

SILICA DIOXIDE COLLOIDAL SOLUTIONS IS EFFICIENT IN THE TREATMENT OF CHRONIC PERIODONTITIS: A CASE CONTROL STUDY

E. MARCHETTI¹, A. PIVA², A. PELLATI³ and V. QUINZI¹

¹Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Italy;

²Universidad Europea de Madrid, Madrid, Spain; ³Department of Morphology, Surgery and Experimental Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of supportive periodontal therapy (i.e. scaling and root planning, SRP) alone versus a chemical device silica dioxide (SiO₂) colloidal solutions (SDCS) used in association with SRP in the treatment of chronic periodontitis in adult patients. A total of 20 patients with a diagnosis of chronic periodontitis (40 localized chronic periodontitis sites) in the age group of 35 to 55 were selected. None of these patients have previously received any surgical or non-surgical periodontal therapy and demonstrated radiographic evidence of moderate bone loss. Two non-adjacent sites in separate quadrants were selected in each patient to monitorize treatment efficacy (split mouth design). Clinical pocket depth (PD) and microbial analysis (MA) were analyzed at baseline and 15 day. SPSS program and paired simple statistic T-test were used to detect significant differences. Total bacteria loading, *Tannerella Forsythia* and *Treponema Denticola* loading were statistically reduced when SiO₂ is locally delivered. SDCS gel is an adjuvant therapy which should be added to SRP in the management of moderate to severe chronic periodontitis.

Periodontal disease is one of the prevalent illness in adult population (1-4). It is characterized by a symptom triad: tooth mobility, foetor ex ore, gingival bleeding. If left untreated the disease can lead to tooth loss. Pathogenesis of periodontal disease is multifactorial and bacterial have a preminent role (5). The main pathogens implicated in periodontal disease are anaerobic gram-negative bacteria of which the most aggressive were identified in the "red complex" group: *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, and *Treponema denticola* (6).

The aim of periodontal treatment is to eliminate oral infection, and prevent the progression of the disease (7). Many studies have widely demonstrated that the non-surgical therapy including scaling and root planing (SRP) associated with a good level of

oral hygiene can prevent the onset of periodontal disease and allow for proper maintenance of oral health (8, 9).

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the effectiveness of a new chemical device (i.e. silica dioxide colloidal solutions, SDCS) used in association with SRP in the treatment of chronic periodontitis in adult patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 20 patients with a diagnosis of chronic periodontitis were randomly selected. Patients were qualified for the study if they have two non-adjacent sites located in separate quadrants which required periodontal treatment, in the age group of 35-55. Subjects have

Key words: Periodontitis; scaling; root planing; silicium; drug; delivery

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Marchetti Enrico,
Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences,
University of L'Aquila,
L'Aquila
Italy

0393-974X (2020)

Copyright © by BIOLIFE, s.a.s.

This publication and/or article is for individual use only and may not be further reproduced without written permission from the copyright holder.

Unauthorized reproduction may result in financial and other penalties
DISCLOSURE: ALL AUTHORS REPORT NO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST RELEVANT TO THIS ARTICLE.

not received previously any surgical or non-surgical periodontal therapy. The patients were excluded from the study if they meet any of the following criteria: (1) pregnancy; (2) an history of taking antibiotics or using antibacterial mouth rinses for past 6 months; (3) teeth with furcation involvement; (4) smoking, and drug or alcohol abuse. This study has been approved by the ethics Committee of the hospital. Subjects participating in the study volunteered will follow a detailed verbal description of the procedure and by signing consent forms.

Clinical methods

A total of 20 patients (i.e. 40 sites) were selected and grouped into two categories: control and test (split mouth design). The control group (20 sites) was treated with SRP without using SDCS (control site). The test group (20 sites) was treated by SRP plus SDCS (test site). All patients underwent to SRP at the baseline measurement. Prior to SRP clinical pocket depth and microbial analysis was performed in each selected site. Then SRP was done at both sites using ultra sonic scaler. After SRP, SDCS was adjunct in the test site of each enrolled patient. After 2 weeks, microbiological samples were collected again from from both sites in each patient. For bacteria analysis, sites were isolated using cotton rolls. Sterile absorbable paper points (size 60) were used for the collection of subgingival samples and were immediately transferred to microbiological lab for processing. *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, and *Treponema denticola* and total bacterial loading were evaluated.

Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Probes oligonucleotides were designed basing on 16S rRNA gene sequences of the Human Oral Microbiome Database (HOMD 16S rRNA RefSeq Version 10.1) counting 845 entries. All the sequences were aligned in order to find either consensus sequence or less conservative spots. Two real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) runs were performed for each sample. The first reaction quantified the total amount of bacteria using two degenerate primers and a single probe matching an highly conserved sequence of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene. The second reaction detected and quantified the three red complex bacteria, i.e. *P. gingivalis*, *T. forsythia* and *T. denticola*, in a multiplex PCR. This reaction included a total of six primers and three probes that were highly

specific for each specie. Oligonucleotide concentrations and PCR conditions were optimized to ensure sensitivity, specificity and no inhibitions in case of unbalanced target amounts. Absolute quantification assays were performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Sequence Detection System. The amplification profile were initiated by a 10 min incubation period at 95°C to activate polymerase, followed by a two- step amplification of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 57°C for 40 cycles. All these experiments were performed including nontemplate controls to exclude reagents contamination.

Plasmids containing synthetic DNA target sequences (Eurofin MWG Operon, Ebersberg Germany) were used as standard for the quantitative analysis. Standard curves for each target were constructed in a triplex reaction, by using a mix of the same amount of plasmids, in serial dilutions ranging from 10¹ to 10⁷ copies. There was a linear relationship between the threshold cycle values plotted against the log of the copy number over the entire range of dilutions (data not shown). The copy numbers for individual plasmid preparations was estimated using the Thermo NanoDrop spectrophotometer.

The absolute quantification of total bacterial genome copies in samples allowed for the calculation of relative amount of red complex species. To prevent samples and polymerase chain reaction contamination, plasmid purification and handling was performed in a separate laboratory with dedicated pipettes.

Statistical analysis

SPSS program and paired simple statistic T-test were used to detect significant differences.

RESULTS

A statistical significant differences was detected between (A) Total Bacterial Loading pre and post SDCS treatment, (B) *T. forsythia* pre and post SDCS treatment and (C) *T. Denticola* and post SDCS treatment. Notheworthy, no statistical significant difference was detected between control and test site as regard pre-treatment bacteria concentration as well as pre vs. post-treatment bacteria concentration in control site (i.e. only SPR). When p-value is less than 0.05 then the difference between the two compared bacterial loadings is statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

It is well understood that most destructive types of periodontal diseases occur due to the presence of pathogenic micro-organisms colonizing the subgingival area and the suppression or eradication of these microbes result in improvement in periodontal health. Mechanical debridement is effective in both disturbing the biofilm and reducing the bacterial load. However, sometimes mechanical instrumentation may not be sufficient to control the disease due to tissue invasive pathogens, or other tooth related anatomic factors (10-13). In such conditions, adjunctive use of a chemical device provides an additional benefit in controlling the disease. This material can be potentially used in protecting the healing process in oral surgery (14-24). Support periodontal therapy is widely used, but a greater effectiveness is demonstrated by the administration of topical antimicrobials in association. The advantages of topical therapy involve the use of antimicrobial agents directly into the periodontal pocket, minimizing the adverse effects related to systemic therapy (9). Some patients with oral pathologies (4, 21, 25-33) can use additional drugs so that there is no risk of drug interaction. The potential benefits of local drug delivery include improved patient compliance, an easier access to periodontal pocket and a lower dosage of antimicrobial agent. Periodontal cleaning is of paramount importance in prosthetic patients (34-37). The most commonly used methods for local drug delivery are local gingival irrigations (5). The antimicrobial agents used as local drug delivery agents include tetracycline, ofloxacin, clindamycin, chlorhexidine, etc. (9). These local drug delivery devices have been used either alone or as adjunct with SRP. These local antimicrobials are administered directly into the periodontal pocket and the effectiveness of these chemical device is related to their bactericidal activity and the subsequent reduction of gingival inflammation (38).

The topical use of chemical device along with mechanotherapy dramatically improves clinical results, and at the same time is free from its inherent adverse effects and disadvantages. Local delivery of chemical devices into the pocket achieves a

greater concentration of the drug locally, proving bactericidal for most periopathogens, and at the same time, exhibits negligible impact on the microflora residing in other parts of the body.

