±1.5°C and 28.7±2.4°C during dark and light incubations, respectively. Solar radiation during 198 light phase averaged 670±190 W m⁻² (University of Ferrara weather station). The second 199 experiment was performed 25 days after (from 22.08.2016 to 25.08.2016). Solar radiation during 200

light phase was similar (730 \pm 100 W m⁻²), but water temperature dropped of about 3°C (average air temperature 18.5 \pm 2.2°C and 26.0 \pm 1.9°C during dark and light incubations, respectively).

Before any incubation, the water in each of the two tanks was replaced with water sampled 203 during the previous day from the canal, which was checked for NO_3^- and ammonium. Ammonium 204 and nitrite concentrations were always below detection limits, suggesting that NO₃⁻ dominated N 205 dynamics in the mesocosms. NO₃⁻ concentration was standardized to 100 µM at the zero time of 206 each incubation by adding an appropriate volume of a stock NO₃⁻ solution (200 mM KNO₃). The 207 value of 100 μ M was chosen because it approximates the typical average NO₃⁻ availability in 208 ditches and canals of the investigated area, which are mainly affected by non-point source pollution 209 210 where ammonium and nitrite are constantly under the μ M threshold (Pierobon et al., 2013; Castaldelli et al., 2015). Temperature and NO₃⁻ availability in water were maintained as similar as 211 possible among incubations, and the only variables that were varied and that hence affected N 212 213 removal process were water velocity and light conditions.

To initiate the determinations, the water level in the tanks was lowered below the chamber 214 tops and zero-time water samples were collected. Water samples were withdrawn from the mid-215 depth of each mesocosm using a glass syringe, 5 times (from t0 to t4) at 45' interval over 3h to 216 follow the temporal evolution of NO3⁻ and N2. At each sampling, water temperature, electrical 217 218 conductivity and oxygen were also measured with a multiparametric probe. Samples for NO_3^{-1} determinations were filtered through Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters, and transferred to 219 polyethylene vials. NO₃⁻ was measured on a Technicon AutoAnalyser II (Armstrong et al., 1967; 220 detection limit 0.4 μ M; precision ±5%). Samples for N₂:Ar determinations were transferred into 12-221 ml gastight glass vials (Exetainer®, Labco, High Wycombe, UK), flushing at least 3 times the vial 222 volume and preserved by adding 100 µl of 7M ZnCl₂ solution. The N₂:Ar ratio in water samples 223 was measured within one week at the laboratory of Aquatic Ecology, University of Ferrara, by 224 MIMS (Bay Instruments, USA; Kana et al., 1994), a PrismaPlus quadrupole mass spectrometer with 225 an inline furnace operating at 600°C to allow for O₂ removal. The coefficient of variation calculated 226

from replicated N₂:Ar samples (n=10) was 10-fold lower (~0.04%) than N₂ measurements, similarly to Laursen and Seitzinger (2002). N₂ concentration was calculated from the measured N₂:Ar multiplied by the theoretical saturated Ar concentration at the sampling water temperature, which was determined from gas solubility tables (Weiss, 1970). N₂ production rates were calculated as described in the paragraph 2.4.

Additional samples for N₂O determinations were collected in the same way of those for N₂:Ar analysis. Dissolved nitrous oxide was measured by gas chromatography (Trace GC, 2000 Series, Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA equipped with an ECD detector), but N₂O production was found negligible. N₂O production was also found negligible in field conditions as it was previously demonstrated by Castaldelli et el. (2015) after performing measurements in the same canal from which the samples were collected to build the chambers.

At the end of all incubations, density of *P. australis* stems, mean diameter of each stem, and mean height of submerged portion were measured in each vegetated mesocosm for the estimation of the total surface suitable for bacteria and microalgae biofilm. Daily plant N uptake was estimated from direct estimate of reed stand biomass, and the average relative growth rate for the summer period and the tissue N content, obtained from experimental campaigns previously performed in the same canal (Pierobon et al., 2013).

244

245 2.4 Calculation of NO_3^- removal and N_2 production rates

NO₃⁻ removal rate (mmol N m⁻² h⁻¹) was calculated for each mesocosm from the rate of change in NO₃⁻ concentrations with time and expressed as per square meter. The water volume collected for analysis was overall <5% of the total volume included in each chamber while a correction factor was used to account for the loss of water volume from the five subsequent samplings.

The same set of equations given by Laursen and Seitzinger (2002) for open-channel denitrification were used at the mesocosm scale to model net N_2 fluxes. The general assumption is

that in an open-top mesocosm, where water flow is artificially set, N2 concentrations evolve 253 temporally due to metabolic activity and as a function of temperature that affects the gas exchange 254 with the atmosphere, similarly to a Lagrangian transport in a natural watercourse (Laursen and 255 Seitzinger, 2002). The constant temperature conditions by thermostats in the tanks during 256 incubations maintained the stability of the gas fluxes across the water-atmosphere interface. This 257 approach determines net N₂ fluxes because the measured N₂ concentrations are the results of 258 production (i.e. denitrification including coupled nitrification/denitrification, anammox -Anaerobic 259 Ammonium Oxidation) and consumption (i.e. N fixation) processes. However, the terms 260 "denitrification" and "N₂ production" (or N₂ flux) are used interchangeably in the text, since the 261 contribution of anammox is usually low in eutrophic freshwater environments (Zhou et al., 2014; 262 Shen et al., 2016). 263

Net N₂ fluxes for each mesocosm were estimated at 1-min time steps using the following input parameters in the equations of Laursen and Seitzinger (2002): measured N₂ and water temperature at each sampling time, average flow velocity set in the mesocosm, dimension of the moving parcel (water column height × annular radius), gas transfer velocity (k600), and Schmidt number coefficient (-2/3 typical for water surfaces without waves, Jähne et al., 1987). The reaeration coefficient of oxygen at 20°C (KO₂, 20°C, d⁻¹) was calculated from flow velocity *u* (m s⁻¹) and water depth *d* (m) by the general empirical equation by Genereux and Hemond (1992):

$$K_{O_2} = a \cdot \frac{u^b}{d^c}$$

The parameters of *a*, *b*, and *c* were obtained by the literature where measurements of gas transfer in re-circulating cylindrical flumes were performed within velocity-depth ranges comparable to that adopted in the present study (Isaacs and Gaudy, 1968; Eloubaldy, 1969; Isaac et al., 1969; Negulescu and Rojanki, 1969; Padden and Gloyna, 1972). These equations have been tested and applied in laminar flow channels, artificial flumes, and regular-shaped sewers (Cox, 2003) and often identified as the most reliable choice in review studies about reaeration equations (Palumbo and

