






# Sclerotherapy: Indications and safety volumes

Matthew Tan<sup>1</sup> , Hernan Bauza Moreno<sup>2</sup> , Beate Bechter-Hugl<sup>3</sup>, Sergio Giancesini<sup>4</sup> , David Connor<sup>5</sup>, Kurosh Parsi<sup>5</sup>  and Alun H Davies<sup>1</sup> 

Phlebology  
2024, Vol. 39(2) 135–138  
© The Author(s) 2023



Article reuse guidelines:  
[sagepub.com/journals-permissions](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)  
DOI: 10.1177/02683555231211092  
[journals.sagepub.com/home/phl](https://journals.sagepub.com/home/phl)



## Keywords

sclerotherapy, foam sclerotherapy, chronic venous disease, guideline

## Introduction

Sclerotherapy has been used in the treatment of chronic venous disease (CVD) in its liquid form for more than 160 years. Referring to the chemical ablation of target veins using a sclerosant leading to its transformation into a fibrous cord, it has evolved significantly since its usage in treatments performed by Professors Pétrequin and Soquet,<sup>1</sup> with key developments including detergent sclerosants (e.g. sodium tetradecyl sulphate (STS), polidocanol (POL)) and foam sclerotherapy. Today, both liquid and foam sclerotherapy is among the recommended treatment options for both tributary and truncal veins in national and international guidelines.<sup>2–4</sup>

Sclerotherapy has seen a revival in popularity due to its ease of use relative to other modalities of CVD treatment. It is a flexible technique that can be used to treat a variety of superficial incompetent vessels ranging from telangiectasia and reticular veins to saphenous trunks. It can also be used to treat venous malformations and deeper veins such as pelvic varicosities. While sclerotherapy, if executed correctly, is an efficient form of treatment with minimal complications, it must not be simply regarded as a simple intravenous injection procedure. Clinicians need to be trained in phlebology and vascular sonography and must be aware of the indications and contraindications for using this treatment. Safe volumes for administration can also vary according to the target vessel and patient characteristics.

This article seeks to highlight the management recommendations from the International Union of Phlebology (UIP),<sup>4</sup> highlighting salient management decisions that clinicians must consider prior to administering sclerotherapy treatment. These include specific patient characteristics, target vessels, and specific techniques to optimise success and avoid complications.

## Management recommendations

Several contraindications must be considered prior to patients undergoing sclerotherapy treatment, requiring a

detailed clinical history and physical examination to ensure that the patient is suitable for sclerotherapy. These relative and absolute contraindications are summarised in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), respectively. Following this, all patients must undergo diagnostic evaluation using duplex ultrasound to establish treatment targets and diameters of target vessels.

Routine ultrasound guidance is recommended when treating tributary varicosities, perforating veins, recurrent varicose veins, and saphenous trunks. For telangiectasia, sclerotherapy may be administered under direct vision, while for reticular veins, direct vision or ultrasound guidance is recommended. Additionally, fluoroscopic-guided sclerotherapy for venous malformations and pelvic varicosities may be performed.

Determining the maximum volume of foam to be used in one session should be based on individual risk–benefit analysis, the target vessel in question, and the presence of a patent foramen ovale (PFO). When treating saphenous trunks or accessory tributaries with open outflow paths to central circulation, higher volumes of more than 4–10 mL should be avoided; however, larger volumes can be used for smaller tributaries if the venous trunks have been previously ablated. Volumes of foam required can be also reduced by

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>3</sup>Department of Vascular Surgery, Centre for Lymphedema, UZ Leuven - University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Department of Translational Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Department of Dermatology, St Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Sydney, Australia

## Corresponding author:

Matthew Tan, Section of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, Room 4N12, 4th Floor, Charing Cross Hospital, Fulham Palace Road, London W6 8RF, UK.

Email: [matthew.tan13@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:matthew.tan13@imperial.ac.uk)

technique optimisation strategies such as using tumescent anaesthetic to reduce the diameter of the target vessel. If a patient has a known PFO, the treating clinician is advised to weigh the individualised benefits and risks of performing sclerotherapy prior to performing the procedure.

Clinicians should note that liquid sclerotherapy is only recommended for telangiectasia, with foam sclerotherapy recommended for all other vessel types. Both POL and STS can be used in treatment of all vessel types, with maximal concentrations determined by vessel type, diameter, and wall thickness (Table 3). For larger vessels such as saphenous trunks and accessory vessels, clinicians should also consider treating the patient with combinations of therapeutic options, including thermal and non-thermal ablation methods (Figure 1).