Our study evaluated the efficacy of SDCS gel in the management of moderate to severe chronic periodontitis. The results of this investigation demonstrated an overall improvement in most of bacterial parameters. Microbiological testing was thought appropriate to evaluate the effect of SDCS gel on subgingival microbial population, the primary etiological factor for periodontitis. Several methods have been used for microbiological testing in periodontitis (39). However, many techniques have not been fully accepted due to low sensitivity or specificity. Moreover sometimes they are slow, expensive and laborious. Recently, a rapid and sensitive test (LAB SRL, Ferrara, Italy) was developed to detect and quantify the three bacterial species more involved in periodontitis: *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, and *Treponema denticola*.

It is well known that both *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* occur concomitantly with the clinical signs of periodontal destruction (40). They appear closely 'linked' topologically in the developing biofilm, shown an in vitro ability to produce a number of outer membrane-associated proteinases. They are considered the first pathogens involved in the clinical destruction of periodontal tissues. Moreover both them and *T. forsythia*, show an higher prevalence in disease than in health suggesting that these bacterial are associated with the local development of periodontitis. Based on this reported data, red complex triad was investigated and SDCS was able to significantly reduce their concentration. Oral health and a pleasant smile are a goal of every person and a civil society.

In conclusion, SDCS gel is an adjuvant therapy which should be added to SRP in the management of moderate to severe chronic periodontitis.

REFERENCES

1. Candotto V, Lauritano D, Carinci F, et al. Silver-based chemical device as an adjunct of domestic oral

- hygiene: a study on periodontal patients. *Materials (Basel)* 2018; 11.
2. Lauritano D, Carinci F, Palmieri A, Cura F, Caruso S, Candotto V. Reuterinos ((R)) as adjuvant for peri-implant treatment: A pilot study. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2019; 33:2058738419827745.
 3. Mummolo S, Nota A, De Felice ME, Marcattili D, Tecco S, Marzo G. Periodontal status of buccally and palatally impacted maxillary canines after surgical-orthodontic treatment with open technique. *J Oral Sci* 2018; 60:552-56.
 4. Carinci F, Romanos GE, Scapoli, L. Molecular tools for preventing and improving diagnosis of peri-implant diseases. *Periodontol 2000* 2019; 81:41-47.
 5. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Microbial etiological agents of destructive periodontal diseases. *Periodontol 2000* 1994; 5:78-111.
 6. Consensus report. Periodontal diseases:pathogenesis and microbial factors. *Ann Periodontol* 1996; 1:926-32.
 7. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Effect of therapy on periodontal infections. *J Periodontol* 1993; 64 Suppl 8S:754-59.
 8. Greenstein G. Nonsurgical periodontal therapy in 2000: a literature review. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2000; 131:1580-92.
 9. Rams TE, Slots J. Local delivery of antimicrobial agents in the periodontal pocket. *Periodontol 2000* 1996; 10:139-59.
 10. Libonati A, Di Taranto V, Mea A, et al. Clinical antibacterial effectiveness Healozone Technology after incomplete caries removal. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2019; 20:73-78.
 11. Campanella V, Libonati A, Angotti, V, et al. Conventional impression vs intraoral scanner for CAD/CAM partial restor. *ORAL and Implantology* 2018; 11:177-84.
 12. Libonati A, Di Taranto VC, Santoro MM, et al. Comparison of coronal leakage of different root canal filling techniques:an ex vivo study. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2018; 32:397-405.
 13. Campanella V, Di Taranto V, Libonati A, et al. Indirect adhesive rehabilitation by cementation under pressure of a case of Dentinogenesis Imperfecta type II: follow-up after 13 years. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2018; 19:3036.
 14. Di Girolamo M, Arullani CA, Calcaterra R, Manzi J, Arcuri C, Baggi L. Preservation of extraction socket in immediate implant placement:A clinical study. *ORAL and Implantology* 2016; 9:222-32.
 15. Bernardi S, Gatto R, Severino M, et al. Short versus longer implants in mandibular alveolar ridge augmented using osteogenic distraction: one-year follow-up of a randomized split-mouth trial. *J Oral Implantol* 2018; 44:184-91.
 16. Falisi G, Severino M, Rastelli C, et al. The effects of surgical preparation techniques and implant macro-geometry on primary stability: An in vitro study. *Medicina Oral, Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal* 2017; 22:e201-e206.
 17. De Vico G, Ferraris F, Arcuri L, Guzzo F, Spinelli D. A novel workflow for computer guided implant surgery matching digital dental casts and CBCT scan. *Oral Implantol (Rome)* 2016; 9:33-48.
 18. Pozzi A, Arcuri L, Moy PK. The smiling scan technique: Facially driven guided surgery and prosthetics. *J Prosthodont Res* 2018; 62:514-17.
 19. Mummolo S, Nota A, Marchetti E, Capuano ST, Marzo G, Campanella V. Histologic and histomorphometric analysis of maxillary sinus augmentation with different biomaterials. A pilot split-mouth human study *ORAL and Implantology* 2018; 11:249-56.
 20. Libonati A, Nardi R, Gallusi G, et al. Pain and anxiety associated with computer-controlled local anaesthesia:systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-over studies. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2018; 19:324-32.
 21. Carramolino-Cuellar E, Lauritano D, Silvestre FJ, Carinci F, Lucchese A, Silvestre-Rangil J. Salivary flow and xerostomia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2018; 47:526-30.
 22. Mummolo S, Marchetti E, Albani F, et al. Comparison between rapid and slow palatal expansion:evaluation of selected periodontal indices. *Head Face Med* 2014; 10:30.
 23. Marchetti E, Ratta S, Mummolo S, et al. Evaluation of an endosseous oral implant system according to UNI EN ISO 14801 fatigue test protocol. *Implant Dent* 2014; 23:665-71.
 24. Casagli, A, De Dominicis, P, Arcur, L, Gargari M, Ottria L. Dental photography today. Part 1: Basic concepts. *ORAL and Implantology* 2016; 8:122-29.