Brown, 2013). The transfer velocity of oxygen (kO₂, 20°C, cm h⁻¹) was obtained by multiplying the 278 reaeration coefficient by the average water column depth of each mesocosm, assuming a well-279 mixed water column. The gas transfer velocity was finally normalized to a Schmidt number of 600 280 (k600, for CO₂ at 20 °C, cm h⁻¹) (Jähne et al., 1987; Wanninkhof, 1992). To quantify uncertainty, 281 the aforementioned set of equations given by Laursen and Seitzinger (2002) were applied varying 282 the gas transfer parameterizations and a range of N₂ production rates for each experimental 283 condition. The general equation of Genereux and Hemond (1992) for calculating the oxygen 284 reaeration coefficient cannot be used in stagnant waters. Moreover, when wind speed is almost null 285 as generally occurs in the lower Po valley, neither wind-based models are suitable (Cole and 286 Caraco, 1998). Under stagnant conditions, gas exchange is low but not null. Thus with a 287 conservative approach, we adopted the lower extreme of the k600 range obtained for 1.5 cm s⁻¹ 288 velocity with the above reported depth-velocity equations. 289

The equations proposed by Laursen and Seitzinger (2002) for N₂ open-channel estimation 290 cannot be applied in stagnant conditions since a Lagrangian transport of the water parcel is required. 291 N₂ production rate (mmol N m⁻² h⁻¹) was calculated for each mesocosm from the rate of change in 292 concentrations with time and expressed as per square meter, corrected for the N₂ efflux from the 293 water column to the atmosphere (Jacobs and Harrison, 2014), as N₂ concentrations were constantly 294 295 higher than the theoretical saturated N₂ concentration at the sampling water temperature. This procedure assumes the N₂ concentrations in the mesocosm water column were at steady state. The 296 efflux was calculated as the product of the difference between the measured N₂ concentration in the 297 water column and the theoretical saturated N₂ concentration at the sampling water temperature 298 determined from gas solubility tables (Weiss, 1970), and the N₂ reaeration coefficient. The 299 estimates of KO₂ were converted to KN₂ based on the respective Schmidt numbers of this gas 300 301 calculated for water temperature at the sampling times, according to the polynomial fit given by Wanninkhof (1992). 302

Hourly rates of NO_3^- removal and N_2 production were multiplied by the average number of light and dark hours in the summer period of the investigated area (Allen et al., 1998) and summed to obtain daily values (mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹).

306

307 2.5 Statistical analyses

The effect of factors velocity, and light condition (light/dark) on NO₃⁻ removal and 308 denitrification in vegetated and bare mesocosms was tested by a two-way ANOVA. Statistical tests 309 were performed separately for data from bare and from vegetated mesocosms to exclude any 310 predictable significance due to plant activity. Previous studies have demonstrated that benthic N 311 dynamics are significantly affected by the presence of P. australis (Pierobon et al., 2013; Castaldelli 312 et al., 2015). Tuckey's post-hoc multiple comparison test was used to identify the significant 313 differences among velocity levels. Differences between NO₃⁻ removal and denitrification rates were 314 tested by Student's t-test. Normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homoscedasticity (Levene's test) were 315 previously examined. All datasets fulfilled the requirements for parametric tests. Statistical 316 significance was set at p≤0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat 317 Software, Inc., CA, USA). Average values are reported in graphs and tables with associated 318 standard deviation (std. dev.). 319

320

321 3. Results

322 $3.1 NO_3^{-}$ removal rates

Initial mesocosm conditions were very similar among the incubations, as shown in Table 1. For each velocity level, NO_3^- removal and denitrification rates were not statistically different between the two sets of incubations (p>0.05), thus, results, tables and figures include pooled data. During the 3-hour incubations, NO_3^- amounts in water of *P. australis* mesocosms decreased on average by 61-88% and 82-90%, in dark and light conditions, respectively. NO_3^- depletion along was also detected in bare sediment mesocosms and ranged between 5 and 13% considering both dark and light conditions. NO_3^- removal rates were evaluated by monitoring the reduction over time in water column concentrations. The reduction of NO_3^- versus time was linear in all cases indicating that NO_3^- removal was not concentration dependent.

Across the velocity range, NO₃⁻ removal ranged between 1173±435 (stagnant condition, dark) 332 and 6543±803 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹ (6 cm s⁻¹, light) in vegetated sediments, and between 520±236 (1.5 333 cm s⁻¹, light) and 1051 \pm 290 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹ (3 cm s⁻¹, dark) in bare sediments (Fig. 2a). NO₃⁻¹ 334 removal rates were significantly different between light and dark conditions both in presence and 335 absence of P. australis (Table 2). For vegetated mesocosms, NO3⁻ consumption differed 336 significantly among all velocity treatments, and was enhanced on average by a factor of 5 passing 337 from the stagnant condition to the 6 cm s⁻¹ velocity (Table 2; Fig. 2a). In bare sediments, NO₃⁻ 338 removal rates were not significantly different among velocity treatments. The interaction between 339 light condition and velocity level was not significant for both vegetated and bare sediments (Table 340 2). 341

342

343 *3.2 Denitrification rates*

Dissolved N₂ concentrations measured at the beginning of the incubations were in the range 470-525 μ M. These values were higher than the theoretical equilibrium values in all sampling events, corresponding to a constant oversaturation in the range 100-104% (pooled data). Consistent with the NO₃⁻ decline, an increase of N₂ concentrations was detected over the incubation time in all treatments. N₂ concentrations measured at the end of incubations always exceeded those predicted by only gas re-equilibration with the atmosphere, thus the excess was ascribed to internal N₂ production.