## Discussion

This article provides a one-page clinical practice guideline summarising the indications for sclerotherapy and safe volumes for treatment. It is part of a series of publications for the International Union of Phlebology (UIP) One-Page Guidelines which are aimed at ensuring that patients with venous disease receive timely and appropriate care based on current best evidence and expert consensus.

Worldwide, sclerotherapy is becoming one of the most widely used treatments for varicose veins due to its ease of use, lower complication burden compared to other treatment modalities, and cost-effectiveness.<sup>5</sup> Clinical effectiveness of ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy has also been shown to be acceptable in a recent meta-analysis, with low rates of recurrence and recanalisation,<sup>6</sup> with foam being more effective than liquid sclerotherapy.<sup>7</sup> There still remains debate on whether the form and/or concentrations of the sclerosant used has an impact on the effectiveness of treatment, with a study showing equal effectiveness between 1% and 3% POL in treatment of the saphenous veins,<sup>8</sup> but others observing better clinical outcomes when using higher concentrations.<sup>9</sup> Further trials should aim to clarify this uncertainty to advise the development of future guidelines.

Complications most commonly include thrombophlebitis, pain, pigmentation, and bruising, but these are usually minor and can be transient. More severe complications include deep venous thrombosis and neurological symptoms such as migraines or transient visual changes, but these are usually rare if care is taken to determine the risk profile of each individual patient and tailor the sclerotherapy treatment according to this risk–benefit analysis. Additionally, if caution is exercised to minimise the concentrations and volumes of sclerosant used during treatment, risk of these significant complications is further reduced.<sup>10</sup>

**Table 1.** Relative contraindications for sclerotherapy.

Pregnancy, postpartum, and breastfeeding	Chronic systemic disease including morbid obesity
Risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE)	Predisposition to cutaneous complications including pigmentation, matting, and necrosis
Pain tolerance and psychosocial factors	Medications including exogenous oestrogens
Risk of neurological adverse events and in particular presence of a PFO	

**Table 2.** Absolute contraindications for sclerotherapy.

Hypersensitivity and known allergy to the sclerosant	Acute systemic illness or infection
Acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and/or pulmonary embolism (PE)	Chronic limb-threatening ischaemia
Severe neurological or cardiac adverse events to previous sclerotherapy (foam or liquid)	

**Table 3.** Vessel type in relation to sclerotherapy type and concentration.

Vessel	POL concentration	STS concentration
Telangiectasia	Up to 0.5%	Up to 0.5%
Reticular veins		
Tributary varicosities, perforating veins, and recurrent varicose veins	1.5%–3% depending on diameter and wall thickness	
Saphenous trunks and accessory veins		
Venous malformation		
Pelvic varicosities		



## Sclerotherapy: Indications and Safety Volumes

Bauza Moreno H, Bechter-Hugl B, Giancesini S, Connor D, Tan KHM, Parsi K, Davies AH on behalf of UIP

### Summary

- Sclerotherapy is the chemical ablation of target veins by intravenous delivery of a liquid or foamed sclerosing agent
- Commonly used detergent sclerosants include: sodium tetradecyl sulphate (STS) and polidocanol (POL)

Sodium Tetradecyl Sulphate	Polidocanol
Available in concentrations of 0.2%, 0.5%, 1% and 3% (2, 5, 10 and 30mg/mL, respectively)	Available in concentrations of 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%, 2% and 3% (5, 10, 20, 40, 60 mg, respectively)
Maximum dose of 4 mL of 3% solution and 10 mL of all other concentrations per session per day	Maximum dose of 2 mg per kg body weight per session per day

**Determination of the maximum volume of foam to be used in one session should be based on individual risk-benefit analysis, the target vessel and presence of a patent foramen ovale (PFO). Higher volumes (> 4-10 mL) should be avoided when treating saphenous trunks or accessory tributaries with open outflow paths to central circulation. Larger volumes may be used for peripheral small tributaries if superficial venous trunks are already ablated. Reference should be made to local published guidelines.**

### Management Recommendations:

- Sclerotherapy is not a simple intravenous injection procedure and requires training in phlebology and a comprehensive knowledge of vascular sonography
- Diagnostic evaluation and duplex ultrasound assessment before sclerotherapy is mandatory.
- Ultrasound guidance should be used routinely when treating saphenous veins, tributaries, perforating veins, recurrent varicosis and venous malformations
- Consider technique optimisation strategies such as the concurrent use of tumescent anaesthetic to reduce the diameter of the target vessel and minimise the volume of foam sclerosant used in one session.
- Avoiding Valsalva during and immediately after procedure is mandatory

