SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Design and formulation of eudragit coated zein/pectin nanoparticles for the colon delivery of resveratrol

Catia Contado*, Laura Caselotto, Paola Mello, Annalisa Maietti, Lorenza Marvelli, Nicola Marchetti, Alessandro Dalpiaz

Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences - University of Ferrara, Via Luigi Borsari 46 - 44121 Ferrara

Content

S.1 Centrifugal FFF basic theory

Table S1

S.2 In vitro studies

Table S2

S.3. Yield of production, resveratrol loading content and entrapment efficiency

Table S3

S.4 Additional Figures

S.1 Centrifugal FFF basic theory

The separation in CF3 is based on the mass of the sample components. The retention time t_r of an analyte is related to the retention ratio R according to

$$\frac{t_0}{t_r} = R = \frac{v_{zone}}{\langle v \rangle}$$
S1

where *R* is the ratio of the average velocity of the analyte zone v_{zone} and the average velocity of the carrier liquid $\langle v \rangle$. In FFF [24], *R* is related to an adimensional retention parameter named λ , as:

$$R = 6\lambda \left[\coth\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - 2\lambda\right) \right]$$
 S2

which becomes under high retention conditions (i.e. when λ < 0.01)

$$R \cong 6\lambda$$
 S3

 ${\cal A}$ is, in turn, related to the applied field and some analyte physical chemical properties

$$\lambda = \frac{kT}{m_{eff} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_p}\right) G w}$$
 S4

where k is the Boltzmann's constant, T the absolute temperature during elution, m_{eff} the particle effective mass, ρ_l the carrier density, ρ_p the particle density, G the centrifugal field strength and w the channel thickness.

When a sample contains particles of a very broad mass range, it is convenient to decrease the centrifugal field strength G as the run proceeds so that eluting species at different times are subjected to different average field strengths. The program for the field decay applied to this study is:

$$G = G_0 \left(\frac{t_1 - t_a}{t - t_a}\right)^p$$
 S5

which guarantees a uniform fractionation power throughout a broad diameter range.

Table S1: Centrifugal field flow fractionation analysis conditions. The flow rate was set to 1.0 mL min⁻¹ and the UV-vis detection was recorded at 254 nm. The particle density was taken from the literature: 1.226 g mL⁻¹ [25].

Initial RPM	Final RPM	Eq time (min)	t1 (min)	t _a (min)
1000	20	10	5	-40
2000	20	5	5	-80

S.2 In vitro release studies.

Table S2: Accurately weighted amount of raw RSV or ZP-RSV-US and ZP-RSV-US-ES 100 particles for the in vitro release studies. Particles were dispersed in three aqueous media at three different pH conditions to simulate the pH conditions of the physiological fluids in the mouth (pH 7), stomach (pH 3), and colon (pH 8).

		pH neutral	pH ≈3	pH ≈8
		H₂O:CH₃CH₂OH (70:30 % v/v)	PB*:CH₃CH₂OH (70:30 %v/v)	Tris/HCl**:CH₃CH₂ OH (70:30 % v/v)
Samples	Vol (mL)	weight(mg)	weight (mg)	weight (mg)
ZP-RSV	20	2.70	3.14	2.97
ZP-RSV-ES100	4	4.37	4.47	4.36
Pure RSV	20	0.13	0.09	0.09
Blank ZP	20	3.24	3.14	3.56
Blank ZP-ES100	4	4.35	4.01	4.00

*Phosphate buffer 10 mM, pH=3. 100 mL of 0.20 M H_3PO_4 were prepared from the concentrated reagent (85% w/w, d=1.68 g/mL). The pH was adjusted with NaOH 2 M to get a final pH = 3 (PB 0.1 M). The buffer was diluted to get a final 10 mM concentration.

** Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM, pH=8. The buffer was prepared by dissolving 30.29 g of Trizma base in 350 mL of UP water. The pH was adjusted with HCl conc. to 8. This solution was diluted with UP water to get a final 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer.

S.3. Yield of production, RSV loading content and entrapment efficiency

Table S3: UV-VIS spectrophotometric measurements at λ = 306 nm: calibration curves used to determine the yield of production (*Yp*(%)), the drug (RSV) loading content (*DLC*(%)) and entrapment efficiency (*EE*(%)); ref. §3.2.3. and **Table 1**.

Tested samples	Conc. range (µM) and (ppm)	Equation based on ppm	r²		
	Prot-1 - DMSO				
ZP-RSV-US	0.438 – 17.5	y = 0.1009x - 0.0101	0.997		
	0.1 - 4.0	y= 0.1005x 0.0101			
ZP-RSV-MS	2.19 – 17.5	y = 0.1293 y = 0.0062	1.000		
	0.5 – 4.0	y= 0.1233x = 0.0002			
ZP-RSV-MS	2.19 – 17.5	$y = 0.1160y \pm 0.0111$	0.997		
	0.5 – 4.0	y= 0.1105X + 0.0111			
	Prot-2 – EtOH:H ₂ O 50:50				
ZP-RSV-US	0.438 – 21.9	v= 0 1100v+ 0 017	0.999		
	0.1 – 5.0	y= 0.1199X+ 0.017			
ZP-RSV-UT	0.438 - 21.9	v= 0.1150v+ 0.020	0.009		
	0.1 - 5.0	y= 0.1159X+ 0.030	0.998		

S.4 Additional figures

Figure captions

Fig. S1: HPLC-MS chromatograms for the (**a**) gastric and (**b**) duodenal phases for the RSV (100 ppm) analyzed in duplicate. Full mass 227 and ms/ms for the ion $227 \rightarrow 185$. Injection volume was 2 µL, retention time 4.10 min; flow programmed conditions (50% - 5% of CH₃COONH₄ in 8 min, then back to 50% of CH₃COONH₄ and 50% solvent acetonitrile for 8 min), flow rate 100 µL min⁻¹.

Fig. S2. Percentage of RSV amounts detected in simulated gastrointestinal fluids at the end of gastric and duodenal phases. RSV was introduced in simulated fluids as a water solution. Data are reported as the mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments.

Fig. S3: CF3 comparison between the ZP-RSV-US particles dispersed in water (green lines) and in a mixture ethanol:water 30:70 (pink lines). Particles were stored at room temperature (~ 25°C) for 1 day. **a**) Elugrams detected with the UV on-line detector set at 254 nm, carrier FI70 0.1% v/v, 1.0 flow rate mL min⁻¹. **b**) Particle size distribution computed from the elugrams by using 1.226 g mL⁻¹ as value for the particle density.

Fig. S4 (a) CF3 comparison between the particle size distributions of the ZP-RSV-US NPs (green line) and the ZP-RSV-US-ES100 obtained with a core: ES100 shell ratio 1:1 (red line). Particle size distribution computed from the elugrams by using 1.226 g mL⁻¹ as value for the particle density. The UV on-line detector was set at 254 nm, carrier FI70 0.1% v/v, 1.0 flow rate mL min⁻¹, initial rpm were 2000 and 1000 rpm respectively. (b) Elugrams of the ZP-RSV-US-ES100 obtained with a core : ES100 ratio of 1:1 and 1:2. Initial rpm 1000.



Figure S1





Figure S3



Figure S4