Considering the whole k600 range for each velocity level, average N₂ production rates varied between 838±462 (stagnant condition, light) and 10053±1844 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹ (6 cm s⁻¹, dark) in vegetated sediments, and between 558±534 (stagnant condition, light) and 1798±363 µmol N m⁻² h⁻¹ (6 cm s⁻¹, dark) in bare sediments (Table 3; Fig. 2b). Denitrification rates were higher in vegetated mesocosms compared to bare sediments and higher in dark than in light conditions (Table 3; Table 3; Fig. 2b). Denitrification differed significantly among all velocity treatments in vegetated mesocosms, and it was enhanced on average by a factor of 6 and 11 for dark and light, respectively, when passing from the stagnant condition to the 6 cm s⁻¹ velocity (Table 2; Fig. 2b). In bare sediments denitrification rates were not significantly different among velocity treatments, similarly to NO₃⁻ removal rates (Table 2; Fig. 2b).

361

362 3.3 N fluxes across the velocity range: NO_3^- removal, N_2 production and plant N uptake

Daily NO3⁻ removal rates ranged between 30 and 150 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ in vegetated sediments 363 and between 14 and 19 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ in bare sediments (Fig. 3). The difference between NO_3^{-1} 364 removal measured in vegetated and bare sediments was minimum in stagnant water. The NO3⁻ 365 removal rates detected in vegetated sediments were almost double compared to bare sediments for 366 stagnant conditions while they became more than ten times larger, respectively, when the 6 cm s⁻¹ 367 velocity was applied. Daily NO₃⁻ consumption increased substantially from 0 to 1.5 cm s⁻¹ velocity 368 (+257%), while the raise was slight when velocity passed from 1.5 to 3 cm s⁻¹ (+15\%), and from 3 369 to 6 cm s⁻¹ (+22%). N accumulation in new plant tissues averaged 6 ± 2 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹, accounting 370 for 3.1-6-8% of the daily NO₃⁻ removal. 371

Considering the whole k600 range for each velocity level, average daily N₂ production rates 372 varied across the velocity range between 27 and 233 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ in vegetated sediments and 373 between 18 and 33 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ in bare sediments (Fig. 3). Daily rates of denitrification in 374 vegetated sediments increased linearly with increasing water velocity from 0 to 6 cm s⁻¹ (Fig. 4). 375 The difference between N₂ flux measured in vegetated and bare sediments was minimum in 376 stagnant water (1.5-fold higher in presence of *P. australis*) and increased together with velocity, 377 reaching the maximum at 6 cm s⁻¹ condition (9-fold higher in presence of *P. australis*). Daily rates 378 of NO_3^- removal and denitrification in vegetated sediments were significantly correlated (r=0.87, 379 p<0.001, n=24) (Fig. 5). 380

382 **4. Discussion**

383 4.1. Water velocity as driver of N removal in vegetated canals

NO₃⁻ removal via denitrification was strongly affected by flow conditions, despite the narrow 384 range of velocities employed to simulate those conditions commonly found in ditches and canals of 385 lowland agricultural watersheds. A variation of velocity from null to 6 cm s⁻¹ produced a rise of one 386 order of magnitude in NO_3^{-} removal and denitrification rates in vegetated sediments. It is known 387 that overlying velocity conditions control the fluxes of solutes to the bioactive uptake surfaces 388 (Silvester and Sleigh, 1985; Carleton and Mohamoud, 2013). The stimulating effect of velocity on 389 390 denitrification has been ascribed to the continuous mixing of NO₃-poor water layers around microbially active surfaces with the overlying NO₃⁻-rich water, which ensures a constant supply of 391 NO_3^{-} to the benthic microbial community. The results of the study can verify the observations of 392 Larned et al. (2004) and Arnon et al. (2007a) that increased water flow reduces the thickness of the 393 diffusive boundary layer, eliminates the mass transfer limitations, and enhances the transport of 394 NO₃⁻ deeper into sediments and biofilms, preventing that the rate of consumption is faster that the 395 rate of delivery. 396

Previous laboratory experiments (Arnon et al., 2007a; O'Connor and Hondzo, 2007) made for 397 398 analysing the effects of flow velocity on NO_3^{-1} consumption in benthic biofilms, revealed that the complex interplay between the NO₃⁻ transport to anoxic niches and the redox state of the benthic 399 compartment mediated by water movement, regulates NO3⁻ dissipation via denitrification. A 400 significant decrease of denitrification was observed when flow velocity increased above a specific 401 threshold due to the inhibitory effect of higher oxygen delivery. In fact, most denitrifiers are 402 facultative anaerobes and they shift to aerobic metabolism when oxygen is available, thus the 403 process is suppressed when benthic and epiphytic biofilms are more oxygenated in a higher flow 404 (Arnon et al., 2007b). In our experiment, daily rates of denitrification increased linearly with 405 increasing water velocity with the highest rates measured at the fastest velocity (Fig. 4). This 406

suggests that the threshold where denitrification is suppressed by high oxygen concentrations was not reached within the range of employed velocities. Based on these results, it can be assumed that increasing velocity likely increased the delivery of oxygen to biofilms concurrently stimulating oxygen consumption by other processes like respiration and oxidation of reduced compounds maintaining favourable conditions for denitrification. An increase of oxygen consumption by the macrophyte-periphyton complex in relation to water movement was previously observed (Dawson et al., 1981; Eriksson, 2001).

Denitrification rates measured in control stagnant conditions were probably regulated by the high respiration rates of sediment, vegetation and associated epiphytes which reduced oxygen concentration promoting denitrification (Eriksson, 2001). However, the measured rates are probably higher compared to natural conditions due to the short term (few hours) of the incubations, and by the addition of NO_3^- at the zero time. In natural, permanently stagnant waters, NO_3^- transport and supply is expected to be much lower. This condition will eventually impede denitrification to occur, even if there is an anoxic environment suitable for the process (Seitzinger et al., 2006).