25. Candotto V, Lauritano D, Nardone M, et al. HPV infection in the oral cavity: Epidemiology, clinical manifestations and relationship with oral cancer. *ORAL and Implantology* 2017; 10:209-20.
26. Ruggiero F, Carbone D, Mugavero R, et al. Human polyomavirus in tonsillar microbiota of an Afghan population group. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2018; 32:185-190.
27. Quinzi V, Ferro R, Rizzo FA, et al. The Two by Four appliance: a nationwide cross-sectional survey. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2018; 19:145-50.
28. Rosa M, Quinzi V, Marzo G. Paediatric Orthodontics Part 1: Anterior open bite in the mixed dentition. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2019; 20:80-82.
29. Ortu E, Pietropaoli D, Marchetti E, Marchili N, Marzo G, Monaco A. Bruxism in children: Use of the Functional Plane of Monaco (FPM). *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2018; 19:287-94.
30. Mummolo S, Nota A, Marchetti E, Padricell, G, Marz, G. The 3D Tele Motion Tracking for the Orthodontic Facial Analysis. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; 2016:4932136.
31. Lauritano D, Lucchese, A, Di Stasio D, et al. Molecular aspects of drug-induced gingival overgrowth: an in vitro study on amlodipine and gingival fibroblasts. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019; 20.
32. Lauritano D, Lucchese A, Gabrione F, Di Stasio D, Silvestre Rangil J, Carinci F. The effectiveness of laser-assisted surgical excision of leukoplakias and hyperkeratosis of oral mucosa: a case series in a group of patients. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; 16.
33. Carinci F, Lauritano D, Bignozzi CA, et al. A new strategy against peri-implantitis: antibacterial internal coating. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019; 20.
34. Ottria L, Lauritano D, Guzzo F, Gargari M, Barlattani A. Anatomic relationship between temporomandibular joint and middle ear. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2018; 32:209-12.
35. Ottria L, Candotto V, Guzzo F, Gargari M, Barlattani A. Temporomandibular joint and related structures: anatomical and Histological aspects. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2018; 32:203-7.
36. Ottria L, Tettamanti L, Guzzo F, Gargari M, Barlattani A. FDPS finish line design and tooth preparation technique. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2018; 32:223-29.
37. Arcuri L, Pozzi A, Lio F, Rompen E, Zechner W, Nardi A. Influence of implant scanbody material, position and operator on the accuracy of digital impression for complete-arch: A randomized in vitro trial. *J Prosthodont Res* 2019.
38. Goodson, JM. Antimicrobial strategies for treatment of periodontal diseases. *Periodontol* 2000 1994; 5:142-168.
39. Loomer PM. Microbiological diagnostic testing in the treatment of periodontal diseases. *Periodontol* 2000 2004; 34:49-56.
40. Mineoka T, Awano S, Rikimaru T, et al. Site-specific development of periodontal disease is associated with increased levels of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Treponema denticola*, and *Tannerella forsythia* in subgingival plaque. *J Periodontol* 2008; 79:670-76.