Absolute Contraindications*		Indication	Type and Conc.
Hypersensitivity and known allergy to the sclerosant	Acute systemic illness or Infection	Telangiectasia	Direct vision foam or liquid sclerotherapy Up to 0.5% POL, up to 0.1% STS
Acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and/or pulmonary embolism (PE)	Chronic limb-threatening ischaemia	Reticular veins	Direct vision or ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy Up to 0.5% POL, up to 0.5% STS
Severe neurological or cardiac adverse events to previous sclerotherapy (foam or liquid)		Tributary varicosities, perforating veins and recurrent varicose veins	Ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy Both agents: 1.5-3% depending on diameter and wall thickness
Relative Contraindications*		Saphenous trunks and accessory veins	Ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy Both agents 1-3% depending on diameter and wall thickness Consider thermal and non-thermal ablation
Pregnancy, postpartum and breastfeeding	Chronic systemic disease including morbid obesity	Others:	Ultrasound-guided or fluoroscopic-guided foam sclerotherapy
Risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE)	Predisposition to cutaneous complications including pigmentation, matting and necrosis	• Venous malformation	Both agents 1-3% depending on diameter and wall thickness
Pain tolerance and psychosocial factors	Medications including exogenous oestrogens	• Pelvic varicosities	
Risk of neurological adverse events and in particular presence of a PFO			

Figure 1. The one-page guideline.

For all vascular specialists who routinely utilise sclerotherapy for the treatment of the spectrum of CVD, this article and summary document should act as a reminder of the need for individualised risk assessment and tailoring of treatment to avoid a ‘one-size-fits-all’ treatment strategy. This one-page guideline summarises the various considerations that vascular specialists need to keep in mind when faced with a patient presents to their practice with symptoms related to CVD. Referral to this document and application of the management principles outlined will hopefully help specialists improve their patients’ clinical outcomes and avoid significant complications related to sclerotherapy treatment.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Guarantor

Professor Alun Davies on behalf of the UIP.

### Contributorship

K.P. and A.H.D. conceptualised the design of the short report and one-page guideline. H.B.M., B.B-H, S.G., and D.C. contributed to the literature review and formulation of the recommendations. M.T. contributed to the formatting and layout of the one-page guideline and wrote the initial draft of the short report. All authors reviewed the short report prior to submission.

### ORCID iDs

Matthew Tan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5789-0353>  
 Hernan Bauza Moreno  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6462-0108>  
 Sergio Giancesini  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0440-5975>  
 Kurosh Parsi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0630-8877>  
 Alun H Davieson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5261-6913>

### References

1. Myers K. A history of injection treatments—II sclerotherapy. *Phlebology* 2019; 34(5): 303–310.
2. Rabe E and Pannier F, Guideline Group. Indications, contraindications and performance: European guidelines for sclerotherapy in chronic venous disorders. *Phlebology* 2014; 29(1\_suppl): 26–33.
3. Rathbun S, Norris A, Morrison N, et al. Performance of endovenous foam sclerotherapy in the USA for the treatment of venous disorders: ACP/SVM/AVF/SIR quality improvement guidelines. *Phlebology* 2014; 29(2): 76–82.
4. Wong M, Parsi K, Myers K, et al. Sclerotherapy of lower limb veins: indications, contraindications and treatment strategies to prevent complications—A consensus document of the International Union of Phlebology-2023. *Phlebology* 2023; 14: 02683555231151350.
5. Davies HO, Popplewell M, Darvall K, et al. A review of randomised controlled trials comparing ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy with endothermal ablation for the treatment of great saphenous varicose veins. *Phlebology* 2016; 31(4): 234–240.
6. Karimian M, Mohammadi Y, Tardeh S, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies to study the efficacy of ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy for varicose vein treatment. *Indian J Surg.* 2023; 1–2.
7. Bi M, Li D, Chen Z, et al. Foam sclerotherapy compared with liquid sclerotherapy for the treatment of lower extremity varicose veins: a protocol for systematic review and meta analysis. *Medicine* 2020; 99(22): e20332.
8. Blaise S, Bosson JL and Diamand JM. Ultrasound-guided sclerotherapy of the great saphenous vein with 1% vs. 3% polidocanol foam: a multicentre double-blind randomised trial with 3-year follow-up. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2010; 39(6): 779–786.
9. Ceulen RP, Bullens Goessens YI, Pi Van de Venne SJ, et al. Outcomes and side effects of duplex guided sclerotherapy in the treatment of great saphenous veins with 1% versus 3% polidocanol foam: results of a randomized controlled trial with 1 year follow up. *Dermatol Surg* 2007; 33(3): 276–281.
10. Myers KA and Jolley D. Factors affecting the risk of deep venous occlusion after ultrasound-guided sclerotherapy for varicose veins. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2008; 36(5): 602–605.