The positive correlation between denitrification and NO3⁻ consumption rates measured at all 421 flow velocity levels in vegetated sediments suggests that denitrification was the main process 422 responsible for NO₃⁻ dissipation in water column (Fig. 5). However, N₂ flux quantification suffers 423 424 from the variability introduced by the conservative approach of using a set of empirical depthvelocity equations providing a range of k600 values. Therefore, when the obtained N₂ fluxes were 425 on average greater than the corresponding NO_3^- fluxes, as in the case of the highest velocity 426 employed in the experiments, two explanations can be given: 1) overestimation of N₂ fluxes due to 427 overestimation of the gas transfer velocity; 2) contribution of nitrification in producing additional 428 NO3⁻, which was also denitrified to N₂. Extensive literature reviews have highlighted that 429 empirically derived formulas predicting the gas transfer velocity only as a function of hydraulic 430 parameters (i.e. water velocity and depth) generally tend to overestimate k600 values (Cox et al., 431 2003), thus it cannot be excluded a consequent overestimation of denitrification rates. Future 432

applications of the N₂ open-channel method, both at field or laboratory scale, should include the simultaneous direct measurement of reaeration coefficients in order to increase the accuracy of denitrification estimates. Nitrification-denitrification coupling could also have contributed in the difference between NO₃⁻ consumption and N₂ production. We cannot exclude that part of the N₂ fluxes was also supported by nitrification of mineralized ammonium occurring in biofilms of the sediment or on submerged plant stems (Eriksson and Weisner, 1999).

439

440 4.2 Emergent vegetation as a key factor controlling NO_3^- removal via denitrification

NO3⁻ removal rates detected in vegetated mesocosms across the velocity range were found to 441 442 be from 2 to 12-times higher than in bare sediments. Vegetation enhances NO₃⁻ abatement from polluted water bodies through two main pathways, nutrient uptake and microbial denitrification. 443 Rooted macrophytes stimulate denitrification directly by the exudation of organic carbon from 444 445 roots, i.e. the energy source for the heterotrophic NO_3^- reduction, and indirectly, by providing surfaces for the growth of epiphytic biofilms where a number of metabolic processes, among which 446 nitrification and denitrification, can occur (Eriksson and Weisner, 1999; Toet et al., 2003; 447 Srivastava et al., 2016). Moreover, oxygen injection into the rhizosphere via aerenchyma creates a 448 mosaic of oxic and anoxic niches, where microbial processes requiring contrasting redox condition 449 450 can simultaneously occur, as coupled nitrification-denitrification (Kreiling et al., 2011; Gagnon et al., 2012; Paranychianakis et al., 2016). Indeed, a visual check across the transparent mesocosm 451 walls revealed that P. australis roots were surrounded by light brown halos, indicating oxidized 452 conditions, while in bare sediments dark brown-blackish zones were observed indicating anoxic 453 conditions. The dense development of periphyton on submersed plant shoots was on average 2.4 454 times (range 1.6-2.8) larger from the area of bare sediment. This could also be considered an 455 additional factor of increased denitrification without taking into account the direct plant effects on 456 N metabolism. Active denitrification in periphyton on shoots of *P. australis* has been previously 457 demonstrated in eutrophic ecosystems (Toet et al., 2003; Venterink et al., 2003). 458

N₂ production was systematically higher in dark than in light conditions, as expected from 459 diurnal patterns of variation in denitrification rates (Harrison et al., 2005). During light conditions, 460 benthic microalgal photosynthesis and oxygen transport towards the rhizosphere, mediated by P. 461 australis aerenchyma, enhance the volume of the oxic sediment layer. It also displaces the 462 denitrification zone deeper from the sediment-water interface increasing the diffusional path length 463 of NO₃⁻ and inhibiting its consumption via denitrification. Similarly, denitrification in biofilms is 464 promoted by elevated oxygen consumption and it is inhibited by photosynthesis of the periphyton 465 algal component. Conversely, in dark conditions, the absence of O₂ production results in a thinner 466 diffusional path length of NO3⁻ across the oxic layers enhancing its supply to denitrifiers (Toet et 467 468 al.; 2003; Nizzoli et al., 2014).

A decrease in water column NO₃⁻ concentrations can also be attributed to assimilation by 469 bacteria, phytoplanktonic and benthic microalgae and reeds and this would explain the difference 470 471 between NO₃⁻ removal and the correspondent N₂ production. However, the experimental activities were performed under typical midsummer conditions, when biomass production had almost 472 completed, plant growth was at minimum and N uptake was limited to the maintenance of basic 473 metabolism. Thus, N uptake by P. australis accounted for a minor fraction of NO₃⁻ consumption, 474 less than 5 %, as previously demonstrated (Pierobon et al., 2013; Castaldelli et al., 2015). Uptake by 475 476 periphyton was not measured but it was expected to have insignificant contribution (Kreiling et al., 2011). 477

- 478
- 479

4.3 Implications for NO₃⁻ mitigation in agricultural landscapes

The present outcomes may have relevant applications for the mitigation of excessive NO_3^- in agricultural watersheds by means of phytodepuration in canals networks and wetlands. Considering the increase of denitrification rates due to the increase of flow velocities, it is possible to address a more efficient N removal when designing, restoring and managing aquatic ecosystems. Although N removal is usually a primary goal of natural and constructed wetlands, thematic literature does not

report any systematic study dealing with water velocity as a key factor in the regulation of 485 biogeochemical processes and especially denitrification. Field evidences not further adequately 486 investigated demonstrated a greater N removal performance of constructed wetlands in well-mixed 487 compared to stagnant conditions (Sirivedhin and Gray, 2006; Kjellin et al., 2007). Traditionally, 488 wetlands research has focused on hydraulic retention time (i.e. time of contact between water and 489 sediment) and total wet area as key parameters to enhance N removal (Verhoeven et al., 2006; 490 Tournebize et al., in press; Xu et al., 2016). However, in wetlands, water movement is generally 491 negligible and thus diffusive mass transfer limits the supply of NO₃⁻ from the water column to the 492 denitrification zones. This means that the most of the area of a wetland may not be efficient enough 493 494 to support high denitrification rates while denitrification may occur only in the portions where a significant flow is maintained. Water velocity enhances NO₃⁻ availability at all spatial levels, from 495 sediment to the periphytic layers, by favouring the mixing of NO_3^- poor and NO_3^- -rich water in 496 proximity of the microbially active surfaces. From a practical point of view, this means that in a 497 given environment with a certain NO_3^- availability, the artificial regulation of water velocity would 498 allow to maximise the N removal capacity. Furthermore, predictive estimates of depuration 499 potential for NO_3^- can be performed on the base of water velocity, which can be used as key 500 parameter in the decision making for interventions related to river renaturalization or reshaping of a 501 502 canal section.

503

504 **5.** Conclusions

The present study highlighted that hydrodynamic transport conditions may play a key role in regulating N dissipation in slow-flow shallow waterways, by affecting the supply of NO_3^- from the water column to the anoxic niches where denitrification occurs. In particular: 1) sediments colonised by emergent vegetation were found more efficient than bare sediments in removing $NO_3^$ via denitrification; 2) denitrification in vegetated sediments was the dominant N removal pathway; 3) denitrification rates were positively correlated to flow velocity in vegetated sediments indicating 511 one order of magnitude larger values over a narrow range of velocity increase (from 0 to 6 cm s⁻¹). 512 This study provides the first description of the functional relationship between water velocity and 513 denitrification in slow-flow watercourses and can be used in reclamation works to maximize N-514 buffer capacity of drainage networks in agricultural landscapes.

515

516 Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Emilia-Romagna Region within the Rural Development Programme (PSR) 2014-2020 and within the POR FESR 2007-2013 Programme for the development of the regional High Technology Network. It was also supported by the Po Delta Regional Park of the Emilia-Romagna within a long-term research collaboration for the definition of management protocols for the control of eutrophication in the Po River delta.

522

523 **References**

- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for
 computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. FAO, Rome, 300(9),
 D05109.
- Arheimer, B., Pers, B.C., 2016. Lessons learned? Effects of nutrient reductions from constructing
 wetlands in 1996–2006 across Sweden. Ecol. Eng. In press.
- Armstrong, F.A.J., Sterus, C.R., Strickland, J.D.H., 1967. The measurement of upwelling and subsequent biological processes by means of the Technicon AutoAnalyzer and associated equipment. Deep-Sea Res. 14, 381–389.
- Arnon, S., Gray, K.A., Packman, A.I., 2007a. Biophysicochemical process coupling controls
 nitrogen use by benthic biofilms. Limnol. Oceanogr. 52(4), 1665–1671.

- Arnon, S., Peterson, C. G., Gray, K. A., Packman, A. I., 2007b. Influence of flow conditions and
 system geometry on nitrate use by benthic biofilms: implications for nutrient mitigation. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 41(23), 8142–8148.
- Arnon, S., Yanuka, K., Nejidat, A., 2013. Impact of overlying water velocity on ammonium uptake
 by benthic biofilms. Hydrol. Process. 27(4), 570–578.
- Bartoli, M., Racchetti, E., Delconte, C.A., Sacchi, E., Soana, E., Laini, A., Longhi, D., Viaroli, P.,
 2012. Nitrogen balance and fate in a heavily impacted watershed (Oglio River, Northern Italy): in
 quest of the missing sources and sinks. Biogeosciences 9(1), 361–373.
- Baulch, H.M., Venkiteswaran, J.J., Dillon, P.J., Marange, R., 2010. Revisiting the application of
 open-channel estimates of denitrification. Limnol. Oceanogr-Meth. 8, 202–215.
- Biggs, J., von Fumetti, S., Kelly-Quinn, M., 2017. The importance of small waterbodies for
 biodiversity and ecosystem services: implications for policy makers. Hydrobiologia 793(1), 3–39.
- Carleton, J.N., Mohamoud, Y.M., 2013. Effect of flow depth and velocity on nitrate loss rates in
 natural channels. J Am. Water Resour. As. 205–216.
- 548 Castaldelli, G., Soana, E., Racchetti, E., Vincenzi, F., Fano, E. A., Bartoli, M., 2015. Vegetated
- canals mitigate nitrogen surplus in agricultural watersheds. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 212, 253–262.
- Cole, J.J., Caraco, N.F., 1998. Atmospheric exchange of carbon dioxide in a low wind oligotrophic
 lake measured by the addition of SF6. Limnol. Oceanogr. 43, 647–656.
- Cox, B.A., 2003. A review of dissolved oxygen modelling techniques for lowland rivers. Sci. Total
 Environ. 314, 303–334.
- 554 Dalsgaard, T., Nielsen, L.P., Brotas, V., Viaroli, P., Underwood, G.J.C., Nedwell, D.B., Sundbäck,
- 555 K., Rysgaard, S., Miles, A., Bartoli, M., Dong, L., Thornton, D.C.O., Ottosen, L.D.M., Castaldelli,
- 556 G., Risgaard-Petersen, N., 2000. Protocol Handbook for NICE-Nitrogen Cycling in Estuaries: A

- Project Under the EU Research Programme. Marine Science and Technology (MAST III). National
 Environmental Research Institute, Silkeborg, Denmark, 62 pp
- Dawson, F.H., Westlake, D.F., Williams, G.I., 1981. An automatic system to study the responses of
 respiration and photosynthesis by submerged macrophytes to environmental variables.
 Hydrobiologia 77(3), 277–285.
- Dollinger, J., Dagès, C., Bailly, J. S., Lagacherie, P., Voltz, M., 2015. Managing ditches for
 agroecological engineering of landscape. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 35(3), 999–1020.
- Eloubaldy, A.F., 1969. Wind waves and the reaeration coefficient in open channel flow. Ph.D.
 Thesis, Colorado State University. Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Eriksson, P.G., Weisner, S.E.B., 1999. An experimental study on effects of submersed macrophytes
 on nitrification and denitrification in ammonium-rich aquatic systems. Limnol. Oceanogr. 44(8),
 1993–1999.
- Eriksson, P.G., 2001. Interaction effects of flow velocity and oxygen metabolism on nitrification
 and denitrification in biofilms on submersed macrophytes. Biogeochemistry 55(1), 29–44.
- 571 Gagnon, V., Chazarenc, F., Kõiv, M., Brisson, J., 2012. Effect of plant species on water quality at
- the outlet of a sludge treatment wetland. Water Res. 46(16), 5305-5315.
- 573 Garnier J., Billen G., Vilain G., Benoit M., Passy P., Tallec G., Tournebize J., Anglade J., Billy C.,
- 574 Mercier B., Ansart P., Azougui A., Sebilo M., Kao C. 2014. Curative vs. preventive management of
- nitrogen transfers in rural areas: Lessons from the case of the Orgeval watershed (Seine River basin,
- 576 France). J Environ. Manage. 144, 125–134.
- Genereux D.P., Hemond H.F., 1992. Gas exchange rate constant for a small stream on Walker
 Branch watershed, Tennessee. Water Resour. Res. 28, 2365–2374.

- Harrison, J.A., Matson, P.A., Fendorf, S.E., 2005. Effects of a diel oxygen cycle on nitrogen
 transformations and greenhouse gas emissions in a eutrophied subtropical stream. Aquat. Sci. 67(3),
 308–315.
- Ilyas, H., Masih, I., 2017. The performance of the intensified constructed wetlands for organic
 matter and nitrogen removal: A review. J Environ. Manage. 198, 372–383.
- Isaacs, W.P., Gaudy, A.F., 1968. Atmospheric oxygenation in a simulated stream. J Sanit. Eng. Div.
 Asce 94(SA2), 319–314.
- Isaacs W.P., Chulavachana, P., Bogart, R., 1969. An experimental study of the effect of channel
 surface roughness on the reaeration rate coefficient. Proceedings of the 24th Industrial Waste
 Conference, Purdue University. 1464–1476
- Jacobs, A.E., Harrison, J.A., 2014. Effects of floating vegetation on denitrification, nitrogen retention, and greenhouse gas production in wetland microcosms. Biogeochemistry 119(1-3), 51– 66.
- Jähne, B., Munnich, K.O., Bosinger, R., Dutzi, A., Huber, W., Libner, P., 1987. On parameters
 influencing air-water exchange. J Geophys. Res. 92, 1937–1949.
- Kareiva, P., Watts, S., McDonald, R., Boucher, T., 2007. Domesticated nature: shaping landscapes
 and ecosystems for human welfare. Science 316(5833), 1866–1869.
- Kjellin, J., Hallin, S., Wörman, A., 2007. Spatial variations in denitrification activity in wetland
 sediments explained by hydrology and denitrifying community structure. Water Research, 41(20),
 4710-4720.
- Kreiling, R. M., Richardson, W. B., Cavanaugh, J. C., & Bartsch, L. A., 2011. Summer nitrate
 uptake and denitrification in an upper Mississippi River backwater lake: the role of rooted aquatic
 vegetation. Biogeochemistry, 104(1-3), 309-324.

- Kröger, R., Scott, J. T., Czarnecki, J.M.P., 2014. Denitrification potential of low-grade weirs and
 agricultural drainage ditch sediments in the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley. Ecol. Eng. 73, 168–
 175.
- Larned, S.T., Nikora, V. I., Biggs, B.J., 2004. Mass-transfer-limited nitrogen and phosphorus
 uptake by stream periphyton: A conceptual model and experimental evidence. Limnol. Oceanogr.
 49(6), 1992–2000.
- Laursen A.E., Seitzinger S.P., 2002. Measurement of denitrification in rivers: an integrated, whole
 reach approach. Hydrobiologia 485 67–81.
- Leip, A., Billen, G., Garnier, J., Grizzetti, B., Lassaletta, L., Reis, S., Simpson, D., Sutton, M.A., De
- Vries, W., Weiss, F., Westhoek, H., 2015. Impacts of European livestock production: nitrogen,
 sulphur, phosphorus and greenhouse gas emissions, land-use, water eutrophication and biodiversity.
- 613 Environ. Res. Lett. 10(11), 115004.
- Madsen, T.V., Sand-Jensen, K., 1991. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation in aquatic macrophytes.
 Aquat. Bot. 41, 5–40.
- Moore, M.T., Locke, M.A., Kröger, R., 2016. Using aquatic vegetation to remediate nitrate,
 ammonium, and soluble reactive phosphorus in simulated runoff. Chemosphere 160, 149–154.
- Negulescu, M, Rojanski V., 1969. Recent research to determine reaeration coefficient. Water Res.
 3, 189–202.
- Nikora, V., 2010. Hydrodynamics of aquatic ecosystems: an interface between ecology,
 biomechanics and environmental fluid mechanics. River Res. Appl. 26(4), 367–384.
- Nizzoli, D., Welsh, D.T., Longhi, D., Viaroli, P., 2014. Influence of *Potamogeton pectinatus* and
 microphytobenthos on benthic metabolism, nutrient fluxes and denitrification in a freshwater littoral
 sediment in an agricultural landscape: N assimilation versus N removal. Hydrobiologia 737, 183–
- 625 200**.**

- O'Connor, B.L., Hondzo, M., 2007. Enhancement and inhibition of denitrification by fluid-flow
 and dissolved oxygen flux to stream sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42(1), 119–125.
- Padden, T.J., Gloyna, E.F., 1972. Simulation of stream processes in a model river. Technical Report
- No. 2 (EHE-70-23, CRWR-72). Austin, Texas: Texas University, Center for Reasearch in Water
 Resources.
- Palumbo, J.E., Brown, L.C., 2013. Assessing the performance of reaeration prediction equations. J
 Environ. Eng. 140(3), 04013013.
- Paranychianakis, N.V., Tsiknia, M., Kalogerakis, N., 2016. Pathways regulating the removal of
 nitrogen in planted and unplanted subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Water Res. 102, 321–329.
- Pierobon, E., Castaldelli, G., Mantovani, S., Vincenzi, F., Fano, E.A., 2013. Nitrogen removal in
 vegetated and unvegetated drainage ditches impacted by diffuse and point sources of pollution.
 CLEAN Soil Air Water 41, 24–31.
- Reisinger, A. J., Tank, J. L., Hoellein, T. J., Hall, R. O., 2016. Sediment, water column, and openchannel denitrification in rivers measured using membrane-inlet mass spectrometry. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 121(5), 1258–1274.
- Revsbech, N.P., Jacobsen, J.P., Nielsen, L.P., 2005. Nitrogen transformations in microenvironments
 of river beds and riparian zones. Ecol. Eng. 24(5), 447–455.
- Romero, E., Garnier, J., Billen, G., Peters, F., Lassaletta, L., 2016. Water management practices
 exacerbate nitrogen retention in Mediterranean catchments. Sci. Total Environ. 573, 420–432.
- 645 Seitzinger, S., Harrison, J.A., Böhlke, J.K., Bouwman, A.F., Lowrance, R., Peterson, B., Tobias, C.,
- Drecht, G.V., 2006. Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes: a synthesis. Ecol. Appl.
 16(6), 2064-2090.
- Shen, L.D., Zheng, P. H., Ma, S.J., 2016. Nitrogen loss through anaerobic ammonium oxidation in
 agricultural drainage ditches. Biol. Fert. Soils 52(2), 127–136.

- Silvester, N.R., Sleigh, M.A., 1985. The forces on microorganisms at surfaces in flowing
 water. Freshwater Biol. 15(4), 433–448.
- Sirivedhin, T., Gray, K.A., 2006. Factors affecting denitrification rates in experimental wetlands:
 field and laboratory studies. Ecol. Eng. 26(2), 167-181.
- Srivastava, J.K., Chandra, H., Kalra, S.J., Mishra, P., Khan, H., Yadav, P., 2016. Plant–microbe
 interaction in aquatic system and their role in the management of water quality: a review. Appl.
 Water Sci. 1–12.
- Soana, E., Balestrini, R., Vincenzi, F., Bartoli, M., Castaldelli, G., 2017. Mitigation of nitrogen
 pollution in vegetated ditches fed by nitrate-rich spring waters. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 243, 74–82.
- Taylor, J.M., Moore, M.T., Scott, J.T., 2015. Contrasting nutrient mitigation and denitrification
 potential of agricultural drainage environments with different emergent aquatic macrophytes. J.
 Environ. Qual. 44(4), 1304–1314.
- Toet, S., Huibers, L.H., Van Logtestijn, R.S., Verhoeven, J.T., 2003. Denitrification in the periphyton associated with plant shoots and in the sediment of a wetland system supplied with sewage treatment plant effluent. Hydrobiologia 501(1-3), 29–44.
- Törnqvist, R., Jarsjö, J., Thorslund, J., Rao, P. S. C., Basu, N. B., Destouni, G., 2015. Mechanisms
 of basin-scale nitrogen load reductions under intensified irrigated agriculture. PloS One 10(3):
 e0120015.
- Tournebize, J., Chaumont, C., Mander, Ü., 2017. Implications for constructed wetlands to mitigate
 nitrate and pesticide pollution in agricultural drained watersheds. Ecol. Eng. In press.
- Valiela, I., Bowen, J.L., 2002. Nitrogen sources to watersheds and estuaries: role of land cover
 mosaics and losses within watersheds. Environ. Pollut. 118(2), 239–248.

- Venterink, H.O., Hummelink, E., Van den Hoorn, M.W., 2003. Denitrification potential of a river
 floodplain during flooding with nitrate-rich water: grasslands versus reedbeds. Biogeochemistry
 65(2), 233–244.
- Veraart, A.J., Dimitrov, M.R., Schrier-Uijl, A.P., Smidt, H., de Klein, J.J., 2016. Abundance,
 activity and community structure of denitrifiers in drainage ditches in relation to sediment
 characteristics, vegetation and land-use. Ecosystems 1–16.
- Verhoeven, J.T., Arheimer, B., Yin, C., Hefting, M.M., 2006. Regional and global concerns over
 wetlands and water quality. Trends Ecol. Evol. 21(2), 96–103.
- Wanninkhof, R., 1992. Relationship between gas exchange and wind speed over the ocean. J.
 Geophys. Res. 97, 7373–7381.
- Weigelhofer, G., Welti, N., Hein, T., 2013. Limitations of stream restoration for nitrogen retention
 in agricultural headwater streams. Ecol. Eng. 60, 224–234.
- Weiss, R.F., 1970. The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater. Deep-Sea
 Res. 17(4), 721–735.
- Xiong, Y., Peng, S., Luo, Y., Xu, J., Yang, S., 2015. A paddy eco-ditch and wetland system to
 reduce non-point source pollution from rice-based production system while maintaining water use
 efficiency. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 22(6), 4406–4417.
- Xu Z, Yang Z, Yin X, Cai Y, Sun T. 2016. Hydrological management for improving nutrient
 assimilative capacity in plant-dominated wetlands: A modelling approach. J Environ. Manage. 177,
 84–92.
- Zhou, S., Borjigin, S., Riya, S., Terada, A., Hosomi, M., 2014. The relationship between anammox
 and denitrification in the sediment of an inland river. Sci. Total Environ. 490, 1029–1036.

Table 1. Water column features at the beginning of each incubation of the first and second experiment. Average values±standard deviation of mesocosms with plants and without plants (*n*=6) are reported. Ranges of gas transfer velocity (k600) for each velocity were calculated as described in the Material and Methods section. Minimum k600 values were obtained by applying the equation proposed by Isaacs et al. (1969), while maximum values resulted from the parameterizations by Padden and Gloyna (1972) and Negulescu and Rojanki (1969).

700

| - | Velocity | k600 | Dark/Light | aht T (°C) | | Oxygen (µM) | | NO ₃ - (μM) | |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--|---------|
| | $(cm s^{-1})$ | $(cm h^{-1})$ | Dark/Light | Exp 1 | Exp 2 | Exp 1 | Exp 2 | Exp 1 | Exp 2 |
| _ | 0 | 0.48 | Dark | 26.30 (0.00) | 23.06 (0.10) | 160 (12) | 148 (19) | 98 (2) | 94 (5) |
| | 0 | 0.40 | Light | 25.60 (0.15) | 23.57 (0.05) | 161 (18) | 138 (32) | Exp 1 Exp 98 (2) 94 (3) 96 (8) 102 (3) 94 (4) 98 (1) 93 (5) 100 (3) 91 (7) 104 (3) 99 (9) 98 (3) | 102 (7) |
| | 15 | 0.48.0.00 | Dark | 27.28 (0.12) | 22.70 (0.00) | 168 (12) | 188 (18) | 94 (4) | 98 (12) |
| | 1.5 | 0.46-0.99 | Light | 27.00 (0.00) | 22.45 (0.05) | 147 (25) | 174 (23) | 93 (5) | 100 (7) |
| | 2 | 0.08 1.63 | Dark | 26.18 (0.04) | 22.53 (0.05) | 156 (8) | 174 (21) | 91 (7) | 104 (6) |
| | 5 | 0.96-1.05 | Light | 24.40 (0.00) | 22.73 (0.37) | 165 (11) | 161 (32) | 99 (9) | 98 (9) |
| _ | 6 | 1 05 2 01 | Dark | 25.97 (0.08) | 22.80 (0.00) | 157 (14) | 183 (26) | 96 (11) | 96 (7) |
| | 0 | 6 1.95-3.01 | | Light | 25.80 (0.26) | 22.53 (0.37) | 166 (10) | 183 (27) | 92 (7) |

Table 2. Results of the two–way ANOVA performed to test the effect of factors *velocity* and *light condition* (*light/dark*) on NO_3^- removal and denitrification rates measured in bare and vegetated mesocosms. Significant differences (p<0.05) are reported in bold.

| | Sediment | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----|------------------|----------|
| Variable | Factor | df | + P australis | Sediment |
| v arrable | Pactor | ui | p | p |
| | Velocity | 3 | <0.001 | 0.279 |
| NO ₃ ⁻ removal | Light/dark | 1 | 0.040 | 0.030 |
| | Velocity x Light/Dark | 3 | 0.137 | 0.245 |
| | Velocity | 3 | <0.001 | 0.235 |
| Denitrification | Light/dark | 1 | 0.012 | <0.001 |
| | Velocity x Light/Dark | 3 | 0.568 | 0.382 |

Table 3. Hourly rates of denitrification measured across the velocity range in bare and vegetated mesocosms. Rates obtained in dark and light conditions and by varying the k600 value (minimum, average, and maximum) are compared. Pooled rates from the two experiments are reported (average±standard deviation, n=6).

| Velocity (cm s ⁻¹) | Sediment/Sediment+P. | Dark/Light | Dark/Light Denitrification rate (µmol N m ⁻² h ⁻¹) | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| | cuisircuis | - | k600 min | k600 avg | k600 max |
| | Sediment | Dark | - | 1116 ± 646 | - |
| 0 | Sediment | Light | - | 558 ± 534 | - |
| 0 | Sediment +P. australis | Dark | - | 1677 ± 882 | - |
| | Sediment +P. australis | Light | - | 838 ± 462 | - |
| | Sediment | Dark | 1368 ± 410 | 1702 ± 467 | 2064 ± 522 |
| 15 | Sediment | Light | 626 ± 350 | 775 ± 532 | 866 ± 619 |
| 1.3 | Sediment +P. australis | Dark | 3457 ± 544 | 4195 ± 612 | 5048 ± 732 |
| | Sediment +P. australis | Light | 2406 ± 434 | 3240 ± 500 | 4161 ± 616 |
| | Sediment | Dark | 935 ± 591 | 1048 ± 796 | 1400 ± 820 |
| 2 | Sediment | Light | 571 ± 289 | 833 ± 438 | 1191 ± 484 |
| 5 | Sediment +P. australis | Dark | 4550 ± 486 | 5844 ± 585 | 6796 ± 690 |
| | Sediment +P. australis | Light | 4485 ± 938 | 5736 ± 1161 | 6696 ± 1385 |
| | Sediment | Dark | 1506 ± 289 | 1814 ± 308 | 2073 ± 327 |
| 6 | Sediment | Light | 569 ± 388 | 783 ± 284 | 1015 ± 291 |
| 0 | Sediment +P. australis | Dark | 8166 ± 879 | 10178 ± 1102 | 11815 ± 1248 |
| | Sediment +P. australis | Light | 7533 ± 722 | 9664 ± 823 | 11337 ± 911 |

711 Figure captions

Fig. 1. Diagram of annular chambers (a) and incubation system (b).

Fig. 2. Hourly rates of NO₃⁻ removal (a, average±standard deviation, n=6) and denitrification (b, average±standard deviation, n=18) measured in bare and vegetated mesocosms across the velocity range. Denitrification rates are reported as average value considering the whole k600 range for each velocity level. For vegetated sediments, differences among velocity treatments are shown on the basis of post-hoc tests (a<b<c<d, p<0.05). For bare sediments, both NO₃⁻ removal and denitrification rates were not significantly different among velocity treatments.

Fig. 3. Daily rates of NO_3^- removal (box below, average±standard deviation, *n*=6) and denitrification (box at the top, average±standard deviation, *n*=18) measured in bare and vegetated mesocosms across the velocity range. Denitrification rates are reported as average value considering the whole k600 range for each velocity level.

Fig. 4. Daily denitrification rates in *P. australis* vegetated sediments as a function of water velocity.

Fig. 5. Correlation between daily rates of NO_3^- removal and denitrification in *P. australis* vegetated sediments.



728 Fig. 1













735 Fig. 5